



# Fractional partial random differential equations with infinite delay

Amel Heris<sup>a</sup>, Abdelkrim Salim<sup>a</sup>, Mouffak Benchohra<sup>a</sup>, Erdal Karapınar<sup>b,c,d,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Laboratory of Mathematics, Djilali Liabes University of Sidi Bel-Abbès, P.O. Box 89, Sidi Bel-Abbès 22000, Algeria

<sup>b</sup> Division of Applied Mathematics, Thu Dau Mot University, Binh Duong Province, Viet Nam

<sup>c</sup> Department of Mathematics, Çankaya University, 06790, Etimesgut, Ankara, Turkey

<sup>d</sup> Department of Medical Research, China Medical University Hospital, China Medical University, 40402, Taichung, Taiwan

## ARTICLE INFO

MSC:

26A33

Keywords:

Random differential equation

Left-sided mixed Riemann–Liouville integral

Caputo fractional order derivative

Darboux's problem

Unbounded delay

## ABSTRACT

The present paper deals with some existence results for the Darboux problem of partial fractional random differential equations with infinite delay. The arguments are based on a random fixed point theorem with stochastic domain combined with the measure of noncompactness.

## Introduction

The fractional calculus is concerned with noninteger order extensions of derivatives and integrals. Differential and integral equations of fractional order have a wide range of applications, see e.g. [1–7] for more information. In recent years, there has been substantial progress in ordinary and partial fractional differential and integral equations; see papers of Abbas et al. [8–17], Kilbas et al. [18], Ahmad and Nieto [19], Salim et al. [20,21], Stanek [22], Vityuk and Golushkov [23], and the sources within.

The essence of a dynamic system in natural sciences or engineering is determined by the precision of the knowledge we have about the system's characteristics. A deterministic dynamical system emerges when information about a dynamic system is exact. However, most of the data obtainable for the modeling and assessment of dynamic system characteristics is incorrect, imprecise, or unclear. In other terms, finding the parameters of a dynamical system is fraught with uncertainty. When we have statistical understanding of the parameters of a dynamic system, that is, when the knowledge is probabilistic, the most popular strategy in mathematical modeling of such systems is to employ random differential equations or stochastic differential equations. As natural extensions of deterministic differential equations, random differential equations appear in numerous applications and have been studied by several mathematicians; see [24–26] and references therein.

Prompted by the aforementioned papers, in this paper, we consider the following problem:

$$({}^c D_0^\zeta p)(\vartheta, \eta, \delta) = \psi(\vartheta, \eta, p_{(\vartheta, \eta)}, \delta), \text{ if } (\vartheta, \eta) \in \Theta := [0, \theta_1] \times [0, \theta_2], \delta \in \Psi, \quad (1)$$

$$p(\vartheta, \eta, \delta) = \varpi(\vartheta, \eta, \delta), \text{ if } (\vartheta, \eta) \in \bar{\Theta} := (-\infty, \theta_1] \times (-\infty, \theta_2] \setminus (0, \theta_1] \times (0, \theta_2], \delta \in \Psi, \quad (2)$$

$$p(\vartheta, 0, \delta) = \varpi_1(\vartheta, \delta), \vartheta \in [0, \theta_1], p(0, \eta, \delta) = \varpi_2(\eta, \delta), \eta \in [0, \theta_2], \delta \in \Psi, \quad (3)$$

where  $\theta_1, \theta_2 > 0$ ,  ${}^c D_0^\zeta$  is the standard Caputo's fractional derivative of order  $\zeta = (\zeta_1, \zeta_2) \in (0, 1] \times (0, 1]$ ,  $(\Psi, \mathcal{A})$  is a measurable space,  $(\mathbf{E}, \|\cdot\|)$  is a Banach space,  $\psi : \Theta \times S \times \Psi \rightarrow \mathbf{E}$  is a given function,  $\varpi : \bar{\Theta} \times \Psi \rightarrow \mathbf{E}$  is a given continuous function,  $\varpi_1 : [0, \theta_1] \times \Psi \rightarrow \mathbf{E}$ ,  $\varpi_2 : [0, \theta_2] \times \Psi \rightarrow \mathbf{E}$  are absolutely continuous functions where  $\varpi_1(\vartheta, \delta) = \varpi(\vartheta, 0, \delta)$ ,  $\varpi_2(\eta, \delta) = \varpi(0, \eta, \delta)$  for each  $\vartheta \in [0, \theta_1]$ ,  $\eta \in [0, \theta_2]$ ,  $\delta \in \Psi$ ,  $\mathbb{R}^- := \mathbb{R}^-$  and  $S$  is a phase space, which will be defined later. Let  $p_{(\vartheta, \eta)}$  be the element of  $S$  given by

$$p_{(\vartheta, \eta)}(\rho, \kappa, \delta) = p(\vartheta + \rho, \eta + \kappa, \delta); (\rho, \kappa) \in \mathbb{R}^- \times \mathbb{R}^-.$$

The following is how this manuscript is structured. Section “Preliminaries” is reserved for introduction. Section “Main result” is dedicated to our primary result. Section “An example” provides a relevant illustration.

\* Corresponding author at: Department of Medical Research, China Medical University Hospital, China Medical University, 40402, Taichung, Taiwan.

E-mail addresses: [herisamel@gmail.com](mailto:herisamel@gmail.com) (A. Heris), [salim.abdelkrim@yahoo.com](mailto:salim.abdelkrim@yahoo.com) (A. Salim), [benchohra@yahoo.com](mailto:benchohra@yahoo.com) (M. Benchohra), [erdalkarapinar@yahoo.com](mailto:erdalkarapinar@yahoo.com), [alsoerdalkarapinar@tdmu.edu.vn](mailto:alsoerdalkarapinar@tdmu.edu.vn) (E. Karapınar).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rinp.2022.105557>

Received 17 March 2022; Received in revised form 16 April 2022; Accepted 25 April 2022

Available online 29 April 2022

2211-3797/© 2022 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

**Preliminaries**

First, we introduce and explain the notations and concepts used in this study.

Consider the space  $AC(\Theta)$  of absolutely continuous functions from  $\Theta$  into  $\mathbf{E}$ .

Denote  $L^1(\Theta)$  the space of Bochner-integrable functions  $p : \Theta \rightarrow \mathbf{E}$  with the norm

$$\|p\|_{L^1} = \int_0^{\theta_1} \int_0^{\theta_2} \|p(\vartheta, \eta)\|_{\mathbf{E}} d\eta d\vartheta.$$

Let  $L^\infty(\Theta)$  be the Banach space of functions  $p : \Theta \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  which are essentially bounded.

Consider the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{E}}$  of Borel subsets of  $\mathbf{E}$ . The map  $\bar{p} : \Psi \rightarrow \mathbf{E}$  is measurable if for any  $\Omega \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{E}}$ , we have

$$\bar{p}^{-1}(\Omega) = \{\delta \in \Psi : \bar{p}(\delta) \in \Omega\} \subset \mathcal{A}.$$

**Definition 1.** A mapping  $\mathfrak{S} : \Psi \times \mathbf{E} \rightarrow \mathbf{E}$  is jointly measurable if for any  $\Omega \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{E}}$ , we have

$$\mathfrak{S}^{-1}(\Omega) = \{(\delta, \bar{p}) \in \Psi \times \mathbf{E} : \mathfrak{S}(\delta, \bar{p}) \in \Omega\} \subset \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{E}},$$

where  $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{E}}$  is the direct product of the  $\sigma$ -algebras  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{E}}$  those defined in  $\Psi$  and  $\mathbf{E}$  respectively.

**Lemma 1.** Let  $\mathfrak{S} : \Psi \times \mathbf{E} \rightarrow \mathbf{E}$  be a mapping such that  $\mathfrak{S}(\cdot, \bar{p})$  is measurable for all  $\bar{p} \in \mathbf{E}$ , and  $\mathfrak{S}(\delta, \cdot)$  is continuous for all  $\delta \in \Psi$ . Then the map  $(\delta, \bar{p}) \mapsto \mathfrak{S}(\delta, \bar{p})$  is jointly measurable.

**Definition 2.** A function  $\psi : \Theta \times \mathbf{E} \times \Psi \rightarrow \mathbf{E}$  is called random Carathéodory if the assumptions that follow are verified:

- The map  $(\vartheta, \eta, \delta) \rightarrow \psi(\vartheta, \eta, p, \delta)$  is jointly measurable for all  $p \in \mathbf{E}$ , and
- $p \rightarrow \psi(\vartheta, \eta, p, \delta)$  is continuous for almost all  $(\vartheta, \eta) \in \Theta$  and  $\delta \in \Psi$ .

The map  $\mathfrak{S} : \Psi \times \mathbf{E} \rightarrow \mathbf{E}$  is a random operator if  $\mathfrak{S}(\delta, p)$  is measurable in  $\delta$  for all  $p \in \mathbf{E}$  and it is given as  $\mathfrak{S}(\delta)p = \mathfrak{S}(\delta, p)$ . We also can say that  $\mathfrak{S}(\delta)$  is a random operator on  $\mathbf{E}$ . A random operator  $\mathfrak{S}(\delta)$  on  $\mathbf{E}$  is continuous. A mapping  $\eta : \Psi \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$  is a random fixed point of  $\mathfrak{S}$  if for  $P$ -almost all  $\delta \in \Psi$ ,  $\eta(\delta) \in \mathfrak{F}(\delta)$  and  $\mathfrak{S}(\delta)\eta(\delta) = \eta(\delta)$  and for all open  $\tilde{\Omega} \subset \mathcal{W}$ ,  $\{\delta \in \Psi : \eta(\delta) \in \tilde{\Omega}\}$  is measurable.

**Definition 3 ([28]).** Let  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{W})$  be the family of all nonempty subsets of  $\mathcal{W}$  and  $\mathfrak{F}$  be a mapping from  $\Psi$  into  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{W})$ . A mapping  $\mathfrak{S} : \{\delta \in \Psi, \eta \in \mathfrak{F}(\delta)\} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$  is a random operator with stochastic domain  $\mathfrak{F}$  if  $\mathfrak{F}$  is measurable (i.e., for all closed  $\Omega \subset \mathcal{W}$ ,  $\{\delta \in \Psi, \mathfrak{F}(\delta) \cap \Omega \neq \emptyset\}$  is measurable) and for all open  $\tilde{\Omega} \subset \mathcal{W}$  and all  $\eta \in \mathcal{W}$ ,  $\{\delta \in \Psi : \eta \in \mathfrak{F}(\delta), \mathfrak{S}(\delta, \eta) \in \tilde{\Omega}\}$  is measurable.  $\mathfrak{S}$  is continuous if every  $\mathfrak{S}(\delta)$  is continuous. A mapping  $\eta : \Psi \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$  is a random fixed point of  $\mathfrak{S}$  if for  $P$ -almost all  $\delta \in \Psi$ ,  $\eta(\delta) \in \mathfrak{F}(\delta)$  and  $\mathfrak{S}(\delta)\eta(\delta) = \eta(\delta)$  and for all open  $\tilde{\Omega} \subset \mathcal{W}$ ,  $\{\delta \in \Psi : \eta(\delta) \in \tilde{\Omega}\}$  is measurable.

Let  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{E}^*}$  denote the class of all bounded subsets of a metric space  $\mathbf{E}^*$ .

**Definition 4 ([29]).** Let  $\mathbf{E}^*$  be a complete metric space. A map  $\mu : \mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{E}^*} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is called a measure of noncompactness on  $\mathbf{E}^*$  if it verifies the following for all  $\Omega, \Omega_1, \Omega_2 \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{E}^*}$ .

- (MNC.1)  $\mu(\Omega) = 0$  if and only if  $\Omega$  is precompact (Regularity),
- (MNC.2)  $\mu(\Omega) = \mu(\bar{\Omega})$  (Invariance under closure),
- (MNC.3)  $\mu(\Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2) = \max\{\mu(\Omega_1), \mu(\Omega_2)\}$  (Semi-additivity).

**Example 1.** In every metric space  $\mathbf{E}^*$ , the map  $\varpi : \mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{E}^*} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  with  $\varpi(\Omega) = 0$  if  $\Omega$  is relatively compact and  $\varpi(\Omega) = 1$  otherwise is a measure of noncompactness ([30], Example1, ... p. 19).

Let  $\varepsilon = (0, 0)$ ,  $\zeta_1, \zeta_2 > 0$  and  $\zeta = (\zeta_1, \zeta_2)$ . For  $\psi \in L^1(\Theta)$ , the left-sided mixed Riemann–Liouville integral of order  $\zeta$  is given by:

$$(I_\varepsilon^\zeta \psi)(\vartheta, \eta) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\zeta_1)\Gamma(\zeta_2)} \int_0^\vartheta \int_0^\eta (\vartheta - \rho)^{\zeta_1 - 1} (\eta - \kappa)^{\zeta_2 - 1} \psi(\rho, \kappa) d\kappa d\rho.$$

In particular,

$$(I_\varepsilon^0 p)(\vartheta, \eta) = p(\vartheta, \eta),$$

$$(I_\varepsilon^\omega p)(\vartheta, \eta) = \int_0^\vartheta \int_0^\eta p(\rho, \kappa) d\kappa d\rho; \text{ for a.a. } (\vartheta, \eta) \in \Theta,$$

where  $\omega = (1, 1)$  and  $1 - \zeta$  means  $(1 - \zeta_1, 1 - \zeta_2) \in [0, 1) \times [0, 1)$ . Denote by  $D_{\vartheta\eta}^2 := \frac{\partial^2}{\partial\vartheta\partial\eta}$ , the mixed second order partial derivative.

**Definition 5 ([23]).** Let  $\zeta \in (0, 1] \times (0, 1]$  and  $p \in AC(\Theta)$ . The Caputo fractional-order derivative of order  $\zeta$  of  $p$  is given by:

$${}^c D_\varepsilon^\zeta p(\vartheta, \eta) = (I_\varepsilon^{1-\zeta} D_{\vartheta\eta}^2 p)(\vartheta, \eta).$$

The case  $\omega = (1, 1)$  is included and we have

$$({}^c D_\varepsilon^\omega p)(\vartheta, \eta) = (D_{\vartheta\eta}^2 p)(\vartheta, \eta); \text{ for a.a. } (\vartheta, \eta) \in \Theta.$$

**Lemma 2 ([31]).** If  $\mathcal{W}$  is a bounded subset of Banach space  $\mathbf{E}^*$ , then for each  $\alpha > 0$ , there is a sequence  $\{\eta_\beta\}_{\beta=1}^\infty \subset \mathcal{W}$  such that

$$\mu(\mathcal{W}) \leq 2\mu(\{\eta_\beta\}_{\beta=1}^\infty) + \alpha,$$

where  $\mu$  is the Kuratowski measure of noncompactness on the space  $\mathbf{E}^*$ .

**Lemma 3 ([32]).** If  $\{p_\beta\}_{\beta=1}^\infty \subset L^1(\Theta)$ , then  $\mu(\{p_\beta\}_{\beta=1}^\infty)$  is measurable and for each  $(\vartheta, \eta) \in \Theta$ ,

$$\mu\left(\left\{\int_0^\vartheta \int_0^\eta p_\beta(\rho, \kappa) d\kappa d\rho\right\}_{\beta=1}^\infty\right) \leq 2 \int_0^\vartheta \int_0^\eta \mu(\{p_\beta(\rho, \kappa)\}_{\beta=1}^\infty) d\kappa d\rho,$$

where  $\mu$  is the Kuratowski measure of noncompactness on the space  $\mathbf{E}^*$ .

**Lemma 4 ([33]).** Consider the continuous operator  $\mathfrak{S} : \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda$  where  $\mathfrak{S}(\Lambda)$  is bounded and  $\Lambda$  is a convex and closed subset of a real Banach space. If there exists a constant  $\beta \in [0, 1)$  such that for each bounded subset  $\Omega \subset \Lambda$ ,

$$\mu(\mathfrak{S}(\Omega)) \leq \beta\mu(\Omega),$$

then  $\mathfrak{S}$  has a fixed point in  $\Lambda$ .

*The phase space S*

The phase space  $S$  is fundamental in the analysis of functional differential equations. A semi-normed space meeting acceptable axioms is an appropriate option, as presented by Hale and Kato (see [34]). For other examples, check the book [35], and its sources.

For any  $(\vartheta, \eta) \in \Theta$  denote  $\gamma_{(\vartheta, \eta)} := [0, \vartheta] \times \{0\} \cup \{0\} \times [0, \eta]$ , furthermore in case  $\vartheta = \theta_1$ ,  $\eta = \theta_2$ , we denote  $\gamma$ . Let  $(S, \|\cdot, \cdot\|_S)$  be a seminormed linear space of functions from  $\mathbb{R}^- \times \mathbb{R}^-$  to  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and verifying:

- (A<sub>1</sub>) If  $q : (-\infty, \theta_1] \times (-\infty, \theta_2] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  continuous on  $\Theta$  and  $q_{(\vartheta, \eta)} \in S$ , for all  $(\vartheta, \eta) \in \gamma$ , then there are constants  $\chi_1, \chi_2, \chi_3 > 0$  such that for any  $(\vartheta, \eta) \in \Theta$  the assumptions that follow are met:
  - (i)  $q_{(\vartheta, \eta)}$  is in  $S$ ;
  - (ii)  $\|q_{(\vartheta, \eta)}\| \leq \chi_1 \|q_{(\vartheta, \eta)}\|_S$ ,
  - (iii)  $\|q_{(\vartheta, \eta)}\|_S \leq \chi_2 \sup_{(\rho, \kappa) \in [0, \vartheta] \times [0, \eta]} \|q(\rho, \kappa)\| + \chi_3 \sup_{(\rho, \kappa) \in \gamma_{(\vartheta, \eta)}} \|q_{(\rho, \kappa)}\|_S$ ,
- (A<sub>2</sub>) For the function  $q(\cdot, \cdot)$  in (A<sub>1</sub>),  $q_{(\vartheta, \eta)}$  is a  $S$ -valued continuous function on  $\Theta$ .
- (A<sub>3</sub>) The space  $S$  is complete.

Now we will look at some phase space examples [36].

**Example 2.** Let  $S$  be the set of all functions  $\varpi : \mathbb{R}^- \times \mathbb{R}^- \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  that are continuous on  $[-\theta_1, 0] \times [-\theta_2, 0]$ ,  $\theta_1, \theta_2 \geq 0$ , with the seminorm

$$\|\varpi\|_S = \sup_{(\rho, \kappa) \in [-\theta_1, 0] \times [-\theta_2, 0]} \|\varpi(\rho, \kappa)\|.$$

Thus we obtain  $\chi_1 = \chi_2 = \chi_3 = 1$ . The quotient space  $\widehat{S} = S/\|\cdot\|_S$  is isometric to the space  $C([- \theta_1, 0] \times [- \theta_2, 0], \mathbb{R}^n)$  of all continuous functions from  $[- \theta_1, 0] \times [- \theta_2, 0]$  into  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with the supremum norm.

**Example 3.** Let  $C_\zeta$  be the set of the continuous functions  $\varpi : \mathbb{R}^- \times \mathbb{R}^- \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  where  $\lim_{\|(\rho, \kappa)\| \rightarrow \infty} e^{\zeta(\rho + \kappa)} \varpi(\rho, \kappa)$  exists, with the norm

$$\|\varpi\|_{C_\zeta} = \sup_{(\rho, \kappa) \in \mathbb{R}^- \times \mathbb{R}^-} e^{\zeta(\rho + \kappa)} \|\varpi(\rho, \kappa)\|.$$

Then we have  $\chi_1 = 1$  and  $\chi_2 = \chi_3 = \max\{e^{-(\theta_1 + \theta_2)}, 1\}$ .

**Example 4.** Let  $\theta_1, \theta_2, \zeta \geq 0$  and

$$\|\varpi\|_{CL_\zeta} = \sup_{(\rho, \kappa) \in [-\theta_1, 0] \times [-\theta_2, 0]} \|\varpi(\rho, \kappa)\| + \int_{-\infty}^0 \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{\zeta(\rho + \kappa)} \|\varpi(\rho, \kappa)\| d\kappa d\rho.$$

be the seminorm for the space  $CL_\zeta$  of all functions  $\varpi : \mathbb{R}^- \times \mathbb{R}^- \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  which are continuous on  $[-\theta_1, 0] \times [-\theta_2, 0]$  measurable on  $(-\infty, -\mu] \times \mathbb{R}^- \cup \mathbb{R}^- \times (-\infty, -\theta_2]$ , and such that  $\|\varpi\|_{CL_\zeta} < \infty$ . Then

$$\chi_1 = 1, \chi_2 = \int_{-\theta_1}^0 \int_{-\theta_2}^0 e^{\zeta(\rho + \kappa)} d\kappa d\rho, \chi_3 = 2.$$

**Main result**

Let us start by giving the following result.

**Lemma 5 ([9, 12]).** Let  $\xi \in L^1(\Theta)$ . The linear problem

$$\begin{cases} {}^c D_\xi^\zeta p(\vartheta, \eta) = \xi(\vartheta, \eta); \text{ for a.a. } (\vartheta, \eta) \in \Theta := [0, a] \times [0, \theta_2], \\ p(\vartheta, 0) = \varpi_1(\vartheta); \vartheta \in [0, \theta_1], \\ p(0, \eta) = \varpi_2(\eta); \eta \in [0, \theta_2], \\ \varpi_1(0) = \varpi_2(0). \end{cases}$$

has the following unique solution:

$$p(\vartheta, \eta) = \varkappa(\vartheta, \eta) + I_\xi^\zeta \xi(\vartheta, \eta); \text{ for a.a. } (\vartheta, \eta) \in \Theta,$$

where

$$\varkappa(\vartheta, \eta) = \varpi_1(\vartheta) + \varpi_2(\eta) - \varpi_1(0).$$

Suppose that  $\psi$  is random Carathéodory on  $\Theta \times S \times \Psi$ . The following **Lemma 6** is derived from the preceding **Lemma 5**. Let the space

$$Y = \{p : (-\infty, \theta_1] \times (-\infty, \theta_2] \rightarrow \mathbf{E} : p_{(\vartheta, \eta)} \in S \text{ for } (\vartheta, \eta) \in \gamma \text{ and } p|_\Theta \text{ is continuous}\}.$$

**Lemma 6.** Let  $0 < \zeta_1, \zeta_2 \leq 1$ ,  $\varkappa(\vartheta, \eta, \delta) = \varpi_1(\vartheta, \delta) + \varpi_2(\eta, \delta) - \varpi_1(0, \delta)$ . A function  $p \in \Psi \times Y$  is a solution of (1)–(3) if  $p$  verifies (2) for  $(\vartheta, \eta) \in \Theta$ ,  $\delta \in \Psi$  and  $p$  is a solution of the equation

$$p(\vartheta, \eta, \delta) = \varkappa(\vartheta, \eta, \delta) + \int_0^\vartheta \int_0^\eta \frac{(\vartheta - \rho)^{\zeta_1 - 1} (\eta - \kappa)^{\zeta_2 - 1}}{\Gamma(\zeta_1) \Gamma(\zeta_2)} \psi(\vartheta, \eta, p_{(\rho, \kappa)}, \delta) d\kappa d\rho$$

for  $(\vartheta, \eta) \in \Theta$ ,  $\delta \in \Psi$ .

**The hypotheses:**

- (H<sub>1</sub>) The functions  $\delta \mapsto \varpi_1(\vartheta, 0, \delta)$  and  $\delta \mapsto \varpi_2(0, \eta, \delta)$  are measurable and bounded for  $(\vartheta, \eta) \in \Theta$ .
- (H<sub>2</sub>) The function  $\varpi$  is measurable for  $(\vartheta, \eta) \in \Theta$ .
- (H<sub>3</sub>) The function  $\psi$  is random Carathéodory on  $\Theta \times S \times \Psi$ .

(H<sub>4</sub>) There exist functions  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 : \Theta \times \Psi \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  with

$$\sigma_j(\cdot, \delta) \in L^\infty(\Theta, [0, \infty)); j = 1, 2,$$

such that for each  $\delta \in \Psi$ ,

$$\|\psi(\vartheta, \eta, p, \delta)\|_{\mathbf{E}} \leq \sigma_1(\vartheta, \eta, \delta) + \sigma_2(\vartheta, \eta, \delta) \|p\|_S,$$

for all  $p \in S$  and a.e.  $(\vartheta, \eta) \in \Theta$ .

(H<sub>5</sub>) For any bounded  $\Omega \subset \mathbf{E}$

$$\mu(\psi(\vartheta, \eta, \Omega, \delta)) \leq \sigma_2(\vartheta, \eta, \delta) \mu(\Omega), \text{ for a.e. } (\vartheta, \eta) \in \Theta,$$

where

$$\sigma_i^*(\delta) = \sup_{\vartheta, \eta \in \Theta} \sigma_i(\vartheta, \eta, \delta); i = 1, 2.$$

**Theorem 1.** Suppose that (H<sub>1</sub>) – (H<sub>5</sub>) are met. If

$$\mathfrak{L} := \frac{4\sigma_2^*(\delta)\theta_1^{\zeta_1}\theta_2^{\zeta_2}}{\Gamma(1 + \zeta_1)\Gamma(1 + \zeta_2)} < 1,$$

then (1)–(3) has a random solution.

**Proof.** Define the operator  $\mathfrak{T} : \Psi \times Y \rightarrow Y$  by

$$(\mathfrak{T}(\delta)p)(\vartheta, \eta) = \begin{cases} \varpi(\vartheta, \eta, \delta), & (\vartheta, \eta) \in \bar{\Theta}, \delta \in \Psi \\ \varkappa(\vartheta, \eta, \delta) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\zeta_1)\Gamma(\zeta_2)} \int_0^\vartheta \int_0^\eta (\vartheta - \rho)^{\zeta_1 - 1} (\eta - \kappa)^{\zeta_2 - 1} \\ \quad \times \psi(\vartheta, \eta, p_{(\rho, \kappa)}, \delta) d\kappa d\rho, & (\vartheta, \eta) \in \Theta, \delta \in \Psi. \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

Since the functions  $\varpi_1, \varpi_2$  and  $\psi$  are absolutely continuous, then  $\mathfrak{T}(\delta)$  defines a mapping  $\mathfrak{T} : \Psi \times Y \rightarrow Y$ . Hence  $p$  is a solution for the problem (1)–(3) if and only if  $p = (\mathfrak{T}(\delta))p$ .

Let  $\bar{p}(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot) : (-\infty, \theta_1] \times (-\infty, \theta_2] \times \Psi \rightarrow \mathbf{E}$  be a function defined by,

$$\bar{p}(\vartheta, \eta, \delta) = \begin{cases} \varpi(\vartheta, \eta, \delta), & (\vartheta, \eta) \in \bar{\Theta}, \delta \in \Psi, \\ \varkappa(\vartheta, \eta, \delta), & (\vartheta, \eta) \in \Theta, \delta \in \Psi. \end{cases}$$

Then  $\bar{p}_{(\vartheta, \eta)} = \varpi$  for all  $(\vartheta, \eta) \in \gamma$ . For each continuous function  $I$  defined on  $\Theta$  with  $I(\vartheta, \eta, \delta) = 0$  for each  $(\vartheta, \eta) \in \gamma$  we denote by  $\bar{I}$  the function defined by

$$\bar{I}(\vartheta, \eta, \delta) = \begin{cases} 0, & (\vartheta, \eta) \in \bar{\Theta}, \delta \in \Psi, \\ I(\vartheta, \eta, \delta) & (\vartheta, \eta) \in \Theta, \delta \in \Psi. \end{cases}$$

If  $p(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$  verifies the equation:

$$p(\vartheta, \eta, \delta) = \varkappa(\vartheta, \eta, \delta) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\zeta_1)\Gamma(\zeta_2)} \int_0^\vartheta \int_0^\eta (\vartheta - \rho)^{\zeta_1 - 1} (\eta - \kappa)^{\zeta_2 - 1} \times \psi(\vartheta, \eta, p_{(\rho, \kappa)}, \delta) d\kappa d\rho,$$

we can decompose  $p(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$  as  $p(\vartheta, \eta, \delta) = \bar{I}(\vartheta, \eta, \delta) + \bar{p}(\vartheta, \eta, \delta)$ ;  $(\vartheta, \eta) \in \Theta$ , which implies  $p_{(\vartheta, \eta)} = \bar{I}_{(\vartheta, \eta)} + \bar{p}_{(\vartheta, \eta)}$ , for every  $(\vartheta, \eta) \in \Theta$ , and the function  $I(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$  satisfies

$$I(\vartheta, \eta, \delta) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\zeta_1)\Gamma(\zeta_2)} \int_0^\vartheta \int_0^\eta (\vartheta - \rho)^{\zeta_1 - 1} (\eta - \kappa)^{\zeta_2 - 1} \times \psi(\vartheta, \eta, \bar{I}_{(\rho, \kappa)} + \bar{p}_{(\rho, \kappa)}, \delta) d\kappa d\rho.$$

Set

$$\Omega_0 = \{I \in C(\Theta, \mathbf{E}) : I(\vartheta, \eta) = 0 \text{ for } (\vartheta, \eta) \in \gamma\},$$

and let  $\|\cdot\|_{(\theta_1, \theta_2)}$  be the norm in  $\Omega_0$  given by

$$\|I\|_{(\theta_1, \theta_2)} = \sup_{(\vartheta, \eta) \in \gamma} \|I_{(\vartheta, \eta)}\|_S + \sup_{(\vartheta, \eta) \in \Theta} \|I(\vartheta, \eta)\| = \sup_{(\vartheta, \eta) \in \Theta} \|I(\vartheta, \eta)\|, I \in \Omega_0.$$

$\Omega_0$  is a Banach space with norm  $\|\cdot\|_{(\theta_1, \theta_2)}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{J} : \Psi \times \Omega_0 \rightarrow \Omega_0$  be defined by:

$$(\mathfrak{J}(\delta)I)(\vartheta, \eta) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\zeta_1)\Gamma(\zeta_2)} \int_0^\vartheta \int_0^\eta (\vartheta - \rho)^{\zeta_1 - 1} (\eta - \kappa)^{\zeta_2 - 1} \times \psi(\vartheta, \eta, \bar{I}_{(\rho, \kappa)} + \bar{p}_{(\rho, \kappa)}, \delta) d\kappa d\rho, \quad (5)$$

for each  $(\vartheta, \eta) \in \Theta$ . Then  $\mathfrak{T}$  has a fixed point is equivalent to  $\mathfrak{J}$  has a fixed point. Now, we will demonstrate that  $\mathfrak{J}$  verifies all the requirements of **Lemma 4**.

**Claim 1.**  $\mathfrak{H}(\delta)$  is a random operator with stochastic domain on  $\Omega_0$ .

Since  $\psi(\vartheta, \eta, \mathfrak{p}, \delta)$  is random Carathéodory, the map  $\delta \rightarrow \psi(\vartheta, \eta, \mathfrak{p}, \delta)$  is measurable in view of Definition 1. Also, the product  $(\vartheta - \rho)^{\zeta_1-1}(\eta - \kappa)^{\zeta_2-1}\psi(\rho, \kappa, \mathfrak{p}_{(\rho, \kappa)}, \delta)$  is measurable. Then

$$\delta \mapsto \frac{1}{\Gamma(\zeta_1)\Gamma(\zeta_2)} \int_0^\vartheta \int_0^\eta (\vartheta - \rho)^{\zeta_1-1}(\eta - \kappa)^{\zeta_2-1} \psi(\rho, \kappa, \bar{I}_{(\rho, \kappa)} + \bar{\mathfrak{p}}_{(\rho, \kappa)}, \delta) d\kappa d\rho,$$

is measurable. Consequently,  $\mathfrak{H}$  is a random operator on  $\mathcal{P} \times \Omega_0$  into  $\Omega_0$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{X} : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\Omega_0)$  be given by

$$\mathfrak{X}(\delta) = \{I \in \Omega_0 : \|I\|_{(\theta_1, \theta_2)} \leq \rho(\delta)\},$$

where

$$\rho(\delta) \geq \frac{((\chi_2 \|\varpi(0, 0)\| + \chi_3 \|\varpi\|) \sigma_2^*(\delta) + \sigma_1^*(\delta)) \frac{\theta_1^{\zeta_1} \theta_2^{\zeta_2}}{\Gamma(1+\zeta_1)\Gamma(1+\zeta_2)}}{1 - \chi_2 \sigma_2^*(\delta) \frac{\theta_1^{\zeta_1} \theta_2^{\zeta_2}}{\Gamma(1+\zeta_1)\Gamma(1+\zeta_2)}}.$$

$\mathfrak{X}(\delta)$  is a bounded, closed, convex and solid for all  $\delta \in \mathcal{P}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{X}$  is measurable by Lemma 17 of [28]. Let  $\delta \in \mathcal{P}$  be fixed, then by  $(H_4)$ , for any  $\mathfrak{p} \in \delta(\delta)$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(\mathfrak{H}(\delta)I)(\vartheta, \eta)\| \\ & \leq \int_0^\vartheta \int_0^\eta \frac{(\vartheta - \rho)^{\zeta_1-1}(\eta - \kappa)^{\zeta_2-1}}{\Gamma(\zeta_1)\Gamma(\zeta_2)} \|\psi(\rho, \kappa, \bar{I}_{(\rho, \kappa)} + \bar{\mathfrak{p}}_{(\rho, \kappa)}, \delta)\| d\kappa d\rho \\ & \leq \frac{\sigma_1^*(\delta)}{\Gamma(\zeta_1)\Gamma(\zeta_2)} \int_0^\vartheta \int_0^\eta (\vartheta - \rho)^{\zeta_1-1}(\eta - \kappa)^{\zeta_2-1} d\kappa d\rho \\ & \quad + \frac{\sigma_2^*(\delta)\rho^*(\delta)}{\Gamma(\zeta_1)\Gamma(\zeta_2)} \int_0^\vartheta \int_0^\eta (\vartheta - \rho)^{\zeta_1-1}(\eta - \kappa)^{\zeta_2-1} d\kappa d\rho \\ & \leq \frac{(\sigma_1^*(\delta) + \sigma_2^*(\delta)\rho^*(\delta))\theta_1^{\zeta_1}\theta_2^{\zeta_2}}{\Gamma(1 + \zeta_1)\Gamma(1 + \zeta_2)} \\ & \leq \rho(\delta), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \|\bar{I}_{(\rho, \kappa)} + \bar{\mathfrak{p}}_{(\rho, \kappa)}\|_S & \leq \|\bar{I}_{(\rho, \kappa)}\|_S + \|\bar{\mathfrak{p}}_{(\rho, \kappa)}\|_S \\ & \leq \chi_2 \rho(\delta) + \chi_2 \|\varpi(0, 0)\| + \chi_3 \|\varpi\|_S \\ & := \rho^*(\delta). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\mathfrak{H}$  is a random operator with stochastic domain  $\mathfrak{X}$  and  $\mathfrak{H}(\delta) : \mathfrak{X}(\delta) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(\delta)$ . Moreover,  $\mathfrak{H}(\delta)$  maps bounded sets into bounded sets in  $\Omega_0$ .

**Claim 2.**  $\mathfrak{H}(\delta)$  is continuous.

Let  $\{I_n\}$  be a sequence such that  $I_n \rightarrow \mathfrak{p}$  in  $\Omega_0$ . Hence, for each  $(\vartheta, \eta) \in \Theta$  and  $\delta \in \mathcal{P}$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(\mathfrak{H}(\delta)I_n)(\vartheta, \eta) - (\mathfrak{H}(\delta)I)(\vartheta, \eta)\|_{\mathbb{E}} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\zeta_1)\Gamma(\zeta_2)} \int_0^\vartheta \int_0^\eta (\vartheta - \rho)^{\zeta_1-1}(\eta - \kappa)^{\zeta_2-1} \\ & \quad \times \|\psi(\rho, \kappa, \bar{I}_{n(\rho, \kappa)} + \bar{\mathfrak{p}}_{n(\rho, \kappa)}, \delta) - \psi(\rho, \kappa, \bar{I}_{(\rho, \kappa)} + \bar{\mathfrak{p}}_{(\rho, \kappa)}, \delta)\|_F d\kappa d\rho. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\|\mathfrak{H}(\delta)I_n - \mathfrak{H}(\delta)I\|_\infty \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

As a result, we can deduce that  $\mathfrak{H}(\delta) : \mathfrak{X}(\delta) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(\delta)$  is a continuous random operator with stochastic domain  $\mathfrak{X}$ , and  $\mathfrak{H}(\delta)(\mathfrak{X}(\delta))$  is bounded.

**Claim 3.** For each bounded subset  $\Omega$  of  $\mathfrak{X}(\delta)$  we obtain

$$\mu_C(\mathfrak{H}(\delta)\Omega) \leq \mathfrak{L}\mu_C(\Omega),$$

where  $\mu_C$  is a measure of noncompactness defined on  $C(\Theta, \mathbb{E})$  by

$$\mu_C(\Omega) = \sup_{(\vartheta, \eta) \in \Theta} \mu(\Omega(\vartheta, \eta)).$$

Let  $\delta \in \mathcal{P}$  be fixed. From Lemmas 2 and 3, for any  $\Omega \subset \mathfrak{X}$  and any  $\alpha > 0$ , there exists a sequence  $\{I_n\}_{n=0}^\infty \subset \Omega$ , such that for all  $(\vartheta, \eta) \in \Theta$ , we have

$$\mu(\mathfrak{H}(\delta)\Omega)(\vartheta, \eta)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & = \mu \left( \left\{ \int_0^\vartheta \int_0^\eta \frac{(\vartheta - \rho)^{\zeta_1-1}(\eta - \kappa)^{\zeta_2-1}}{\Gamma(\zeta_1)\Gamma(\zeta_2)} \psi(\rho, \kappa, \bar{I}_{n(\rho, \kappa)} + \bar{\mathfrak{p}}_{n(\rho, \kappa)}, \delta) d\kappa d\rho : I \in \Omega \right\} \right) \\ & \leq 2\mu \left( \left\{ \int_0^\vartheta \int_0^\eta \frac{(\vartheta - \rho)^{\zeta_1-1}(\eta - \kappa)^{\zeta_2-1}}{\Gamma(\zeta_1)\Gamma(\zeta_2)} \psi(\rho, \kappa, \bar{I}_{n(\rho, \kappa)} + \bar{\mathfrak{p}}_{n(\rho, \kappa)}, \delta) d\kappa d\rho \right\}_{n=1}^\infty \right) + \alpha \\ & \leq 4 \int_0^\vartheta \int_0^\eta \mu \left( \left\{ \frac{(\vartheta - \rho)^{\zeta_1-1}(\eta - \kappa)^{\zeta_2-1}}{\Gamma(\zeta_1)\Gamma(\zeta_2)} \psi(\rho, \kappa, \bar{I}_{n(\rho, \kappa)} + \bar{\mathfrak{p}}_{n(\rho, \kappa)}, \delta) \right\}_{n=1}^\infty \right) d\kappa d\rho + \alpha \\ & \leq 4 \int_0^\vartheta \int_0^\eta \frac{(\vartheta - \rho)^{\zeta_1-1}(\eta - \kappa)^{\zeta_2-1}}{\Gamma(\zeta_1)\Gamma(\zeta_2)} \mu \left( \{\psi(\rho, \kappa, \bar{I}_{n(\rho, \kappa)} + \bar{\mathfrak{p}}_{n(\rho, \kappa)}, \delta)\}_{n=1}^\infty \right) d\kappa d\rho + \alpha \\ & \leq 4 \int_0^\vartheta \int_0^\eta \frac{(\vartheta - \rho)^{\zeta_1-1}(\eta - \kappa)^{\zeta_2-1}}{\Gamma(\zeta_1)\Gamma(\zeta_2)} \sigma_2(\rho, \kappa, \delta) \mu \left( \{\bar{I}_{n(\rho, \kappa)} + \bar{\mathfrak{p}}_{n(\rho, \kappa)}\}_{n=1}^\infty \right) d\kappa d\rho + \alpha \\ & \leq \left( 4 \int_0^\vartheta \int_0^\eta \frac{(\vartheta - \rho)^{\zeta_1-1}(\eta - \kappa)^{\zeta_2-1}}{\Gamma(\zeta_1)\Gamma(\zeta_2)} \sigma_2(\rho, \kappa, \delta) d\rho d\kappa \right) \mu \left( \{\bar{I}_{n(\rho, \kappa)}\}_{n=1}^\infty \right) + \alpha \\ & \leq \left( 4 \int_0^\vartheta \int_0^\eta \frac{(\vartheta - \rho)^{\zeta_1-1}(\eta - \kappa)^{\zeta_2-1}}{\Gamma(\zeta_1)\Gamma(\zeta_2)} \sigma_2(\rho, \kappa, \delta) d\rho d\kappa \right) \mu_C \left( \{\bar{I}_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \right) + \alpha \\ & \leq \left( 4 \int_0^\vartheta \int_0^\eta \frac{(\vartheta - \rho)^{\zeta_1-1}(\eta - \kappa)^{\zeta_2-1}}{\Gamma(\zeta_1)\Gamma(\zeta_2)} \sigma_2(\rho, \kappa, \delta) d\rho d\kappa \right) \mu_C \left( \{\bar{I}_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \right) + \alpha \\ & \leq \left( 4 \int_0^\vartheta \int_0^\eta \frac{(\vartheta - \rho)^{\zeta_1-1}(\eta - \kappa)^{\zeta_2-1}}{\Gamma(\zeta_1)\Gamma(\zeta_2)} \sigma_2(\rho, \kappa, \delta) d\kappa d\rho \right) \mu_C(\Omega) + \alpha \\ & \leq \frac{4\sigma_2^*(\delta)\theta_1^{\zeta_1}\theta_2^{\zeta_2}}{\Gamma(1 + \zeta_1)\Gamma(1 + \zeta_2)} \mu_C(\Omega) + \alpha \\ & = \mathfrak{L}\mu_C(\Omega) + \alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\alpha > 0$ , we get

$$\mu(\mathfrak{H}(\delta)\Omega)(\vartheta, \eta) \leq \mathfrak{L}\mu_C(\Omega).$$

Then

$$\mu_C(\mathfrak{H}(\delta)\Omega) \leq \mathfrak{L}\mu_C(\Omega).$$

Lemma 4 implies that for each  $\delta \in \mathcal{P}$ ,  $\mathfrak{H}$  has at least one fixed point in  $\mathfrak{X}$ . Since  $\bigcap_{\delta \in \mathcal{P}} \text{int}\mathfrak{X}(\delta) \neq \emptyset$  and a measurable selector of  $\text{int}\mathfrak{X}$  exists, by Lemma 4,  $\mathfrak{X}$  has a stochastic fixed point, hence the existence of at least one random solution of (1)–(3).

### An example

Let  $\mathbb{E} = \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mathcal{P} = (-\infty, 0)$  be equipped with the usual  $\sigma$ -algebra consisting of Lebesgue measurable subsets of  $(-\infty, 0)$ . Consider the following problem:

$$\begin{aligned} & ({}^c D_\varepsilon^\zeta \mathfrak{p})(\vartheta, \eta, \delta) \\ & = \frac{c e^{\vartheta+\eta-\zeta(\vartheta+\eta)} \|\mathfrak{p}_{(\vartheta, \eta)}\|}{(e^{\vartheta+\eta} + e^{-\vartheta-\eta})(1 + \delta^2 + \|\mathfrak{p}_{(\vartheta, \eta)}\|)}; \\ & \text{a.a. } (\vartheta, \eta) \in \Theta = [0, 1] \times [0, 1], \delta \in \mathcal{P}, \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

$$\mathfrak{p}(\vartheta, \eta, \delta) = \vartheta \sin \delta + \eta^2 \cos \delta, (\vartheta, \eta) \in (-\infty, 1] \times (-\infty, 1] \setminus (0, 1] \times (0, 1], \delta \in \mathcal{P}, \tag{7}$$

$$\mathfrak{p}(\vartheta, 0, \delta) = \vartheta \sin \delta; \vartheta \in [0, 1], \mathfrak{p}(0, \eta, \delta) = \eta^2 \cos \delta; \eta \in [0, 1], \delta \in \mathcal{P}, \tag{8}$$

where  $v = \frac{8}{\Gamma(\zeta_1+1)\Gamma(\zeta_2+1)}$  and  $\zeta > 0$ .

Let

$$S_\zeta = \{\mathfrak{p} \in C(\mathbb{R}^- \times \mathbb{R}^-, \mathbb{R}) : \lim_{\|(\varepsilon, \lambda)\| \rightarrow \infty} e^{\zeta(\varepsilon+\lambda)} \mathfrak{p}(\varepsilon, \lambda) \text{ exists in } \mathbb{R}\},$$

with the norm

$$\|\mathfrak{p}\|_\zeta = \sup_{(\varepsilon, \lambda) \in \mathbb{R}^- \times \mathbb{R}^-} e^{\zeta(\varepsilon+\lambda)} |\mathfrak{p}(\varepsilon, \lambda)|.$$

Let

$$\mathbb{E} := [0, 1] \times \{0\} \cup \{0\} \times [0, 1],$$

and  $\mathfrak{p} : (-\infty, 1] \times (-\infty, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  where  $\mathfrak{p}_{(\vartheta, \eta)} \in S_\zeta$  for  $(\vartheta, \eta) \in \mathbb{E}$ , thus

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{\|(\varepsilon, \lambda)\| \rightarrow \infty} e^{\zeta(\varepsilon+\lambda)} \mathfrak{p}_{(\vartheta, \eta)}(\varepsilon, \lambda) = \lim_{\|(\varepsilon, \lambda)\| \rightarrow \infty} e^{\zeta(\varepsilon-\vartheta+\lambda-\eta)} \mathfrak{p}(\varepsilon, \lambda) \\ & = e^{-\zeta(\vartheta+\eta)} \lim_{\|(\varepsilon, \lambda)\| \rightarrow \infty} e^{\zeta(\varepsilon+\lambda)} \mathfrak{p}(\varepsilon, \lambda) < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $p_{(\vartheta,\eta)} \in S_\zeta$ . We demonstrate that

$$\|p_{(\vartheta,\eta)}\|_\zeta = \chi_2 \sup\{|p(\varrho, \kappa)| : (\varrho, \kappa) \in [0, \vartheta] \times [0, \eta]\} + \chi_3 \sup\{\|p_{(\varrho,\kappa)}\|_\zeta : (\varrho, \kappa) \in E_{(\vartheta,\eta)}\},$$

where  $\chi_2 = \chi_3 = 1$  and  $\chi_1 = 1$ .

If  $\vartheta + \varepsilon \leq 0$ ,  $\eta + \lambda \leq 0$  we obtain

$$\|p_{(\vartheta,\eta)}\|_\zeta = \sup\{|p(\varrho, \kappa)| : (\varrho, \kappa) \in \mathbb{R}^- \times \mathbb{R}^-\},$$

and if  $\vartheta + \varepsilon \geq 0$ ,  $\eta + \lambda \geq 0$  then we get

$$\|p_{(\vartheta,\eta)}\|_\zeta = \sup\{|p(\varrho, \kappa)| : (\varrho, \kappa) \in [0, \vartheta] \times [0, \eta]\}.$$

Then for all  $(\vartheta + \varepsilon, \eta + \lambda) \in [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ , we have

$$\|p_{(\vartheta,\eta)}\|_\zeta = \sup\{|p(\varrho, \kappa)| : (\varrho, \kappa) \in \mathbb{R}^- \times \mathbb{R}^-\} + \sup\{|p(\varrho, \kappa)| : (\varrho, \kappa) \in [0, \vartheta] \times [0, \eta]\}.$$

Then

$$\|p_{(\vartheta,\eta)}\|_\zeta = \sup\{\|p_{(\varrho,\kappa)}\|_\zeta : (\varrho, \kappa) \in \mathbf{E}\} + \sup\{|p(\varrho, \kappa)| : (\varrho, \kappa) \in [0, \vartheta] \times [0, \eta]\}.$$

$(S_\zeta, \|\cdot\|_\zeta)$  is a Banach space. We conclude that  $S_\zeta$  is a phase space. Set

$$\psi(\vartheta, \eta, p_{(\vartheta,\eta)}) = \frac{ce^{\vartheta+\eta-\zeta(\vartheta+\eta)}\|p_{(\vartheta,\eta)}\|}{(e^{\vartheta+\eta} + e^{-\vartheta-\eta})(1 + \|p_{(\vartheta,\eta)}\|)}, \quad (\vartheta, \eta) \in [0, 1] \times [0, 1].$$

The functions  $\delta \mapsto \varpi_1(\vartheta, 0, \delta) = \vartheta \sin \delta$ ,  $\delta \mapsto \varpi_2(0, \eta, \delta) = \eta^2 \cos \delta$  and  $\delta \mapsto \varpi(\vartheta, \eta, \delta) = \vartheta \sin \delta + \eta^2 \cos \delta$  are measurable and bounded with

$$|\varpi_1(\vartheta, 0, \delta)| \leq 1, \quad |\varpi_2(0, \eta, \delta)| \leq 1,$$

Thus,  $(H_1)$  is verified.

Obviously,  $(\vartheta, \eta, \delta) \mapsto \psi(\vartheta, \eta, p, \delta)$  is jointly continuous for all  $p \in S_\zeta$ , thus jointly measurable for all  $p \in S_\zeta$ .  $p \mapsto \psi(\vartheta, \eta, p, \delta)$  is continuous for all  $(\vartheta, \eta) \in \Theta$  and  $\delta \in \mathcal{P}$ . So the function  $\psi$  is Carathéodory on  $[0, 1] \times [0, 1] \times S_\zeta \times \mathcal{P}$ .

For each  $p \in S_\zeta$ ,  $(\vartheta, \eta) \in [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$  and  $\delta \in \mathcal{P}$ , we have

$$|\psi(\vartheta, \eta, p_{(\vartheta,\eta)})| \leq 1 + \frac{1}{v} \|p\|_{S_\zeta}.$$

Thus  $(H_4)$  is verified with

$$\sigma_1(\vartheta, \eta, \delta) = \sigma_1^* = 1, \quad \sigma_2(\vartheta, \eta, \delta) = \sigma_2^* = \frac{1}{v}.$$

Also,  $(H_5)$  is met.

We will prove that  $\mathfrak{L} < 1$  with  $\theta_1 = \theta_2 = 1$ . For each  $(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) \in (0, 1] \times (0, 1]$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{L} &= \frac{4\sigma_2^* \theta_1^{\zeta_1} \theta_2^{\zeta_2}}{\Gamma(1 + \zeta_1)\Gamma(1 + \zeta_2)} \\ &= \frac{4}{v\Gamma(1 + \zeta_1)\Gamma(1 + \zeta_2)} \\ &< \frac{1}{2} \\ &< 1. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, **Theorem 1** implies that the problem (6)-(8) has a random solution defined on  $(-\infty, 1] \times (-\infty, 1]$ .

### Conclusion

In this paper, we demonstrated certain existence results for the Darboux problem of partial fractional random differential equations with infinite delay using a random fixed point theorem with stochastic domain paired with the measure of noncompactness. Finally, we have provided a clear example to highlight the applicability of our main result. As a result, we expect that our work will pave the way for us to pursue new applications and broader problems, such as generalizing the problem using newly defined fractional derivatives.

### Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare the following financial interests/personal relationships which may be considered as potential competing interests: All authors contributed equally and significantly in writing this article. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

### References

- [1] Adiguzel RS, Aksoy U, Karapinar E, Erhan IM. On the solution of a boundary value problem associated with a fractional differential equation. *Math Methods Appl Sci* <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/mma.6652>.
- [2] Adiguzel RS, Aksoy U, Karapinar E, Erhan IM. Uniqueness of solution for higher-order nonlinear fractional differential equations with multi-point and integral boundary conditions. *RACSAM* 2021;115:155. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s13398-021-01095-3>.
- [3] Adiguzel RS, Aksoy U, Karapinar E, Erhan IM. On the solutions of fractional differential equations via geraghty type hybrid contractions. *Appl Comput Math* 2021;20(2):313–33.
- [4] Hilfer R. *Applications of fractional calculus in physics*. Singapore: World Scientific; 2000.
- [5] Podlubny I. *Fractional differential equations*. San Diego: Academic Press; 1999.
- [6] Tenreiro Machado JA, Lopes Antonio M. A fractional perspective on the trajectory control of redundant and hyper-redundant robot manipulators. *Appl Math Model* 2017;46:716–26.
- [7] Tenreiro Machado JA, Lopes Antonio M. Relative fractional dynamics of stock markets. *Nonlinear Dynam* 2016;86:1613–9.
- [8] Abbas S, Benchohra M, Graef J, Henderson J. *Implicit fractional differential and integral equations; Existence and stability*. Berlin: De Gruyter; 2018.
- [9] Abbas S, Benchohra M, N'Guérékata GM. *Topics in fractional differential equations*. New York: Springer; 2012.
- [10] Abbas S, Benchohra M, N'Guérékata GM. *Advanced fractional differential and integral equations*. New York: Nova Science Publishers; 2015.
- [11] Abbas S, Baleanu D, Benchohra M. Global attractivity for fractional order delay partial integro-differential equations. *Adv Difference Equ* 2012;19. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1687-1847-2012-62>.
- [12] Abbas S, Benchohra M. Darboux problem for perturbed partial differential equations of fractional order with finite delay. *Nonlinear Anal Hybrid Syst* 2009;3:597–604.
- [13] Abbas S, Benchohra M. Fractional order partial hyperbolic differential equations involving Caputo's derivative. *Stud Univ Babeş-Bolyai Math* 2012;57(4):469–79.
- [14] Abbas S, Benchohra M. Upper and lower solutions method for darboux problem for fractional order implicit impulsive partial hyperbolic differential equations. *Acta Univ Palacki Olomuc* 2012;51(2):5–18.
- [15] Abbas S, Benchohra M, Cabada A. Partial neutral functional integro-differential equations of fractional order with delay. *Bound Value Prob* 2012;2012. 15 pp.
- [16] Abbas S, Benchohra M, Gorniewicz L. Existence theory for impulsive partial hyperbolic functional differential equations involving the Caputo fractional derivative. *Sci Math Jpn Online E* 2010;271–82.
- [17] Abbas S, Benchohra M, Vityuk AN. On fractional order derivatives and darboux problem for implicit differential equations. *Fract Calc Appl Anal* 2012;15(2):168–82.
- [18] Kilbas AA, Srivastava Hari M, Trujillo Juan J. *Theory and applications of fractional differential equations*. North-Holland mathematics studies, vol. 204, Amsterdam: Elsevier Science B.V.; 2006.
- [19] Ahmad B, Nieto JJ. Riemann–Liouville fractional differential equations with fractional boundary conditions. *Fixed Point Theory* 2012;13:329–36.
- [20] Salim A, Benchohra M, Graef JR, Lazreg JE. Boundary value problem for fractional generalised Hilfer-type fractional derivative with non-instantaneous impulses. *Fractal Fract* 2021;5:1–21.
- [21] Salim A, Benchohra M, Karapinar E, Lazreg JE. Existence and Ulam stability for impulsive generalized Hilfer-type fractional differential equations. *Adv Difference Equ* 2020;2020:1–21.
- [22] Stanek S. Limit properties of positive solutions of fractional boundary value problems. *Appl Math Comput* 2012;219:2361–70.
- [23] Vityuk AN, Golushkov AV. Existence of solutions of systems of partial differential equations of fractional order. *Nonlinear Oscil (N Y)* 2004;7(3):318–25.
- [24] Bharucha-Reid AT. *Random integral equations*. New York: Academic Press; 1972.
- [25] Beghin L. Fractional diffusion-type equations with exponential and logarithmic differential operators. *Stochastic Process Appl* 2018;128(7):2427–47.
- [26] Mijena JB, Nane E. Space–time fractional stochastic partial differential equations. *Stochastic Process Appl* 2015;125:3301–26.
- [27] Itoh S. Random fixed point theorems with applications to random differential equations in Banach spaces. *J Math Anal Appl* 1979;67:261–73.
- [28] Engl HW. A general stochastic fixed-point theorem for continuous random operators on stochastic domains. *J Math Anal Appl* 1978;66:220–31.
- [29] Appell J. Implicit functions, nonlinear integral equations, and the measure of noncompactness of the superposition operator. *J Math Anal Appl* 1981;83:251–63.

- [30] Ayerbe Toledano JM, Dominguez Benavides T, Lopez Acedo G. Measures of noncompactness in metric fixed point theory, operator theory. In: *Advances and applications*, Vol. 99. Basel, Boston, Berlin: Birkhäuser; 1997.
- [31] Bothe D. Multivalued perturbation of  $m$ -accretive differential inclusions. *Israel J Math* 1998;108:109–38.
- [32] Mönch H. Boundary value problems for nonlinear ordinary differential equations of second order in Banach spaces. *Nonlinear Anal Theory Methods Appl* 1980;4:985–99.
- [33] Liu L, Guo F, Wu C, Wu Y. Existence theorems of global solutions for nonlinear Volterra type integral equations in Banach spaces. *J Math Anal Appl* 2005;309:638–49.
- [34] Hale J, Kato J. Phase space for retarded equations with infinite delay. *Funkcial Ekvac* 1978;21:11–41.
- [35] Hino Y, Murakami S, Naito T. *Functional differential equations with infinite delay*. Lecture notes in mathematics, vol. 1473, Berlin: Springer-Verlag; 1991.
- [36] Czapinski T. On the Darboux problem for partial differential-functional equations with infinite delay at derivatives. *Nonlinear Anal* 2001;44:389–98.