



## On the motion of a heavy bead sliding on a rotating wire – Fractional treatment



Dumitru Baleanu<sup>a,b</sup>, Jihad H. Asad<sup>c,\*</sup>, Mohsen Alipour<sup>d</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Faculty of Arts and Sciences, Cankaya University, 06530 Ankara, Turkey

<sup>b</sup> Institute of Space Sciences, P.O. Box MG-23, 76900 Magurele, Bucharest, Romania

<sup>c</sup> Department of Physics, College of Arts and Sciences, Palestine Technical University, P.O. Box 7, Tulkarm, Palestine

<sup>d</sup> Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Basic Science, Babol University of Technology, P.O. Box 47148-71167, Babol, Iran

### ARTICLE INFO

#### Keywords:

Motion of a heavy bead on a rotating wire

Euler-Lagrange equation

Fractional derivative

Grünwald-Letnikov approximation

### ABSTRACT

In this work, we consider the motion of a heavy particle sliding on a rotating wire. The first step carried for this model is writing the classical and fractional Lagrangian. Secondly, the fractional Hamilton's equations (FHEs) of motion of the system is derived. The fractional equations are formulated in the sense of Caputo. Thirdly, numerical simulations of the FHEs within the fractional operators are presented and discussed for some fractional derivative orders. Numerical results are based on a discretization scheme using the Euler convolution quadrature rule for the discretization of the convolution integral. Finally, simulation results verify that, taking into account the fractional calculus provides more flexible models demonstrating new aspects of the real world phenomena.

### Introduction

In classical mechanics texts, we faced many problems in which a particle is sliding on a surface or either rotating around an axis. To study and analyze these problems we used the energy dependent method (i.e., Lagrangian and Hamiltonian method). In texts one can find many problems of this kind solved classically using the classical Lagrangian and the classical Hamiltonian.

Nowadays fractional calculus has become an important tools in many areas of science, applied mathematics, physical systems and engineering [1–12], starting from the early development of the generalized Hamiltonian formulation carried by Riewe [13,14]. Riewe has studied non-conservative Lagrangian and Hamiltonian mechanics within fractional calculus. He has used the fractional calculus to obtain a formalism which can be applied for both conservative non-conservative systems. One can obtain the Lagrangian and the Hamiltonian equations of motion for the non-conservative systems. Besides, the generalization of Lagrangian and Hamiltonian fractional mechanics with fractional derivatives were extended and discussed in details [15–21].

The outlines this paper is as follows. In Section “Basic definitions” some preliminaries concerning fractional derivatives are presented. In Section “Classical and fractional description of the physical model”, classical and fractional study has been carried out for the system of interests (a heavy bead sliding on a rotating wire). Section “Numerical solution

and simulation results” provides numerical solutions of the derived fractional Euler-Lagrange equation for different values of fractional order and initial conditions. Finally, we close the paper by a conclusion in Section “Primary concepts”.

### Basic definitions

In this section, we give in brief some preliminaries concerning the fractional derivatives. There are some definitions for the fractional derivatives including Riemann-Liouville, Weyl, Caputo, Marchaud and Riesz [22,23].

Below we introduce the basic definition of the left and right Caputo fractional derivatives and the left and right Riemann-Liouville fractional integrals. According to [23], let  $x: [a, b] \rightarrow R$  be a time dependent function. Then, the left and right Caputo fractional derivatives are defined as

$${}_a^C D_t^\alpha x \triangleq \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \int_a^t \frac{x^{(n)}(\xi)}{(t-\xi)^{1+\alpha-n}} d\xi, \quad (1)$$

and

$${}_t^C D_b^\alpha x \triangleq \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \int_t^b \frac{(-1)^n x^{(n)}(\xi)}{(\xi-t)^{1+\alpha-n}} d\xi, \quad (2)$$

respectively, also the left and right Riemann-Liouville fractional integrals are defined as

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: [drjasad@yahoo.com](mailto:drjasad@yahoo.com) (J.H. Asad).




Fig. 1. Heavy bead sliding on a rotating wire.

$${}^C I_b^\alpha x \triangleq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_a^t \frac{x(\xi)}{(t-\xi)^{1-\alpha}} d\xi, \tag{3}$$

and

$${}^R I_b^\alpha x \triangleq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_t^b \frac{x(\xi)}{(\xi-t)^{1-\alpha}} d\xi, \tag{4}$$

respectively, where  $\Gamma(\cdot)$  denotes the Euler’s Gamma function and  $\alpha$  represents the fractional derivative and integral order such that  $n-1 < \alpha < n$ .

**Classical and fractional description of the physical model**

Our physical system is taken from a famous text in analytical mechanics [24]. In this system we consider a heavy bead sliding without friction on a thin wire that rotated about a vertical axis by a motor at a constant angular frequency  $w$  as shown in Fig. 1 below. The wire is tilted away from the  $y$  axis by a fixed angle  $\psi$ . The bead is constrained to move on the wire, and to describe its motion we need just one dynamical variable which is  $q$  (i.e., the distance from origin).

The importance of this example comes from the fact that the kinetic energy in this case depends on both the dynamical variable and on its derivative, instead of on the time derivative alone.

The kinetic energy and the potential energy of the bead respectively read:

$$T = \frac{1}{2}m(\dot{q}^2 + q^2w^2\text{Sin}^2 \psi). \tag{5}$$

$$V = mgq\text{Cos}\psi. \tag{6}$$

Therefore the classical Lagrangian for our system takes the form

$$L = T - V = \frac{1}{2}m(\dot{q}^2 + q^2w^2\text{Sin}^2 \psi) - mgq\text{Cos}\psi. \tag{7}$$

The classical Euler-Lagrange equation can be obtained as follows

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial q} - \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}} = 0. \tag{8}$$

In view of Eqs. (7) and (8), the classical Euler-Lagrange equation reads:

$$\ddot{q} = qw^2\text{Sin}^2 \psi - g\text{Cos}\psi. \tag{9}$$

Now, we can generalize Eq. (7) and write it in fractional form using Caputo fractional derivative as:

$$L^F = \frac{1}{2}m [({}^C D_t^\alpha q)^2 + q^2w^2\text{Sin}^2 \psi] - mgq\text{Cos}\psi. \tag{10}$$

The fractional Euler-Lagrange equation (FELE) of motion can also be obtained using the following equation

$$\frac{\partial L^F}{\partial q} + {}^C D_t^\alpha \frac{\partial L^F}{\partial {}^C D_t^\alpha q} + {}^C D_t^\beta \frac{\partial L^F}{\partial {}^C D_t^\beta q} = 0. \tag{11}$$

As a result of using Eqs. (10) and (11) the FELE reads

$${}^C D_b^\alpha {}^C D_t^\alpha q = g\text{Cos}\psi - qw^2\text{Sin}^2 \psi. \tag{12}$$

As  $\alpha \rightarrow 1$ , the FELE (12) reduces to the classical Euler-Lagrange equation (9).

In a recent work Bourdin [25] derive the conditions that ensure the existence of a weak solution for fractional Euler-Lagrange equations similar to those obtained in our system.

In the following, we are going to obtain the fractional Hamilton’s equation (FHEs) of motion. For this purpose, we have to introduce the following two generalized momenta  $P_{\alpha,q}$ , and  $P_{\beta,q}$

$$P_{\alpha,q} = \frac{\partial L^F}{\partial {}^C D_t^\alpha q} = m {}^C D_t^\alpha q. \tag{13}$$

$$P_{\beta,q} = \frac{\partial L^F}{\partial {}^C D_t^\beta q} = 0. \tag{14}$$

As a result, the fractional Hamiltonian function can be obtained from

$$H^F = P_{\alpha,q} {}^C D_t^\alpha q + P_{\beta,q} {}^C D_t^\beta q - L^F. \tag{15}$$

Substituting Eqs. (13) and (14) into Eq. (15), we obtained

$$H^F = \frac{1}{2}m [({}^C D_t^\alpha q)^2 - q^2w^2\text{Sin}^2 \psi] + mgq\text{Cos}\psi. \tag{16}$$

Now, the FHEs of motion are obtained as

$$\frac{\partial H^F}{\partial q} = {}^C D_b^\alpha P_{\alpha,q} + {}^C D_t^\beta P_{\beta,q} \Rightarrow {}^C D_b^\alpha {}^C D_t^\alpha q = g\text{Cos}\psi - qw^2\text{Sin}^2 \psi. \tag{17}$$

Notice that the above FHEs of motion (17) is the same as the corresponding FELEs in Eqs. (12). Again as  $\alpha \rightarrow 1$  Eq. (17) reduces to the CELEs (9). Our aim now is to obtain the numerical solutions of Eq. (17) for different values of  $\alpha$ .

**Numerical solution and simulation results**

In this section, we propose the approximate analytical solution for the problem (17) with  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ . We consider the initial conditions as follows

$$q(a) = q_0, \tag{18}$$

$$q'(a) = q_1. \tag{19}$$

Recently, we used Bernstein operational matrices of Caputo derivative, Riemann-Liouville fractional integral and product for solving fractional quadratic Riccati differential equations [26], multi-order fractional differential equations [27,28], nonlinear system of fractional differential equations [29] and multi-dimensional fractional optimal control problems with inequality constraint [30,31]. Now, we apply the Bernstein operational matrices method for solving the problem (17)–(19).

*Primary concepts*

Referring to Ref. [32], we can see details of proposed concepts in here.

Let  $\beta_{i,m}(t) = \binom{m}{i} \frac{(t-a)^i (b-t)^{m-i}}{(b-a)^m}$ ,  $i = 0, 1, \dots, m$  are the Bernstein polynomials of degree  $m$  on interval  $[a, b]$  and  $\Psi_m(t) = [\beta_{0,m}(t), \beta_{1,m}(t), \dots, \beta_{m,m}(t)]^T$ . Since the Bernstein polynomials form a basis on  $[a, b]$ , for any function  $y \in C^{m+1}[a, b]$  we can approximate  $y(t)$  as follows

$$y(t) \approx \sum_{i=0}^m c_i \beta_{i,m}(t) = c^T \Psi_m(t), \tag{20}$$

where  $c^T = [c_0, c_2, \dots, c_m]$  is obtained as

$$c^T = \left( \int_a^b y(t) \Psi_m(t)^T dt \right) Q^{-1}, Q = \begin{bmatrix} Q_{1,1} & Q_{1,2} & \dots & Q_{1,m+1} \\ Q_{2,1} & Q_{2,2} & \dots & Q_{2,m+1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ Q_{m+1,1} & Q_{m+1,2} & \dots & Q_{m+1,m+1} \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } Q_{i+1,j+1} = \int_a^b \beta_{i,m}(t) \beta_{j,m}(t) dx = \frac{\binom{b-a}{i} \binom{m}{j}}{\binom{2m+1}{i+j}}, \quad i, j = 0, 1, \dots, m. \tag{21}$$

On the other hand, the Bernstein operational matrices of the standard derivative  $D$ , left Riemann-Liouville fractional integral  $F_{LRL}^\alpha$  and right Caputo fractional derivative  $D_{RC}^\alpha$  are introduced as following

$$\frac{d}{dt} \Psi_m(t) \approx D \Psi_m(t), \tag{22}$$

$${}^{RL}I_a^\alpha \Psi_m(t) \approx F_{LRL}^\alpha \Psi_m(t), \tag{23}$$

$${}^C D_b^\alpha \Psi_m(t) \approx D_{RC}^\alpha \Psi_m(t). \tag{24}$$

*Bernstein operational matrices for solving proposed model*

We apply the following approximation:

$${}^C D_a^\alpha q(t) \approx c^T \Psi_m(t), \tag{25}$$

where  $c = [c_0, \dots, c_m]^T$  is unknown vector. So, since [33]

$${}^{RL}I_a^\alpha {}^C D_a^\alpha f(t) = f(t) - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f^{(k)}(a) \frac{(x-a)^k}{k!}, \tag{26}$$

by (25), (18) and (23) we can get

$$q(t) \approx {}^{RL}I_a^\alpha (c^T \Psi_m(t)) + \underbrace{q_0 = c^T F_{LRL}^\alpha \Psi_m(t)}_{q(a)} + q_0 1^T \Psi_m(t) = c_\alpha^T \Psi_m \tag{27}$$

where  $1 = [1, 1, \dots, 1]^T$ ,  $c_\alpha^T = c^T F_{LRL}^\alpha + q_0 1^T$  (note  $\sum_{i=0}^m$ )

$$\beta_{i,m}(t) = 1^T \Psi_m(t) = 1.$$

Now, from (24), (25) and (27) we can approximate the problem (17) and (18) as follows

$${}^C D_b^\alpha (c^T \Psi_m(t)) = g \cos \psi (1^T \Psi_m(t)) - w^2 \sin^2 \psi (c_\alpha^T \Psi_m(t)) \tag{28}$$

$$\Rightarrow c^T {}^C D_b^\alpha \Psi_m(t) = g \cos \psi (1^T \Psi_m(t)) - w^2 \sin^2 \psi (c_\alpha^T \Psi_m(t)) \tag{29}$$

Now, by (28) and (29) the problem (21) and (22) is reduced to:

$$(c^T {}^C D_b^\alpha - g \cos \psi 1^T - w^2 \sin^2 \psi c_\alpha^T) \Psi_m(t) = 0 \tag{30}$$

Also, by (22) and (27) we can use the following approximation for initial condition (19):

$$q'(a) \approx \left. \frac{d}{dt} (c_\alpha^T \Psi_m(t)) \right|_{t=a} = c_\alpha^T \left. \frac{d}{dt} \Psi_m(t) \right|_{t=a} = c_\alpha^T D \Psi_m(t) \Big|_{t=a} = c_\alpha^T D \Psi_m(a). \tag{31}$$

$$c_\alpha^T D \Psi_m(a) = q_1. \tag{32}$$

Now, by applying the Tau method [34] for (30), we obtain the following algebraic equations:

$$\int_a^b (c^T {}^C D_b^\alpha - g \cos \psi 1^T - w^2 \sin^2 \psi c_\alpha^T) \Psi_m(t) \beta_{i,m}(t) dt = 0. \quad i = 0, \dots, m-1. \tag{33}$$

Finally, the Eqs. (32) with (33) produce a system of  $m + 1$  algebraic equations and  $m + 1$  variables  $c_i, i = 0, \dots, m$ . Then, from (27) we




Fig. 2. The plot of  $q(t)$  within the Caputo fractional operator for  $\alpha = 0.7, 0.8, 0.9$  and  $1$  in Case 1.

obtain the approximation for  $q(t)$ .

Consider the function  $G(q)$  as follows:

$$G(q) = {}^C D_b^\alpha {}^C D_a^\alpha q - g \cos \psi + qw^2 \sin^2 \psi.$$

So, we can get the residual errors by  $G(c_\alpha^T \Psi_m)$ , where from (27) we have  $q(t) \approx c_\alpha^T \Psi_m(t)$ .

In Figs. 2–7, we depict the approximate solutions and residual errors for the following cases:

The parameters are as follow:

$g$  is the acceleration of gravity it is constant 9.81.

$w$  is the angular frequency (rad./s.).

$\psi$  is the angle it is constant.

$q$  is the distance from origin (See Fig. 1)

**Case 1.**  $m = 2, w = 1, \psi = \frac{\pi}{6}$  and  $g = 9.81$ . We also take the initial condition for  $q(t)$  as  $q(0) = 5$  and for  $\dot{q}(t)$  as  $\dot{q}(0) = 0$  for  $\alpha = 0.7, 0.8, 0.9, 1$ .

**Case 2.**  $m = 2, w = 1, \psi = \frac{\pi}{2}$  and  $g = 9.81$ . We also take the initial condition for  $q(t)$  as  $q(0) = 5$  and for  $\dot{q}(t)$  as  $\dot{q}(0) = 0$  for  $\alpha = 0.7, 0.8, 0.9, 1$ .

**Case 3.**  $m = 2, w = 1, \psi = \frac{\pi}{2}$  and  $g = 9.81$ . We also take the initial condition for  $q(t)$  as  $q(0) = 5$  and for  $\dot{q}(t)$  as  $\dot{q}(0) = 0.5$  for  $\alpha = 0.7, 0.8, 0.9, 1$ .

**Conclusion**

Fractional calculus is an excellent tool, which can be used to describe complex behaviours of many real world systems. The numerical analysis of FDEs is a very important issue to be considered by




Fig. 3. The plot of the residual error for  $\alpha = 1$  in Case 1.




Fig. 4. The plot of  $q(t)$  within the Caputo fractional operator for  $\alpha = 0.7, 0.8, 0.9$  and  $1$  in Case 2.




Fig. 5. The plot of the residual error for  $\alpha = 1$  in Case 2.




Fig. 6. The plot of  $q(t)$  within the Caputo fractional operator for  $\alpha = 0.7, 0.8, 0.9$  and  $1$  in Case 3.

researchers. In this study, we discussed the motion of a heavy bead sliding on a rotating wire by the fractional calculus. We obtained the classical and fractional Lagrangian of the model together with the FHEs of motion. The fractional equations were formulated by using Caputo's fractional derivative. We investigated numerically the solution of FHEs within the fractional operator. The numerical scheme operator was based on a discretization technique using the Euler convolution quadrature rule for the discretization of the convolution integral. The results shown in Cases 1–3 indicate that, the behaviours of the FHEs depend on the fractional operators. Thus, the new aspects of the fractional calculus provide more flexible models which help us to adjust better the dynamical behaviours of many real world phenomena.




Fig. 7. The plot of the residual error for  $\alpha = 1$  in Case 3.

In Figs. 2, 4 and 6 we plot  $q(t)$  for different values of  $\alpha$  during the time interval  $[0, 1]$ . In Figs. 4 and 6 we fixed the angle  $\psi$  to be  $\frac{\pi}{2} = 90^\circ$  which means that the wire is in a horizontal level ( $y$ -axis as shown in Fig. 1). So, when the spherical particle is set in motion it moves to the right and as a result  $q(t)$  increases as shown in Figs. 4 and 6. In these two figures one can see that the distance  $q(t)$  increases with time, while in Fig. 2  $q(t)$  decreases with time since the particle is approaching the origin whereas in Figs. 4 and 6 moving away from the origin. In Fig. 6, the particle starts up with an initial speed with  $\dot{q}(0) = 0.5$  and as it is clear the particle needs more time to travel the same distance as  $\alpha$  decreases less than 1. On the other hand, the particle starts from rest in Fig. 4 and as it is shown the particle needs less time to travel the same distance as  $\alpha$  decreases less than 1.

Finally, in Figs. 3, 5 and 7, we can see that the residual errors are so close to zero ( $G(c_\alpha^T \Psi_m) \approx 0$ ). Therefore, the approximate solutions are almost coincident with the exact solution as expected.

### Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rinp.2018.09.007>.

### References

- [1] Hilfer R. Applications of fractional calculus in physics. Singapore, New Jersey, London and Hong Kong: World Scientific Publishing Company; 2000.
- [2] Mainardi F, Pagnini G. Appl Math Comput 2003;141(1):51–62.
- [3] Rousan A, Malkawi E, Rabei EM, Widyana H. Fractional Calculus Appl Anal 2002;5:155.
- [4] Hafez RM, Doha EH, Bahrawy AH, Baleanu D. Rom J Phys 2017;62(3–4).
- [5] Alireza, Golmankhaneh K, Baleanu D. Rom Rep Phys 2017;69(2).
- [6] Kumar D, Singh J, Baleanu D. Rom Rep Phys 2017;69:1.
- [7] Zhang Y, Baleanu D, Yang JX. Proc Rom Acad Ser A-Math Phys Tech Sci Inf Sci 2016;17(3):230–6.
- [8] Agrawal R, Goswami MP, Agrawal RP, Venkataranam KK, Baleanu D. Rom J Phys 2017;62:5–6.
- [9] Blaszczyk T, Ciesielski M. Comput J Vib Control 2016;22(8):2045–52.
- [10] Blaszczyk T, Ciesielski M. Adv Appl Math Mech 2017;9(1):173–85.
- [11] Ciesielski M, Blaszczyk T. J Theor Appl Mech 2015;53(4):959–68.
- [12] Ciesielski M, Klimek M, Blaszczyk T. J Comput Appl Math 2017;317:573–88.
- [13] Riewe F. Phys Rev E 1996;53:1890.
- [14] Riewe F. Phys Rev E 1997;55:3581.
- [15] Agrawal OP. J Appl Mech 2001;68:339.
- [16] Agrawal OP. J Math Anal Appl 2002;272:368.
- [17] Baleanu D, Avkar T. Nuovo Cimento 2004;119:73.
- [18] Muslih SI, Baleanu D. J Math Anal Appl 2005;304:599.
- [19] Rabei EM, Nawafleh KI, Hijjawi RS, Muslih SI, Baleanu D. J Math Anal Appl 2007;327(891).
- [20] Hasan EH. Appl Phys Res 2016;8:60.
- [21] Hasan EH. Adv Stud Theor Phys 2016;10:223.
- [22] Oldham KB, Spanier J. The fractional calculus. New York, NY: Academic Press; 1974.
- [23] Baleanu D, Machado JAT, Luo ACJ. Fractional dynamics and control. Springer Science and Business Media; 2011.
- [24] Hand LN, Finch JD. Analytical mechanics. Cambridge University Press; 1998.
- [25] Bourdin L. J Math Anal Appl 2013;399:239–51.
- [26] Baleanu D, Alipour M, Jafari H. Abs Appl Anal 2013;2013:7.
- [27] Rostamy D, Alipour M, Jafari H, Baleanu D. Rom Rep Phys 2013;65(2):334–49.

- [28] Rostamy D, Jafari H, Alipour M, Khaliq CM. *Filomat* 2014;28(3):591–601.
- [29] Alipour M, Baleanu D. *Adv Math Phys* 2013;2013:9.
- [30] Alipour M, Rostamy D, Baleanu D. *J Vib Control* 2012;19(16):2523–40.
- [31] Alipour M. *Kuwait J Sci* 2017;44:9–19.
- [32] Alipour M. Numerical solution for fractional differential equations and optimal control problems PhD Thesis Imam Khomeini International University; 2013
- [33] Baleanu D, Diethelm K, Scalas E, Trujillo JJ. *Fractional Calculus Models and Numerical Methods (Series on Complexity, Nonlinearity and Chaos)*. New York: World Scientific Publishing Company; 2012.
- [34] Canuto C, Hussaini MY, Quarteroni A, Zang TA. *Spectral Methods in Fluid Dynamics*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall; 1988.