

RESEARCH

Open Access



# Existence and uniqueness of positive solutions for a new class of coupled system via fractional derivatives

Hojjat Afshari<sup>1\*</sup>, Mojtaba Sajjadmanesh<sup>1</sup> and Dumitru Baleanu<sup>2,3</sup>

\*Correspondence:

hojjat.afshari@yahoo.com

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics,  
Faculty of Basic Science, University  
of Bonab, Bonab, Iran

Full list of author information is  
available at the end of the article

## Abstract

In this paper we study the existence of unique positive solutions for the following coupled system:

$$\begin{cases} D_{0+}^\alpha x(\tau) + f_1(\tau, x(\tau), D_{0+}^\eta x(\tau)) + g_1(\tau, y(\tau)) = 0, \\ D_{0+}^\beta y(\tau) + f_2(\tau, y(\tau), D_{0+}^\gamma y(\tau)) + g_2(\tau, x(\tau)) = 0, \\ \tau \in (0, 1), \quad n-1 < \alpha, \beta < n; \\ x^{(i)}(0) = y^{(i)}(0) = 0, \quad i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n-2; \\ [D_{0+}^\xi y(\tau)]_{\tau=1} = k_1(y(1)), \quad [D_{0+}^\zeta x(\tau)]_{\tau=1} = k_2(x(1)), \end{cases}$$

where the integer number  $n > 3$  and  $1 \leq \gamma \leq \xi \leq n-2$ ,  $1 \leq \eta \leq \zeta \leq n-2$ ,  $f_1, f_2 : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ ,  $g_1, g_2 : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  and  $k_1, k_2 : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  are continuous functions,  $D_{0+}^\alpha$  and  $D_{0+}^\beta$  stand for the Riemann–Liouville derivatives. An illustrative example is given to show the effectiveness of theoretical results.

**Keywords:** Fractional differential equation; Mixed monotone operator; Normal cone; Coupled system

## 1 Introduction

A lot of fractional differential equations and coupled systems have been studied widely; see [1–19, 24] and the references therein. As is well known, coupled systems with boundary conditions appear in the investigations of many problems such as mathematical biology (see [9, 30]), natural sciences and engineering; for example, we can see beam deformation and steady-state heat flow (see [25, 26]) and heat equations (see [18, 24]). So the subject of coupled systems is gaining much attention and importance. There are a large number of articles dealing with the existence or multiplicity of solutions or positive solutions for some nonlinear coupled systems with boundary conditions; for details, see [7, 8, 10, 11, 20, 21, 27, 29, 32, 33, 35–41].

© The Author(s) 2020. This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

In [42] Zhang and Tian considered a unique positive solution for the following problem:

$$\begin{cases} D_{0^+}^\alpha w(\tau) + f(\tau, w(\tau), D_{0^+}^\gamma w(\tau)) + g(\tau, w(\tau)) = 0, & \tau \in (0, 1), n-1 < \alpha < n; \\ w^{(i)}(0) = 0, & i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n-2; \\ [D_{0^+}^\beta w(\tau)]_{\tau=1} = k(w(1)), \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where  $n > 3$ ,  $1 \leq \gamma \leq \beta \leq n-2$ ,  $f : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ ,  $g : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  and  $k : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  are continuous functions,  $D_{0^+}^\alpha$  is the Riemann–Liouville fractional derivative and  $w^{(i)}$  represents the  $i$ th (ordinary) derivative of  $w$ .

Continuing their work, we establish the existence of solutions for the following coupled system:

$$\begin{cases} D_{0^+}^\alpha x(\tau) + f_1(\tau, x(\tau), D_{0^+}^\eta x(\tau)) + g_1(\tau, y(\tau)) = 0, \\ D_{0^+}^\beta y(\tau) + f_2(\tau, y(\tau), D_{0^+}^\gamma y(\tau)) + g_2(\tau, x(\tau)) = 0, & \tau \in (0, 1), n-1 < \alpha, \beta < n; \\ x^{(i)}(0) = y^{(i)}(0) = 0, & i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n-2; \\ [D_{0^+}^\xi y(\tau)]_{\tau=1} = k_1(y(1)), \quad [D_{0^+}^\zeta x(\tau)]_{\tau=1} = k_2(x(1)), \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

where the integer number  $n > 3$  and  $1 \leq \gamma \leq \xi \leq n-2$ ,  $1 \leq \eta \leq \zeta \leq n-2$ ,  $f_1, f_2 : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ ,  $g_1, g_2 : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  and  $k_1, k_2 : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  are continuous functions,  $D_{0^+}^\alpha$  and  $D_{0^+}^\beta$  stand for the Riemann–Liouville derivatives.

## 2 Preliminaries

Suppose  $(E, \|\cdot\|)$  is a Banach space which is partially ordered by a cone  $P \subseteq E$ . We denote the zero element of  $E$  by  $\theta$ . A cone  $P$  is called normal if there exists a constant  $N > 0$  such that  $\theta \leq x \leq y$  implies  $\|x\| \leq N\|y\|$ .

**Definition 2.1** ([22, 23])  $A : P \times P \rightarrow P$  is said to be a mixed monotone operator if  $A(x, y)$  is increasing in  $x$  and decreasing in  $y$ , i.e., for  $x_i, y_i \in P$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ),  $x_1 \leq x_2$ ,  $y_1 \geq y_2$  imply  $A(x_1, y_1) \leq A(x_2, y_2)$ . The element  $x \in P$  is called a fixed point of  $A$  if  $A(x, x) = x$ .

An element  $u^* \in D$  is called a fixed point of  $A$  if it satisfies  $A(u^*, u^*) = u^*$ . Let  $h > \theta$ , write  $P_h = \{u \in E \mid \exists \lambda, \mu > 0 : \lambda h \leq u \leq \mu h\}$ .

Let  $\Phi$  be a class of functions  $\varphi : (0, 1) \rightarrow (0, 1)$  with  $\varphi(\tau) > \tau$  for  $\tau \in (0, 1)$ .

**Theorem 2.2** ([34]) *Let  $P$  be a normal cone in  $E$ ,  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ .  $A : P \rightarrow P$  is an increasing sub-homogeneous,  $B : P \rightarrow P$  is a decreasing operator,  $C : P \times P \rightarrow P$  is a mixed monotone operator and that satisfy the following conditions:*

$$B\left(\frac{1}{\tau}u\right) \geq \tau Bu, \quad C\left(\tau u, \frac{1}{\tau}v\right) \geq \tau^\alpha C(u, v), \quad u, v \in P. \quad (3)$$

Assume that

- (i)  $\exists h_0 \in P_h$  such that  $Ah_0 \in P_h$ ,  $Bh_0 \in P_h$ ,  $C(h_0, h_0) \in P_h$ ;
- (ii)  $\exists \delta_0 > 0$  with  $C(u, v) \geq \delta_0(Au + Bv)$  for  $u, v \in P$ .

Then

- (1)  $A : P_h \rightarrow P_h$ ,  $B : P_h \rightarrow P_h$  and  $C : P_h \times P_h \rightarrow P_h$ ;

(2)  $\exists x_0, y_0 \in P_h$  and  $r \in (0, 1)$  with

$$rx_0 \leq x_0 < y_0, x_0 \leq Ax_0 + By_0 + C(x_0, y_0) \leq Ay_0 + Bx_0 + C(y_0, x_0) \leq y_0;$$

(3) the equation  $Au + Bu + C(u, u) = u$  has a unique solution  $u^*$  in  $P_h$ ;

(4) for  $x_0, y_0 \in P_h$ , we can construct

$$u_n = Ax_{n-1} + By_{n-1} + C(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1}),$$

$$v_n = Ay_{n-1} + Bx_{n-1} + C(y_{n-1}, x_{n-1}), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

and  $u_n \rightarrow u^*$  and  $v_n \rightarrow v^*$ .

**Definition 2.3** ([28, 31]) The Riemann–Liouville fractional derivative for a continuous function  $f$  is defined by

$$D^\alpha f(\tau) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \left( \frac{d}{d\tau} \right)^n \int_0^\tau \frac{f(\rho)}{(t-\rho)^{\alpha-n+1}} d\rho \quad (n = [\alpha] + 1),$$

where the right-hand side is point-wise defined on  $(0, \infty)$ .

**Definition 2.4** ([28, 31]) Let  $[a, b]$  be an interval in  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\alpha > 0$ . The Riemann–Liouville fractional order integral of a function  $f \in L^1([a, b], \mathbb{R})$  is defined by

$$I_a^\alpha f(\tau) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_a^\tau \frac{f(\rho)}{(\tau-\rho)^{1-\alpha}} d\rho,$$

whenever the integral exists.

**Lemma 2.5** ([42]) Let  $h \in C[0, 1]$ , then the unique solution of the linear problem

$$D_{0^+}^\alpha x(\tau) + h(\tau) = 0, \quad \tau \in (0, 1), n-1 < \alpha \leq n; \quad (4)$$

$$x^i(0) = 0, \quad i = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots, n-2; \quad (5)$$

$$[D_{0^+}^\beta x(\tau)]_{\tau=1} = k(x(1)), \quad 1 \leq \beta \leq n-2; \quad (6)$$

is given by

$$x(\tau) = \int_0^1 G(\tau, \rho)h(\rho) d\rho + \frac{\Gamma(\alpha-\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} k(x(1))\tau^{\alpha-1},$$

where

$$G(\tau, \rho) = \begin{cases} \frac{\tau^{\alpha-1}(1-\rho)^{\alpha-\beta-1} - (\tau-\rho)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)}, & 0 \leq \rho \leq \tau \leq 1; \\ \frac{\tau^{\alpha-1}(1-\rho)^{\alpha-\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)}, & 0 \leq \tau \leq \rho \leq 1; \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

is the Green function.

**Lemma 2.6 ([42])** *The Green function (7) has the following properties:*

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \tau^{\alpha-1}(1-\rho)^{\alpha-\beta-1}[1-(1-\rho)^\beta] \leq \Gamma(\alpha)G(\tau,\rho) \leq \tau^{\alpha-1}(1-\rho)^{\alpha-\beta-1}, \\ 0 &\leq \tau^{\alpha-\gamma-1}(1-\rho)^{\alpha-\beta-1}[1-(1-\rho)^{\beta-\gamma}] \leq \Gamma(\alpha-\gamma)D_{0^+}^\gamma G(\tau,\rho) \\ &\leq \tau^{\alpha-\gamma-1}(1-\rho)^{\alpha-\beta-1}, \quad \tau, \rho \in [0, 1]. \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 2.7 ([36])**  $K_h = P_{h_1} \times P_{h_2}$ , where that  $K = P \times P$  and  $h(\tau) = (h_1, h_2)$ .

### 3 Main results

Let  $E \times E \subset X \times X$  with  $X = C[0, 1]$  such that  $E = \{x|x, D_{0^+}^\eta x, D_{0^+}^\gamma x \in X\}$  endowed with the norm  $\|x\| = \max\{\max_{\tau \in [0, 1]} |x(\tau)|, \max_{\tau \in [0, 1]} D_{0^+}^\eta |x(\tau)|, \max_{\tau \in [0, 1]} D_{0^+}^\gamma |x(\tau)|\}$ . For  $(x, y) \in E \times E$ , let  $\|(x, y)\| = \max\{\|x\|, \|y\|\}$ . It is easy to see that  $(E \times E, \|(x, y)\|)$  is a Banach space. Define  $P = \{x \in E : x, D_{0^+}^\eta x, D_{0^+}^\gamma x \geq 0\}$ ,  $K = P \times P$ , then  $K$  is a normal cone equipped with the following partial order:

$$(x_1, y_1) \preceq (x_2, y_2) \Leftrightarrow x_1 \leq x_2, y_1 \leq y_2, \quad (8)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} D_{0^+}^\eta x_1(\tau) &\leq D_{0^+}^\eta x_2(\tau), \quad D_{0^+}^\gamma x_1(\tau) \leq D_{0^+}^\gamma x_2(\tau), \\ D_{0^+}^\eta y_1(\tau) &\leq D_{0^+}^\eta y_2(\tau), \quad D_{0^+}^\gamma y_1(\tau) \leq D_{0^+}^\gamma y_2(\tau). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.5 in [42], the unique positive solution for the problem (1) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} x(\tau) &= \int_0^1 G(\tau, \rho) f(\rho, x(\rho), D_{0^+}^\gamma x(\rho)) d\rho \\ &\quad + \int_0^1 G(\tau, \rho) g(\rho, x(\rho)) d\rho + \frac{\Gamma(\alpha-\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} k(x(1)) \tau^{\alpha-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$G(\tau, \rho) = \begin{cases} \frac{\tau^{\alpha-1}(1-\rho)^{\alpha-\beta-1} - (\tau-\rho)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)}, & 0 \leq \rho \leq \tau \leq 1; \\ \frac{\tau^{\alpha-1}(1-\rho)^{\alpha-\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)}, & 0 \leq \tau \leq \rho \leq 1, \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

is a Green function.

Assume that  $f_1(\tau, x, y), f_2(\tau, x, y)$  are continuous, then  $(x, y) \in X \times X$  is a solution of the system (2) if and only if  $(x, y)$  is a solution of the integral equations

$$\begin{cases} x(\tau) = \int_0^1 G_1(\tau, \rho) f_1(\rho, x(\rho), D_{0^+}^\gamma x(\rho)) d\rho \\ \quad + \int_0^1 G_1(\tau, \rho) g_1(\rho, y(\rho)) d\rho + \frac{\Gamma(\alpha-\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} k_2(x(1)) \tau^{\alpha-1}, \\ y(\tau) = \int_0^1 G_2(\tau, \rho) f_2(\rho, y(\rho), D_{0^+}^\gamma y(\rho)) d\rho \\ \quad + \int_0^1 G_2(\tau, \rho) g_2(\rho, x(\rho)) d\rho + \frac{\Gamma(\beta-\xi)}{\Gamma(\beta)} k_1(y(1)) \tau^{\beta-1}, \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

where

$$G_1(\tau, \rho) = \begin{cases} \frac{\tau^{\alpha-1}(1-\rho)^{\alpha-\zeta-1} - (\tau-\rho)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)}, & 0 \leq \rho \leq \tau \leq 1; \\ \frac{\tau^{\alpha-1}(1-\rho)^{\alpha-\zeta-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)}, & 0 \leq \tau \leq \rho \leq 1, \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

and

$$G_2(\tau, \rho) = \begin{cases} \frac{\tau^{\beta-1}(1-\rho)^{\beta-\xi-1} - (\tau-\rho)^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)}, & 0 \leq \rho \leq \tau \leq 1; \\ \frac{\tau^{\beta-1}(1-\rho)^{\beta-\xi-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)}, & 0 \leq \tau \leq \rho \leq 1, \end{cases} \quad (12)$$

are Green functions.

Let us define the operators  $A_1, B_1, C_1, A_2, B_2, C_2$  by

$$\begin{aligned} A_1(u)(\tau) &= \int_0^1 G_1(\tau, \rho)g_1(\rho, v(\rho))d\rho, & A_2(v)(\tau) &= \int_0^1 G_2(\tau, \rho)g_2(\rho, u(\rho))d\rho, \\ B_1(u)(\tau) &= \frac{\Gamma(\alpha-\zeta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)}k_2(u(1))\tau^{\alpha-1}, & B_2(v)(\tau) &= \frac{\Gamma(\beta-\xi)}{\Gamma(\beta)}k_1(v(1))\tau^{\beta-1}, \\ C_1(v, u)(\tau) &= \int_0^1 G_1(\tau, \rho)f_1(\rho, v(\rho), D_{0^+}^\eta u(\rho))d\rho, \\ C_2(u, v)(\tau) &= \int_0^1 G_2(\tau, \rho)f_2(\rho, u(\rho), D_{0^+}^\gamma v(\rho))d\rho, \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

for  $0 \leq \tau \leq 1$ .

**Theorem 3.1** Assume that

- (H<sub>1</sub>)  $f_1, f_2 : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ ,  $g_1, g_2 : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  and  $k_1, k_2 : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  are continuous, also:  $f_1(\tau, 1, 0) \not\equiv 0, f_2(\tau, 1, 0) \not\equiv 0$ ;
- (H<sub>2</sub>)  $f_1(\tau, x, y)$  and  $f_2(\tau, x, y)$  are increasing respect to  $x \in \mathbb{R}^+$ , decreasing respect to  $y \in \mathbb{R}^+$ ,  $g_1, g_2$  are increasing respect to  $y$  for fixed  $0 \leq \tau \leq 1$  and  $k_1, k_2$  are decreasing with  $k_1(y(1)), k_2(x(1)) \neq 0$ ;
- (H<sub>3</sub>)  $\exists \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$f_1(\tau, \lambda x, \lambda^{-1}y) \geq \lambda^{\alpha_1} f_1(\tau, x, y), \quad f_2(\tau, \lambda x, \lambda^{-1}y) \geq \lambda^{\alpha_2} f_2(\tau, x, y), \quad (14)$$

and  $g_1, g_2, k_1, k_2$  satisfy

$$g_i(\tau, \lambda x) \geq \lambda g_i(\tau, x), \quad k_i(\lambda^{-1}x) \geq \lambda k_i(x), \quad i = 1, 2, \quad (15)$$

for  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ ,  $0 \leq \tau \leq 1, x \in \mathbb{R}^+$ ;

- (H<sub>4</sub>)  $g_i(\tau, 0) \not\equiv 0$  and there exist positive constants  $\delta_{11}, \delta_{12}, \delta_{21}$  and  $\delta_{22}$  such that

$$f_i(\tau, x, y) \geq \delta_{i1} g_i(\tau, x),$$

$$f_i(\tau, x, y) \geq \delta_{i2} \geq k_i(y), \quad (i = 1, 2), 0 \leq \tau \leq 1, x, y \in \mathbb{R}^+.$$

Then

(1)  $\exists (u_{01}, u_{02}), (v_{01}, v_{02}) \in K \subset E \times E$  and  $r \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$r(v_{01}, v_{02}) \leq (u_{01}, u_{02}) < (v_{01}, v_{02}),$$

that is,

$$r(v_{01}, v_{02}) \leq (u_{01}, u_{02}) < (v_{01}, v_{02}),$$

$$\begin{aligned} r(D_{0^+}^\gamma v_{01}, D_{0^+}^\gamma v_{02}) &\leq (D_{0^+}^\eta u_{01}, D_{0^+}^\eta u_{02}) < (D_{0^+}^\gamma v_{01}, D_{0^+}^\gamma v_{02}), \\ (u_{01}, u_{02}) &\leq \left( \int_0^1 G_1(\tau, \rho) f_1(\rho, u_{01}(\rho), D_{0^+}^\eta u_{01}(\rho)) d\rho \right. \\ &\quad + \int_0^1 G_1(\tau, \rho) g_1(\rho, v_{01}(\rho)) d\rho + \frac{\Gamma(\alpha - \zeta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} k_2(u_{01}(1)) \tau^{\alpha-1}, \\ &\quad \left. \int_0^1 G_1(\tau, \rho) f_1(\rho, u_{02}(\rho), D_{0^+}^\eta u_{02}(\rho)) d\rho \right. \\ &\quad + \left. \int_0^1 G_1(\tau, \rho) g_1(\rho, v_{02}(\rho)) d\rho + \frac{\Gamma(\alpha - \zeta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} k_2(u_{02}(1)) \tau^{\alpha-1} \right), \\ D_{0^+}^\eta ((u_{01}, u_{02})) &\leq \left( \int_0^1 D_{0^+}^\eta G_1(\tau, \rho) f_1(\rho, u_{01}(\rho), D_{0^+}^\eta u_{01}(\rho)) d\rho \right. \\ &\quad + \int_0^1 D_{0^+}^\eta G_1(\tau, \rho) g_1(\rho, v_{01}(\rho)) d\rho + \frac{\Gamma(\alpha - \zeta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} k_2(u_{01}(1)) \tau^{\alpha-1}, \\ &\quad \left. \int_0^1 D_{0^+}^\eta G_1(\tau, \rho) f_1(\rho, u_{02}(\rho), D_{0^+}^\eta u_{02}(\rho)) d\rho \right. \\ &\quad + \left. \int_0^1 D_{0^+}^\eta G_1(\tau, \rho) g_1(\rho, v_{02}(\rho)) d\rho + \frac{\Gamma(\alpha - \zeta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} k_2(u_{02}(1)) \tau^{\alpha-1} \right), \\ (v_{01}, v_{02}) &\geq \left( \int_0^1 G_2(\tau, \rho) f_2(\rho, v_{01}(\rho), D_{0^+}^\gamma v_{01}(\rho)) d\rho \right. \\ &\quad + \int_0^1 G_2(\tau, \rho) g_2(\rho, u_{01}(\rho)) d\rho + \frac{\Gamma(\beta - \xi)}{\Gamma(\beta)} k_1(v_{01}(1)) \tau^{\beta-1}, \\ &\quad \left. \int_0^1 G_2(\tau, \rho) f_2(\rho, v_{02}(\rho), D_{0^+}^\gamma v_{02}(\rho)) d\rho \right. \\ &\quad + \left. \int_0^1 G_2(\tau, \rho) g_2(\rho, u_{02}(\rho)) d\rho + \frac{\Gamma(\beta - \xi)}{\Gamma(\beta)} k_1(v_{02}(1)) \tau^{\beta-1} \right), \\ D_{0^+}^\gamma (v_{01}, v_{02}) &\geq \left( \int_0^1 D_{0^+}^\gamma G_2(\tau, \rho) f_2(\rho, v_{01}(\rho), D_{0^+}^\gamma v_{01}(\rho)) d\rho \right. \\ &\quad + \int_0^1 D_{0^+}^\gamma G_2(\tau, \rho) g_2(\rho, u_{01}(\rho)) d\rho + \frac{\Gamma(\beta - \xi)}{\Gamma(\beta)} k_1(v_{01}(1)) \tau^{\beta-1}, \\ &\quad \left. \int_0^1 D_{0^+}^\gamma G_2(\tau, \rho) f_2(\rho, v_{02}(\rho), D_{0^+}^\gamma v_{02}(\rho)) d\rho \right. \\ &\quad + \left. \int_0^1 D_{0^+}^\gamma G_2(\tau, \rho) g_2(\rho, u_{02}(\rho)) d\rho + \frac{\Gamma(\beta - \xi)}{\Gamma(\beta)} k_1(v_{02}(1)) \tau^{\beta-1} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where  $G_1(\tau, \rho)$ ,  $G_2(\tau, \rho)$  are defined by (11) and (12), respectively.

(2) The problem (2) has a unique positive solution  $(u^*, v^*)$  in  $K_h$ , with  $h(\tau) = (h_1(\tau), h_2(\tau)) = (\tau^{\alpha-1}, \tau^{\beta-1})$ ,  $0 \leq \tau \leq 1$ .

(3) For  $(x_{01}, x_{02}), (y_{01}, y_{02}) \in P_h \times P_h$ , there are two iterative sequences  $\{(x_{n1}, x_{n2})\}$ ,  $\{(y_{n1}, y_{n2})\}$  for approximating  $(x^*, y^*)$ , that is,  $(x_{n1}, x_{n2}) \rightarrow (x^*, y^*)$ ,  $(y_{n1}, y_{n2}) \rightarrow (x^*, y^*)$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} (x_{n1}(\tau), x_{n2}(\tau)) &= \left( \int_0^1 G_1(\tau, \rho) f_1(\rho, x_{(n-1)1}(\rho), D_{0^+}^\eta x_{(n-1)1}(\rho)) d\rho \right. \\ &\quad + \int_0^1 G_1(\tau, \rho) g_1(\rho, y_{(n-1)1}(\rho)) d\rho + \frac{\Gamma(\alpha - \zeta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} k_2(x_{(n-1)1}(1)) \tau^{\alpha-1}, \\ &\quad \left. \int_0^1 G_1(\tau, \rho) f_1(\rho, x_{(n-1)2}(\rho), D_{0^+}^\eta x_{(n-1)2}(\rho)) d\rho \right. \\ &\quad + \int_0^1 G_1(\tau, \rho) g_1(\rho, y_{(n-1)2}(\rho)) d\rho + \frac{\Gamma(\alpha - \zeta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} k_2(x_{(n-1)2}(1)) \tau^{\alpha-1} \Big), \\ (y_{n1}(\tau), y_{n2}(\tau)) &= \left( \int_0^1 G_2(\tau, \rho) f_2(\rho, y_{(n-1)1}(\rho), D_{0^+}^\gamma y_{(n-1)1}(\rho)) d\rho \right. \\ &\quad + \int_0^1 G_2(\tau, \rho) g_2(\rho, x_{(n-1)1}(\rho)) d\rho + \frac{\Gamma(\beta - \xi)}{\Gamma(\beta)} k_1(y_{(n-1)1}(1)) \tau^{\beta-1}, \\ &\quad \left. \int_0^1 G_2(\tau, \rho) f_2(\rho, y_{(n-1)2}(\rho), D_{0^+}^\gamma y_{(n-1)2}(\rho)) d\rho \right. \\ &\quad + \int_0^1 G_2(\tau, \rho) g_2(\rho, x_{(n-1)2}(\rho)) d\rho + \frac{\Gamma(\beta - \xi)}{\Gamma(\beta)} k_1(y_{(n-1)2}(1)) \tau^{\beta-1} \Big), \\ n &= 1, 2, \dots. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof* By Lemma 2.6 we have

$$G_1(\tau, \rho), G_2(\tau, \rho), D_{0^+}^\eta G_1(\tau, \rho), D_{0^+}^\gamma G_1(\tau, \rho), D_{0^+}^\eta G_2(\tau, \rho), D_{0^+}^\gamma G_2(\tau, \rho) \geq 0. \quad (16)$$

Regarding (16) and  $(H_1)$  in (13) we get  $A_1, A_2, B_1, B_2 : P \rightarrow P$  and  $C_1, C_2 : P \times P \rightarrow P \times P$ .

Obviously  $A_1, A_2$  are increasing and sub-homogeneous, Because  $g_1, g_2$  are increasing and sub-homogeneous.  $B_1, B_2$  are decreasing (due to this fact,  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  are decreasing) and satisfy in conditions  $B_i(\lambda^{-1}x) \geq \lambda B_i(x)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , by (15). For any  $(u_1, v_1), (u_2, v_2) \in K$  with  $(u_1, v_1) \preceq (u_2, v_2)$ , considering that  $f_1(\tau, x, y)$  and  $f_2(\tau, x, y)$  are increasing in  $x$  and decreasing in  $y$ , we have

$$C_1(v_1, u_1) \leq C_1(v_2, u_1) \quad \text{for fixed } u_1 \quad \text{and} \quad C_1(v_1, u_1) \geq C_1(v_1, u_2) \quad \text{for fixed } v_1,$$

$$C_2(u_1, v_1) \leq C_2(u_2, v_1) \quad \text{for fixed } v_1 \quad \text{and} \quad C_2(u_1, v_1) \geq C_2(u_1, v_2) \quad \text{for fixed } u_1,$$

also

$$C_1(\tau, \lambda x, \lambda^{-1}y) \geq \lambda^{\alpha_1} C_1(\tau, x, y), \quad C_2(\tau, \lambda x, \lambda^{-1}y) \geq \lambda^{\alpha_2} C_2(\tau, x, y).$$

Set  $A = (A_1, A_2) : K \rightarrow K$ ,  $B = (B_1, B_2) : K \rightarrow K$ ,  $C = (C_1, C_2) : K \times K \rightarrow K$ . Then  $A, B, C$  satisfy Eq. (3) of Theorem 2.2, with replacing the cone  $K$  for the cone  $P$ .

From Lemma 2.7, we get  $K_h = P_{h_1} \times P_{h_2}$ , where  $h(\tau) = (h_1(\tau), h_2(\tau)) = (\tau^{\alpha-1}, \tau^{\beta-1})$ , also by condition (i) of Theorem 2.2, we need prove  $A_{1_{h_1}}, B_{1_{h_1}} \in P_{h_1}$ ,  $A_{2_{h_2}}, B_{2_{h_2}} \in P_{h_2}$  and  $C_1(h_1, h_1) \in P_{h_1}$ ,  $C_2(h_2, h_2) \in P_{h_2}$ .

Indeed

$$\begin{aligned} A_{1_{h_1}}(\tau) &= \int_0^1 G_1(\tau, \rho) g_1(\rho, h_2(\rho)) d\rho = \int_0^1 G_1(\tau, \rho) g_1(\rho, \rho^{\alpha-1}) d\rho \\ &\geq \tau^{\alpha-1} \int_0^1 \frac{(1-\rho)^{\alpha-\xi-1}[1-(1-\rho)^\xi]}{\Gamma(\alpha)} g_1(\rho, 0) d\rho > 0, \\ A_{1_{h_1}}(\tau) &= \int_0^1 G_1(\tau, \rho) g_1(\rho, h_2(\rho)) d\rho = \int_0^1 G_1(\tau, \rho) g_1(\rho, \rho^{\alpha-1}) d\rho \\ &\leq \tau^{\alpha-1} \int_0^1 \frac{(1-\rho)^{\alpha-\xi-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} g_1(\rho, 1) d\rho. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} a_{11} &:= \int_0^1 \frac{(1-\rho)^{\alpha-\xi-1}[1-(1-\rho)^\xi]}{\Gamma(\alpha)} g_1(\rho, 0) d\rho > 0. \\ a_{12} &:= \int_0^1 \frac{(1-\rho)^{\alpha-\xi-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} g_1(\rho, 1) d\rho. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $a_{12} \geq a_{11} > 0$  and thus

$$a_{11}h(\tau) \leq A_{1_{h_1}}(\tau) \leq a_{12}h(\tau), \quad 0 \leq \tau \leq 1. \quad (17)$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} A_{2_{h_2}}(\tau) &= \int_0^1 G_1(\tau, \rho) g_2(\rho, h_1(\rho)) d\rho = \int_0^1 G_1(\tau, \rho) g_2(\rho, \rho^{\beta-1}) d\rho \\ &\geq \tau^{\beta-1} \int_0^1 \frac{(1-\rho)^{\beta-\xi-1}[1-(1-\rho)^\xi]}{\Gamma(\beta)} g_2(\rho, 0) d\rho > 0, \\ A_{2_{h_2}}(\tau) &= \int_0^1 G_1(\tau, \rho) g_2(\rho, h_1(\rho)) d\rho = \int_0^1 G_1(\tau, \rho) g_2(\rho, \rho^{\beta-1}) d\rho \\ &\leq \tau^{\beta-1} \int_0^1 \frac{(1-\rho)^{\beta-\xi-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} g_2(\rho, 1) d\rho. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} a_{21} &:= \int_0^1 \frac{(1-\rho)^{\beta-\xi-1}[1-(1-\rho)^\xi]}{\Gamma(\beta)} g_2(\rho, 0) d\rho > 0, \\ a_{22} &:= \int_0^1 \frac{(1-\rho)^{\beta-\xi-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} g_2(\rho, 1) d\rho. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $a_{22} \geq a_{21} > 0$  and thus

$$\begin{aligned} a_{21}h(\tau) &\leq A_{2_{h_2}}(\tau) \leq a_{22}h(\tau), \quad 0 \leq \tau \leq 1, \\ B_1(u)(\tau) &= \frac{\Gamma(\alpha-\xi)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} k_2(u(1)) \tau^{\alpha-1}, \quad B_2(v)(\tau) = \frac{\Gamma(\beta-\xi)}{\Gamma(\beta)} k_1(v(1)) \tau^{\beta-1}, \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

therefore

$$B_1(h_1)(\tau) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha - \zeta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} k_2(1) \tau^{\alpha-1}, \quad B_2(h_2)(\tau) = \frac{\Gamma(\beta - \xi)}{\Gamma(\beta)} k_1(1) \tau^{\beta-1}.$$

From  $k_2(u(1)) \neq 0$  and  $k_1(v(1)) \neq 0$  we get  $B_{1,h_1} \in P_{h_1}$ ,  $B_{2,h_2} \in P_{h_2}$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} C_1(h_1, h_1)(\tau) &= \int_0^1 G_1(\tau, \rho) f_1(\rho, h(\rho), D_{0^+}^\eta h(\rho)) d\rho \\ &\leq \int_0^1 \frac{\tau^{\alpha-1}(1-\rho)^{\alpha-\zeta-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} f_1\left(\rho, \rho^{\alpha-1}, \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)}{\Gamma(\alpha-\eta)} \tau^{\alpha-\eta-1}\right) d\rho \\ &\leq \tau^{\alpha-1} \int_0^1 \frac{(1-\rho)^{\alpha-\zeta-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} f_1(\rho, 1, 0) d\rho, \\ C_1(h_1, h_1)(\tau) &= \int_0^1 G_1(\tau, \rho) f_1(\rho, h(\rho), D_{0^+}^\eta h(\rho)) d\rho \\ &\geq \int_0^1 \frac{\tau^{\alpha-1}(1-\rho)^{\alpha-\zeta-1}[1-(1-\rho)^\zeta]}{\Gamma(\alpha)} f_1\left(\rho, \rho^{\alpha-1}, \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)}{\Gamma(\alpha-\eta)} (\tau)^{\alpha-\eta-1}\right) d\rho \\ &\geq \tau^{\alpha-1} \int_0^1 \frac{(1-\rho)^{\alpha-\zeta-1}[1-(1-\rho)^\zeta]}{\Gamma(\alpha)} f_1\left(\rho, 0, \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)}{\Gamma(\alpha-\eta)}\right) d\rho, \\ C_2(h_2, h_2)(\tau) &= \int_0^1 G_2(\tau, \rho) f_2(\rho, u(\rho), D_{0^+}^\gamma v(\rho)) d\rho \\ &\leq \int_0^1 \frac{\tau^{\beta-1}(1-\rho)^{\beta-\xi-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} f_2\left(\rho, \rho^{\beta-1}, \frac{\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\beta-\gamma)} \tau^{\beta-\gamma-1}\right) d\rho \\ &\leq \tau^{\beta-1} \int_0^1 \frac{(1-\rho)^{\beta-\xi-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} f_2(\rho, 1, 0) d\rho, \\ C_2(h_2, h_2)(\tau) &= \int_0^1 G_2(\tau, \rho) f_2(\rho, u(\rho), D_{0^+}^\gamma v(\rho)) d\rho \\ &\geq \int_0^1 \frac{\tau^{\beta-1}(1-\rho)^{\beta-\xi-1}[1-(1-\rho)^\xi]}{\Gamma(\beta)} f_2\left(\rho, \rho^{\beta-1}, \frac{\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\beta-\gamma)} (\tau)^{\beta-\gamma-1}\right) d\rho \\ &\geq \tau^{\beta-1} \int_0^1 \frac{(1-\rho)^{\beta-\xi-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} f_2\left(\rho, 0, \frac{\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\beta-\gamma)}\right) d\rho. \end{aligned}$$

We can calculate that

$$\begin{aligned} D_{0^+}^\eta A_1(u)(\tau) &= \int_0^1 D_{0^+}^\eta G_1(\tau, \rho) g_1(\rho, v(\rho)) d\rho, \\ D_{0^+}^\gamma A_2(v)(\tau) &= \int_0^1 D_{0^+}^\gamma G_2(\tau, \rho) g_2(\rho, u(\rho)) d\rho, \\ D_{0^+}^\eta B_1(u)(\tau) &= \frac{\Gamma(\alpha - \zeta)}{\Gamma(\alpha - \eta)} k_2(u(1)) \tau^{\alpha-\eta-1}, \quad D_{0^+}^\gamma B_2(v)(\tau) = \frac{\Gamma(\beta - \xi)}{\Gamma(\beta - \gamma)} k_1(v(1)) \tau^{\beta-\gamma-1}, \\ D_{0^+}^\eta C_1(v, u)(\tau) &= \int_0^1 D_{0^+}^\eta G_1(\tau, \rho) f_1(\rho, v(\rho), D_{0^+}^\eta u(\rho)) d\rho, \\ D_{0^+}^\gamma C_2(u, v)(\tau) &= \int_0^1 D_{0^+}^\gamma G_2(\tau, \rho) f_2(\rho, u(\rho), D_{0^+}^\gamma v(\rho)) d\rho, \end{aligned}$$

also

$$\begin{aligned} D_{0^+}^\eta A_1(h)(\tau) &= \int_0^1 D_{0^+}^\eta G_1(\tau, \rho) g_1(\rho, \rho^{\alpha-1}) d\rho \\ &\geq \int_0^1 \frac{\tau^{\alpha-\eta-1}(1-\rho)^{\alpha-\zeta-1}[1-(1-\rho)^{\zeta-\eta}]}{\Gamma(\alpha-\eta)} g_1(\rho, \rho^{\alpha-1}) d\rho \\ &\geq \tau^{\alpha-\eta-1} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)}{\Gamma(\alpha-\eta)} \int_0^1 \frac{(1-\rho)^{\alpha-\zeta-1}[1-(1-\rho)^{\zeta-\eta}]}{\Gamma(\alpha)} g_1(\rho, 0) d\rho, \\ D_{0^+}^\eta A_1(h)(\tau) &= \int_0^1 D_{0^+}^\eta G_1(\tau, \rho) g_1(\rho, \rho^{\alpha-1}) d\rho \\ &\leq \int_0^1 \frac{\tau^{\alpha-\eta-1}(1-\rho)^{\alpha-\zeta-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha-\eta)} g_1(\rho, \rho^{\alpha-1}) d\rho \\ &\leq \tau^{\alpha-\eta-1} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)}{\Gamma(\alpha-\eta)} \int_0^1 \frac{(1-\rho)^{\alpha-\zeta-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} g_1(\rho, 1) d\rho. \end{aligned}$$

Set  $a'_{11} = \int_0^1 \frac{(1-\rho)^{\alpha-\zeta-1}[1-(1-\rho)^{\zeta-\eta}]}{\Gamma(\alpha)} g_1(\rho, 0) d\rho$  and  $a'_{12} = \int_0^1 \frac{(1-\rho)^{\alpha-\zeta-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} g_1(\rho, 1) d\rho$ , we have

$$a'_{11} D_{0^+}^\eta h \leq D_{0^+}^\eta A_1(h) \leq a'_{12} D_{0^+}^\eta h$$

and by (17) and (18) we have  $a'_{11} h \leq A_1(h) \leq a'_{12} h$ . So  $\min\{a_{11}, a'_{11}\}h \preceq A_1(h) \preceq \max\{a_{12}, a'_{12}\}h$ . Hence  $A_1(h) \in P_h$ .

Again we have

$$\begin{aligned} D_{0^+}^\gamma A_2(h)(\tau) &= \int_0^1 D_{0^+}^\gamma G_1(\tau, \rho) g_1(\rho, \rho^{\beta-1}) d\rho \\ &\geq \int_0^1 \frac{\tau^{\beta-\gamma-1}(1-\rho)^{\beta-\xi-1}[1-(1-\rho)^{\xi-\gamma}]}{\Gamma(\beta-\gamma)} g_1(\rho, \rho^{\beta-1}) d\rho \\ &\geq \tau^{\beta-\gamma-1} \frac{\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\beta-\gamma)} \int_0^1 \frac{(1-\rho)^{\beta-\xi-1}[1-(1-\rho)^{\xi-\gamma}]}{\Gamma(\beta)} g_1(\rho, 0) d\rho, \\ D_{0^+}^\gamma A_2(h)(\tau) &= \int_0^1 D_{0^+}^\gamma G_1(\tau, \rho) g_1(\rho, \rho^{\beta-1}) d\rho \\ &\leq \int_0^1 \frac{\tau^{\beta-\gamma-1}(1-\rho)^{\beta-\xi-1}}{\Gamma(\beta-\gamma)} g_1(\rho, \rho^{\beta-1}) d\rho \\ &\leq \tau^{\beta-\gamma-1} \frac{\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\beta-\gamma)} \int_0^1 \frac{(1-\rho)^{\beta-\xi-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} g_1(\rho, 1) d\rho. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we set  $a'_{21} = \int_0^1 \frac{(1-\rho)^{\beta-\xi-1}[1-(1-\rho)^{\xi-\eta}]}{\Gamma(\beta)} g_1(\rho, 0) d\rho$  and  $a'_{22} = \int_0^1 \frac{(1-\rho)^{\beta-\xi-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} g_1(\rho, 1) d\rho$ , we have

$$a'_{21} D_{0^+}^\gamma h \leq D_{0^+}^\gamma A_2(h) \leq a'_{22} D_{0^+}^\gamma h$$

and by (17) we have  $a'_{21} h \leq A_2(h) \leq a'_{22} h$ . So  $\min\{a_{21}, a'_{21}\}h \preceq A_2(h) \preceq \max\{a_{22}, a'_{22}\}h$ , hence  $A_2(h) \in P_h$ .

Furthermore,

$$B_1(h_1) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha-\zeta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} k_2(1) \tau^{\alpha-1} = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha-\zeta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} k_2(1) h_1(\tau),$$

$$\begin{aligned} D_{0^+}^\eta B_1(h_1) &= \frac{\Gamma(\alpha - \zeta)}{\Gamma(\alpha - \eta)} k_2(1) \tau^{\alpha-\eta-1} = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)}{\Gamma(\alpha - \eta)} k_2(1) \tau^{\alpha-\eta-1} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha - \zeta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)}{\Gamma(\alpha - \eta)} k_2(1) D_{0^+}^\eta h_1(\tau), \end{aligned}$$

therefore

$$\begin{aligned} B_2(h_2) &= \frac{\Gamma(\beta - \xi)}{\Gamma(\beta)} k_1(1) \tau^{\beta-1} = \frac{\Gamma(\beta - \xi)}{\Gamma(\beta)} k_1(1) h_2(\tau), \\ D_{0^+}^\gamma B_2(h_2) &= \frac{\Gamma(\beta - \xi)}{\Gamma(\beta - \gamma)} k_1(1) \tau^{\beta-\gamma-1} \frac{\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\beta - \gamma)} k_1(1) \tau^{\beta-\gamma-1} \frac{\Gamma(\beta - \xi)}{\Gamma(\beta)} \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\beta - \gamma)} k_1(1) D_{0^+}^\gamma h_2(\tau), \end{aligned}$$

from  $k_2(u(1)) \not\equiv 0$  and  $k_1(v(1)) \not\equiv 0$  we get  $B_{1,h_1} \in P_{h_1}$ ,  $B_{2,h_2} \in P_{h_2}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} D_{0^+}^\eta C_1(h_1, h_1)(\tau) &= \int_0^1 D_{0^+}^\eta G_1(\tau, \rho) f_1(\rho, \rho^{\alpha-1}, D_{0^+}^\eta \rho^{\alpha-1}) d\rho \\ &\leq \int_0^1 \frac{\tau^{\alpha-\eta-1}(1-\rho)^{\alpha-\zeta-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha-\eta)} f_1\left(\rho, \rho^{\alpha-1}, \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)}{\Gamma(\alpha-\eta)} \tau^{\alpha-\eta-1}\right) d\rho \\ &\leq \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)}{\Gamma(\alpha-\eta)} \tau^{\alpha-\eta-1} \int_0^1 \frac{(1-\rho)^{\alpha-\zeta-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} f_1(\rho, 1, 0) d\rho, \\ D_{0^+}^\eta C_1(h_1, h_1)(\tau) &= \int_0^1 D_{0^+}^\eta G_1(\tau, \rho) f_1(\rho, \rho^{\alpha-1}, D_{0^+}^\eta \rho^{\alpha-1}) d\rho \\ &\geq \int_0^1 \frac{\tau^{\alpha-1}(1-\rho)^{\alpha-\zeta-1}[1-(1-\rho)^{\zeta-\eta}]}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \\ &\quad \times f_1\left(\rho, \rho^{\alpha-1}, \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)}{\Gamma(\alpha-\eta)} \tau^{\alpha-\eta-1}\right) d\rho \\ &\geq \tau^{\alpha-\eta-1} \int_0^1 \frac{(1-\rho)^{\alpha-\zeta-1}[1-(1-\rho)^{\zeta-\eta}]}{\Gamma(\alpha)} f_1\left(\rho, 0, \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)}{\Gamma(\alpha-\eta)}\right) d\rho, \\ D_{0^+}^\gamma C_2(h_2, h_2)(\tau) &= \int_0^1 D_{0^+}^\gamma G_2(\tau, \rho) f_2(\rho, \rho^{\beta-1}, D_{0^+}^\gamma \rho^{\beta-1}) d\rho \\ &\leq \int_0^1 \frac{\tau^{\beta-1}(1-\rho)^{\beta-\xi-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} f_2\left(\rho, \rho^{\beta-1}, \frac{\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\beta-\gamma)} \tau^{\beta-\gamma-1}\right) d\rho \\ &\leq \tau^{\beta-1} \int_0^1 \frac{(1-\rho)^{\beta-\xi-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} f_2(\rho, 1, 0) d\rho, \\ D_{0^+}^\gamma C_2(h_2, h_2)(\tau) &= \int_0^1 D_{0^+}^\gamma G_2(\tau, \rho) f_2(\rho, \rho^{\beta-1}, D_{0^+}^\gamma \rho^{\beta-1}) d\rho \\ &\geq \int_0^1 \frac{\tau^{\beta-1}(1-\rho)^{\beta-\xi-1}[1-(1-\rho)^{\xi-\gamma}]}{\Gamma(\beta)} \\ &\quad \times f_2\left(\rho, \rho^{\beta-1}, \frac{\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\beta-\gamma)} \tau^{\beta-\gamma-1}\right) d\rho \\ &\geq \tau^{\beta-1} \int_0^1 \frac{(1-\rho)^{\beta-\xi-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} f_2\left(\rho, 0, \frac{\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\beta-\gamma)}\right) d\rho. \end{aligned}$$

Set

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 &= \int_0^1 \frac{(1-\rho)^{\alpha-\xi-1}[1-(1-\rho)^{\alpha-\eta}]f_1(\rho, 0, \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)}{\Gamma(\alpha-\gamma)})}{\Gamma(\alpha)} d\rho, \\ c_2 &= \int_0^1 \frac{(1-\rho)^{\alpha-\xi-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} f_1(\rho, 1, 0) d\rho, \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} c_3 &= \int_0^1 \frac{(1-\rho)^{\beta-\xi-1}[1-(1-\rho)^{\beta-\gamma}]f_2(\rho, 0, \frac{\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\beta-\gamma)})}{\Gamma(\beta)} d\rho, \\ c_4 &= \int_0^1 \frac{(1-\rho)^{\beta-\xi-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} f_2(\rho, 1, 0) d\rho. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

From  $(H_2)$  and  $(H_4)$ , it is clear that

$$c_2 \geq c_1 \geq \delta_1 a_{11} > 0, \quad c_4 \geq c_3 \geq \delta_1 a_{21} > 0.$$

Consequently,

$$c_1 h \leq C_1(h, h) \leq c_2 h, \quad c_3 h \leq C_2(h, h) \leq c_4 h.$$

Next, we show the proof the condition  $(A_2)$  of Lemma 2.5. By  $(H_4)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} C_1(y, x) &= \int_0^1 G_1(\tau, \rho) f_1(\rho, y(\rho), D_{0+}^\eta x(\rho)) d\rho \\ &\geq \delta_{11} \int_0^1 G_1(\tau, \rho) g_1(\rho, y(\rho)) d\rho \\ &= \delta_{11} A_1(x), \\ D_{0+}^\eta C_1(y, x) &= \int_0^1 D_{0+}^\eta G_1(\tau, \rho) f_1(\rho, y(\rho), D_{0+}^\eta x(\rho)) d\rho \\ &\geq \delta_{11} \int_0^1 D_{0+}^\eta G_1(\tau, \rho) g_1(\rho, y(\rho)) d\rho \\ &= \delta_{11} D_{0+}^\eta A_1(x). \end{aligned}$$

Then  $C_1(y, x) \geq \delta_{11} A_1(x)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} C_2(x, y) &= \int_0^1 G_2(\tau, \rho) f_2(\rho, x(\rho), D_{0+}^\gamma y(\rho)) d\rho \\ &\geq \delta_{21} \int_0^1 G_2(\tau, \rho) g_2(\rho, x(\rho)) d\rho \\ &= \delta_{21} A_2(y), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
D_{0^+}^\gamma C_2(x, y) &= \int_0^1 D_{0^+}^\gamma G_2(\tau, \rho) f_2(\rho, x(\rho), D_{0^+}^\gamma y(\rho)) d\rho \\
&\geq \delta_{21} \int_0^1 D_{0^+}^\gamma G_2(\tau, \rho) g_2(\rho, x(\rho)) d\rho \\
&= \delta_{21} D_{0^+}^\gamma A_2(y).
\end{aligned}$$

Then  $C_2(y, x) \succeq \delta_{21} A_2(y)$ . From  $(H_4)$  and Lemma 2.6, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
C_1(y, x) &= \int_0^1 G_1(\tau, \rho) f_1(\rho, y(\rho), D_{0^+}^\eta x(\rho)) d\rho \\
&\geq \int_0^1 \frac{\tau^{\alpha-1}(1-\rho)^{\alpha-\zeta-1}[1-(1-\rho)^\zeta]}{\Gamma(\alpha)} f_1(\rho, y(\rho), D_{0^+}^\eta x(\rho)) d\rho \\
&\geq \frac{\tau^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left( \frac{1}{\alpha-\zeta} - \frac{1}{\alpha} \right) \delta_{12} \\
&\geq \frac{\tau^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left( \frac{1}{\alpha-\zeta} - \frac{1}{\alpha-\eta} \right) k_1(y(1)) \\
&= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha-\zeta)} \left( \frac{1}{\alpha-\zeta} - \frac{1}{\alpha-\eta} \right) B_2 y, \\
D_{0^+}^\eta C_1(y, x) &= \int_0^1 D_{0^+}^\eta G(\tau, \rho) f_1(\rho, y(\rho), D_{0^+}^\eta x(\rho)) d\rho \\
&\geq \frac{\tau^{\alpha-\eta-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha-\eta)} \int_0^1 (1-\rho)^{\alpha-\zeta-1}(1-(1-\rho)^{\zeta-\eta}) f_1(\rho, y(\rho), D_{0^+}^\eta x(\rho)) d\rho \\
&\geq \frac{\tau^{\alpha-\eta-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha-\eta)} \left( \frac{1}{\alpha-\zeta} - \frac{1}{\alpha-\eta} \right) k_1(y(1)) \\
&= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha-\zeta)} \left( \frac{1}{\alpha-\zeta} - \frac{1}{\alpha-\eta} \right) D_{0^+}^\eta B_2 y.
\end{aligned}$$

That means  $C_1(x, y) \succeq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha-\zeta)} \left( \frac{1}{\alpha-\zeta} - \frac{1}{\alpha-\eta} \right) B_2 y$ . Let

$$\delta_1 = \min \left\{ \delta_{12}, \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha-\zeta)} \left( \frac{1}{\alpha-\zeta} - \frac{1}{\alpha-\eta} \right) \right\},$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}
C_1(x, y) &\succeq \delta_1 (A_1 x + B_2 y), \\
C_2(x, y) &= \int_0^1 G_2(\tau, \rho) f_2(\rho, x(\rho), D_{0^+}^\gamma y(\rho)) d\rho \\
&\geq \int_0^1 \frac{\tau^{\beta-1}(1-\rho)^{\beta-\xi-1}[1-(1-\rho)^\xi]}{\Gamma(\beta)} f_2(\rho, x(\rho), D_{0^+}^\gamma y(\rho)) d\rho \\
&\geq \frac{\tau^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} \left( \frac{1}{\beta-\xi} - \frac{1}{\beta} \right) \delta_{22} \\
&\geq \frac{\tau^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} \left( \frac{1}{\beta-\xi} - \frac{1}{\beta-\gamma} \right) k_2(x(1)) \\
&= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta-\xi)} \left( \frac{1}{\beta-\xi} - \frac{1}{\beta-\gamma} \right) B_1 x,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
D_{0^+}^\gamma C_2(x, y) &= \int_0^1 D_{0^+}^\gamma G_2(\tau, \rho) f_2(\rho, x(\rho), D_{0^+}^\gamma y(\rho)) d\rho \\
&\geq \frac{\tau^{\beta-\gamma-1}}{\Gamma(\beta-\gamma)} \int_0^1 (1-\rho)^{\beta-\gamma-1} (1-(1-\rho)^{\xi-\gamma}) f_2(\rho, x(\rho), D_{0^+}^\gamma y(\rho)) d\rho \\
&\geq \frac{\tau^{\beta-\gamma-1}}{\Gamma(\beta-\gamma)} \left( \frac{1}{\beta-\xi} - \frac{1}{\beta-\gamma} \right) k_1(y(1)) \\
&= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta-\xi)} \left( \frac{1}{\beta-\xi} - \frac{1}{\beta-\gamma} \right) D_{0^+}^\gamma B_1 x.
\end{aligned}$$

That means  $C_2(y, x) \succeq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta-\xi)} \left( \frac{1}{\beta-\xi} - \frac{1}{\beta-\gamma} \right) B_1 x$ . Let

$$\delta_2 = \min \left\{ \delta_{22}, \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta-\xi)} \left( \frac{1}{\beta-\xi} - \frac{1}{\beta-\gamma} \right) \right\}.$$

Then we have

$$C_2(y, x) \succeq \delta_2 (A_2 x + B_1 y).$$

We see that the conclusion (2) in Lemma 2.5 means that there exist  $u_{01}, u_{02}, v_{01}, v_{02} \in P_h$  and  $r \in (0, 1)$  such that

(1)  $\exists (u_{01}, v_{01}), (u_{02}, v_{02}) \in K \subset E \times E$  and  $r \in (0, 1)$  with

$$r(v_{01}, v_{02}) \preceq (u_{01}, u_{02}) \prec (v_{01}, v_{02}),$$

that is,

$$\begin{aligned}
r(v_{01}, v_{02}) &\leq (u_{01}, u_{02}) < (v_{01}, v_{02}), \\
r(D_{0^+}^\gamma v_{01}, D_{0^+}^\gamma v_{02}) &\leq (D_{0^+}^\eta u_{01}, D_{0^+}^\eta u_{02}) < (D_{0^+}^\gamma v_{01}, D_{0^+}^\gamma v_{02}), \\
(u_{01}, u_{02}) &\leq \left( \int_0^1 G_1(\tau, \rho) f_1(\rho, u_{01}(\rho), D_{0^+}^\eta u_{01}(\rho)) d\rho \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \int_0^1 G_1(\tau, \rho) g_1(\rho, v_{01}(\rho)) d\rho + \frac{\Gamma(\alpha-\zeta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} k_2(u_{01}(1)) \tau^{\alpha-1}, \right. \\
&\quad \left. \int_0^1 G_1(\tau, \rho) f_1(\rho, u_{02}(\rho), D_{0^+}^\eta u_{02}(\rho)) d\rho \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \int_0^1 G_1(\tau, \rho) g_1(\rho, v_{02}(\rho)) d\rho + \frac{\Gamma(\alpha-\zeta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} k_2(u_{02}(1)) \tau^{\alpha-1} \right), \\
D_{0^+}^\eta ((u_{01}, u_{02})) &\leq \left( \int_0^1 D_{0^+}^\eta G_1(\tau, \rho) f_1(\rho, u_{01}(\rho), D_{0^+}^\eta u_{01}(\rho)) d\rho \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \int_0^1 D_{0^+}^\eta G_1(\tau, \rho) g_1(\rho, v_{01}(\rho)) d\rho \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{\Gamma(\alpha-\zeta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} k_2(u_{01}(1)) \tau^{\alpha-1}, \right. \\
&\quad \left. \int_0^1 D_{0^+}^\eta G_1(\tau, \rho) f_1(\rho, u_{02}(\rho), D_{0^+}^\eta u_{02}(\rho)) d\rho \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \int_0^1 D_{0^+}^\eta G_1(\tau, \rho) g_1(\rho, v_{02}(\rho)) d\rho + \frac{\Gamma(\alpha-\zeta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} k_2(u_{02}(1)) \tau^{\alpha-1} \right),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(v_{01}, v_{02}) &\geq \left( \int_0^1 G_2(\tau, \rho) f_2(\rho, v_{01}(\rho), D_{0^+}^\gamma v_{01}(\rho)) d\rho \right. \\
&\quad + \int_0^1 G_2(\tau, \rho) g_2(\rho, u_{01}(\rho)) d\rho + \frac{\Gamma(\beta - \xi)}{\Gamma(\beta)} k_1(v_{01}(1)) \tau^{\beta-1}, \\
&\quad \left. \int_0^1 G_2(\tau, \rho) f_2(\rho, v_{02}(\rho), D_{0^+}^\gamma v_{02}(\rho)) d\rho \right. \\
&\quad + \left. \int_0^1 G_2(\tau, \rho) g_2(\rho, u_{02}(\rho)) d\rho + \frac{\Gamma(\beta - \xi)}{\Gamma(\beta)} k_1(v_{02}(1)) \tau^{\beta-1} \right), \\
D_{0^+}^\gamma (v_{01}, v_{02}) &\geq \left( \int_0^1 D_{0^+}^\gamma G_2(\tau, \rho) f_2(\rho, v_{01}(\rho), D_{0^+}^\gamma v_{01}(\rho)) d\rho \right. \\
&\quad + \int_0^1 D_{0^+}^\gamma G_2(\tau, \rho) g_2(\rho, u_{01}(\rho)) d\rho + \frac{\Gamma(\beta - \xi)}{\Gamma(\beta)} k_1(v_{01}(1)) \tau^{\beta-1}, \\
&\quad \left. \int_0^1 D_{0^+}^\gamma G_2(\tau, \rho) f_2(\rho, v_{02}(\rho), D_{0^+}^\gamma v_{02}(\rho)) d\rho \right. \\
&\quad + \left. \int_0^1 D_{0^+}^\gamma G_2(\tau, \rho) g_2(\rho, u_{02}(\rho)) d\rho + \frac{\Gamma(\beta - \xi)}{\Gamma(\beta)} k_1(v_{02}(1)) \tau^{\beta-1} \right),
\end{aligned}$$

where  $h(\tau) = (h_1(\tau), h_2(\tau)) = (\tau^{\alpha-1}, \tau^{\beta-1})$ ,  $0 \leq \tau \leq 1$ , and  $G_1(\tau, \rho)$ ,  $G_2(\tau, \rho)$  are defined by (11) and (12), respectively.

(2) The problem (2) has a unique positive solution  $(u^*, v^*)$  in  $K_h$ ;

(3) For  $(x_{01}, x_{02}), (y_{01}, y_{02}) \in P_h \times P_h$ , there are two iterative sequences  $\{(x_{n1}, x_{n2})\}$  and  $\{(y_{n1}, y_{n2})\}$  for approximating  $(x^*, y^*)$ , that is,  $(x_{n1}, x_{n2}) \rightarrow (x^*, y^*)$  and  $(y_{n1}, y_{n2}) \rightarrow (x^*, y^*)$ , where

$$\begin{aligned}
(x_{n1}(\tau), x_{n2}(\tau)) &= \left( \int_0^1 G_1(\tau, \rho) f_1(\rho, x_{(n-1)1}(\rho), D_{0^+}^\eta x_{(n-1)1}(\rho)) d\rho \right. \\
&\quad + \int_0^1 G_1(\tau, \rho) g_1(\rho, y_{(n-1)1}(\rho)) d\rho + \frac{\Gamma(\alpha - \zeta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} k_2(x_{(n-1)1}(1)) \tau^{\alpha-1}, \\
&\quad \left. \int_0^1 G_1(\tau, \rho) f_1(\rho, x_{(n-1)2}(\rho), D_{0^+}^\eta x_{(n-1)2}(\rho)) d\rho \right. \\
&\quad + \left. \int_0^1 G_1(\tau, \rho) g_1(\rho, y_{(n-1)2}(\rho)) d\rho + \frac{\Gamma(\alpha - \zeta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} k_2(x_{(n-1)2}(1)) \tau^{\alpha-1} \right), \\
(y_{n1}(\tau), y_{n2}(\tau)) &= \left( \int_0^1 G_2(\tau, \rho) f_2(\rho, y_{(n-1)1}(\rho), D_{0^+}^\gamma y_{(n-1)1}(\rho)) d\rho, \right. \\
&\quad \int_0^1 G_2(\tau, \rho) g_2(\rho, x_{(n-1)1}(\rho)) d\rho, \frac{\Gamma(\beta - \xi)}{\Gamma(\beta)} k_1(y_{(n-1)1}(1)) \tau^{\beta-1}, \\
&\quad \left. \int_0^1 G_2(\tau, \rho) f_2(\rho, y_{(n-1)2}(\rho), D_{0^+}^\gamma y_{(n-1)2}(\rho)) d\rho, \right. \\
&\quad \left. \int_0^1 G_2(\tau, \rho) g_2(\rho, x_{(n-1)2}(\rho)) d\rho, \frac{\Gamma(\beta - \xi)}{\Gamma(\beta)} k_1(y_{(n-1)2}(1)) \tau^{\beta-1} \right),
\end{aligned}$$

$n = 1, 2, \dots$

□

### 3.1 Example

Let us consider

$$\begin{cases} D_{0^+}^{\frac{7}{2}}x(\tau) + \tau^2 + (y(\tau))^{\frac{1}{4}} + (x(\tau))^{\frac{1}{4}} + (D_{0^+}^{\frac{3}{2}}x(\tau) + 1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} + 1 = 0, & \tau \in (0, 1), \\ D_{0^+}^{\frac{10}{3}}y(\tau) + \tau + \tau^3 + \frac{y}{1+y} + \frac{x}{1+x} + \frac{1}{D_{0^+}^{\frac{3}{2}}y(\tau)+1} = 0, & \tau \in (0, 1), \\ x(0) = x'(0) = x''(0) = 0, \\ y(0) = y'(0) = y''(0) = 0, \\ [D_{0^+}^{\frac{8}{5}}x(\tau)]_{\tau=1} = (x(1))^{-\frac{1}{3}} + 5, \quad [D_{0^+}^{\frac{11}{6}}y(\tau)]_{\tau=1} = \frac{1}{1+y(1)^{\frac{1}{2}}}. \end{cases} \quad (21)$$

Let  $g_1(\tau, y) = (x(\tau))^{\frac{1}{4}} + \tau^2$ ,  $f_1(\tau, x, y) = (x(\tau))^{\frac{1}{4}} + (y(\tau) + 1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} + 1$  and  $k_1(y) = \frac{1}{1+y^{\frac{1}{2}}}$ , also  $g_2(\tau, x) = \tau^3 + \frac{x}{1+x}$ ,  $f_2(\tau, x, y) = \tau + \frac{x}{1+x} + \frac{1}{y+1}$  and  $k_2(x) = x^{-\frac{1}{3}} + 5$ .

Obviously,  $g_1, g_2 : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ ,  $f_1, f_2 : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  and  $k_1, k_2 : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  are continuous. It is easy to check that  $g_1(\tau, y), g_2(\tau, x)$  are increasing in  $y, x$ , respectively, and  $k_1(y), k_2(x)$  are decreasing in  $y, x \in \mathbb{R}^+$  (respectively) and  $f_1(\tau, x, y), f_2(\tau, x, y)$  are increasing in  $x$  and decreasing in  $y$  for fixed  $\tau \in (0, 1)$ . In addition, for any  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} g_1(\tau, \lambda y) &= \tau^2 + (\lambda y(\tau))^{\frac{1}{4}} \geq \lambda^{\frac{1}{4}} 2 + \lambda^{\frac{1}{4}} (y(\tau))^{\frac{1}{4}} = \lambda^{\frac{1}{4}} g_1(\tau, y), \\ g_2(\tau, \lambda x) &= \tau^3 + \frac{\lambda x}{1+\eta x} \geq \lambda \tau + \lambda \frac{x}{1+x} = \lambda g_2(\tau, x), \\ f_1(\tau, \lambda x, \lambda^{-1}y) &= \lambda^{\frac{1}{4}} (x(\tau))^{\frac{1}{4}} + \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} (y(\tau) + 1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} + 1 \geq \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} ((x(\tau))^{\frac{1}{4}} + \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} (y(\tau) + 1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} + 1) \\ &= \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} f(\tau, x, y), \\ f_2(\tau, \lambda x, \lambda^{-1}y) &= \tau + \frac{\lambda x}{1+\lambda x} + \frac{1}{\lambda^{-1}y+1} \geq \tau + \frac{\lambda x}{1+x} + \frac{\lambda}{y+1} \geq \lambda f_2(\tau, x, y), \\ k_1(\lambda^{-1}y) &= \frac{1}{1+(\lambda^{-1}y)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \geq \frac{\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}}{1+y^{\frac{1}{2}}} \geq \frac{\lambda}{1+y^{\frac{1}{2}}} = \lambda k_1(y). \\ k_2(\lambda^{-1}x) &= (\lambda^{-1}x)^{-\frac{1}{3}} + 5 \geq \lambda^{\frac{1}{3}} k_2(x). \end{aligned}$$

Besides,  $g_1(\tau, 0) = 2 \not\equiv 0$ ,  $g_2(\tau, 0) = \tau \not\equiv 0$  Moreover, set  $\delta_1 = \delta_2 = 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(\tau, x, y) &= (x(\tau))^{\frac{1}{4}} + (y(\tau) + 1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} + 1 \geq (x(\tau))^{\frac{1}{4}} + \tau^2 = \delta_1 g(\tau, x), \\ f_2(\tau, x, y) &= \tau + \frac{x}{1+x} + \frac{1}{y+1} \geq \tau^3 + \frac{x}{1+x} = \delta_1 g(\tau, x). \end{aligned}$$

Then by Theorem 3.1 we deduce that (21) has a unique positive solution  $(x^*, y^*)$  in  $(P_{h_1}, P_{h_2})$ , where  $(h_1, h_2) = (\tau^{\frac{5}{2}}, \tau^{\frac{7}{3}})$ .

### 4 Conclusion

In this manuscript, we extend the existence and uniqueness of positive solutions from a class of fractional differential equations with nonlinear boundary conditions for a new class of coupled system of fractional derivatives.

**Acknowledgements**

Not applicable.

**Funding**

Not applicable.

**Availability of data and materials**

Data sharing not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analyzed during the current study.

**Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

**Authors' contributions**

All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

**Author details**

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Basic Science, University of Bonab, Bonab, Iran. <sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Cankaya University, Ankara, Turkey. <sup>3</sup>Institute of Space Sciences, Magurele-Bucharest, Romania.

**Publisher's Note**

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 13 December 2019 Accepted: 3 March 2020 Published online: 11 March 2020

**References**

1. Afshari, H.: Solution of fractional differential equations in quasi- $b$ -metric and  $b$ -metric-like spaces. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2018**, 285 (2018)
2. Afshari, H., Kalantari, S., Baleanu, D.: Solution of fractional differential equations via  $\alpha - \psi$ -Geraghty type mappings. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2018**, 347 (2018)
3. Afshari, H., Kheiryan, A.: Tripled fixed point theorems and applications to a fractional differential equation boundary value problem. *East Asian Math. J.* **10**(3), 1750056 (11 pages) (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1142/S1793557117500565>
4. Afshari, H., Khoshvaghi, L.: The unique solution of some operator equations with an application for fractional differential equations. *Bol. Soc. Parana. Mat.* (2019, in press). <https://doi.org/10.5269/bspm.45296>
5. Ahmad, B., Nieto, J.: Existence results for a coupled system of nonlinear fractional differential equations with three-point boundary conditions. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **58**, 1838–1843 (2009)
6. Ahmad, B., Ntouyas, S.K., Alsaedi, A.: On a coupled system of fractional differential equations with coupled nonlocal and integral boundary conditions. *Chaos Solitons Fractals* **83**, 234–241 (2016)
7. Ali, A., Rabiei, F., Shah, K.: On Ulam's type stability for a class of impulsive fractional differential equations with nonlinear integral boundary conditions. *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.* **10**, 4760–4775 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.22436/jnsa.010.09.19>
8. Ali, A., Shah, K., Baleanu, D.: Ulam stability results to a class of nonlinear implicit boundary value problems of impulsive fractional differential equations. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2019**, 5 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13662-018-1940-0>
9. Aronson, D.G.: A comparison method for stability analysis of nonlinear parabolic problems. *SIAM Rev.* **20**, 245–264 (1978)
10. Arshad, A., Shah, K., Jarad, F., Gupta, V., Abdeljawad, T.: Existence and stability analysis to a coupled system of implicit type impulsive boundary value problems of fractional-order differential equations. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2019**, 101 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13662-019-2047-y>
11. Asma, Arshad, A., Shah, K., Jarad, F.: Ulam–Hyers stability analysis to a class of nonlinear implicit impulsive fractional differential equations with three point boundary conditions. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2019**, 7 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13662-018-1943-x>
12. Bai, C., Fang, J.: The existence of a positive solution for a singular coupled system of nonlinear fractional differential equations. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **150**, 611–621 (2004)
13. Bai, Z., Lü, H.: Positive solutions for boundary value problem of nonlinear fractional differential equation. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **311**(2), 495–505 (2005)
14. Baleanu, D., Machado, J.A.T., Luo, A.C.J.: *Fractional Dynamics and Control*. Springer, Berlin (2012)
15. Cheng, C., Feng, Z., Su, Y.: Positive solutions for boundary value problem of fractional differential equation with derivative terms. *Electron. J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ.* **2012**, 215, 1–27 (2012)
16. Daftardar-Gejji, V.: Positive solutions of a system of non-autonomous fractional differential equations. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **302**, 56–64 (2005)
17. Deng, K.: Global existence and blow-up for a system of heat equations with nonlinear boundary condition. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* **18**, 307–315 (1995)
18. Deng, K.: Blow-up rates for parabolic systems. *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.* **47**, 132–143 (1996)
19. Feng, H., Zhai, C.: Existence and uniqueness of positive solutions for a class of fractional differential equation with integral boundary conditions. *Nonlinear Anal., Model. Control* **22**(2), 160–172 (2017)
20. Goodrich, C.S.: Existence of a positive solution to a class of fractional differential equations. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **23**(9), 1050–1055 (2010)
21. Goodrich, C.S.: Existence of a positive solution to systems of differential equations of fractional order. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **62**(3), 1251–1268 (2011)
22. Guo, D.: Fixed points of mixed monotone operators with application. *Appl. Anal.* **34**, 215–224 (1988)
23. Guo, D., Lakshmikantham, V.: Coupled fixed points of nonlinear operators with applications. *Nonlinear Anal.* **11**(5), 623–632 (1987)

24. Henderson, J., Luca, R.: Positive solutions for a system of fractional differential equations with coupled integral boundary conditions. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **249**, 182–197 (2014)
25. Infante, G.: Nonlocal boundary value problems with two nonlinear boundary conditions. *Commun. Appl. Anal.* **12**, 279–288 (2008)
26. Infante, G., Pietramala, P.: Multiple nonnegative solutions of systems with coupled nonlinear boundary conditions. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* **37**, 2080–2090 (2014)
27. Jleli, M., Samet, B.: Existence of positive solutions to an arbitrary order fractional differential equation via a mixed monotone operator method. *Nonlinear Anal., Model. Control* **20**(3), 367–376 (2015)
28. Kilbas, A.A., Srivastava, H.M., Trujillo, J.J.: Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations. North-Holland Mathematics Studies, vol. 204, pp. 7–10 (2006)
29. Liu, L.L., Zhang, X.Q., Liu, L.S., Wu, Y.H.: Iterative positive solutions for singular nonlinear fractional differential equation with integral boundary conditions. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2016**, 154 (2016)
30. Pedersen, M., Lin, Z.: Blow-up analysis for a system of heat equations coupled through a nonlinear boundary condition. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **14**, 171–176 (2001)
31. Podlubny, I.: Fractional Differential Equations. Academic Press, New York (1999)
32. Shah, K., Ali, A., Bushnaq, S.: Hyers–Ulam stability analysis to implicit Cauchy problem of fractional differential equations with impulsive conditions. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* **41**(17), 8329–8343 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1002/mma.5292>
33. Sun, Y., Zhao, M.: Positive solutions for a class of fractional differential equations with integral boundary conditions. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **34**, 17–21 (2014)
34. Wang, H., Zhang, L.: The solution for a class of sum operator equation and its application to fractional differential equation boundary value problems. *Bound. Value Probl.* **2015**, 203 (2015)
35. Xu, X.J., Jiang, D.Q., Yuan, C.J.: Multiple positive solutions to singular positone and semipositone Dirichlet-type boundary value problems of nonlinear fractional differential equations. *Nonlinear Anal., Theory Methods Appl.* **74**(16), 5685–5696 (2011)
36. Yang, C., Zhai, C., Zhang, L.: Local uniqueness of positive solutions for a coupled system of fractional differential equations with integral boundary conditions. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2017**, 282 (2017)
37. Yang, W.: Positive solutions for a coupled system of nonlinear fractional differential equations with integral boundary conditions. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **63**(1), 288–297 (2012)
38. Yuan, C.: Two positive solutions for  $(n-1,1)$ -type semigroup integral boundary value problems for coupled systems of nonlinear fractional differential equations. *Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul.* **18**, 858–866 (2013)
39. Yuan, C.J.: Multiple positive solutions for  $(n-1, 1)$ -type semipositone conjugate boundary value problems of nonlinear fractional differential equations. *Electron. J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ.* **2010**, 36, 1–12 (2010)
40. Zhai, C.B., Yan, W.P., Yang, C.: A sum operator method for the existence and uniqueness of positive solutions to Riemann–Liouville fractional differential equation boundary value problems. *Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul.* **18**, 858–866 (2013)
41. Zhai, C.B., Zhang, L.L.: New fixed point theorems for a mixed monotone operators and local existence–uniqueness of positive solutions for nonlinear boundary value problems. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **382**, 594–614 (2011)
42. Zhang, L.L., Tian, H.: Existence and uniqueness of positive solutions for a class of nonlinear fractional differential equations. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2017**, 14 (2017)

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen® journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- Rigorous peer review
- Open access: articles freely available online
- High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at ► [springeropen.com](http://springeropen.com)