

ON THE CHRISTENSEN-WANG BOUNDS FOR THE GHOST NUMBER OF A p -GROUP ALGEBRA

FATMA ALTUNBULAK AKSU AND DAVID J. GREEN

ABSTRACT. Christensen and Wang give conjectural upper and lower bounds for the ghost number of the group algebra of a p -group. We apply results of Koshitani and Motose on the nilpotency index of the Jacobson radical to prove the upper bound and most cases of the lower bound.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let G be a group and k a field of characteristic p . A map $f: M \rightarrow N$ in the stable category $\text{stmod}(kG)$ of finitely generated kG -modules is called a *ghost* if it vanishes under Tate cohomology, that is if $f_*: \hat{H}^*(G, M) \rightarrow \hat{H}^*(G, N)$ is zero. The ghost maps then form an ideal in the stable category; Chebolu, Christensen and Mináč [4] define the *ghost number* of kG to be the nilpotency degree of this ideal.

If G is a p -group, then by [3] the ghost ideal is nontrivial – that is, the ghost number exceeds one – unless G is C_2 or C_3 . But the exact value of the ghost number is only known in a few cases; for example, it is not yet known for the quaternion group Q_8 .

In [5], Christensen and Wang give conjectural upper and lower bounds for the ghost number of a p -group. Our main result establishes most cases of this conjecture:

Theorem 1.1. *Let G be a p -group of order p^n , and k a field of characteristic p . Then*

- (1) *ghost number(kG) \leq ghost number(kC_{p^n}).*
- (2) *If G is neither extraspecial of exponent p for odd p , nor extraspecial of order p^3 and exponent p^2 for $p \in \{3, 5\}$, then*

$$\text{ghost number}(k(C_p)^n) \leq \text{ghost number}(kG).$$

We do not know whether the lower bound holds in the excluded cases. The upper bound is only rarely attained:

Proposition 1.2. *Let G be a group of order p^n , and k a field of characteristic p . If G is non-cyclic but has the same ghost number as C_{p^n} , then $p = 2$; and G is one of the groups $C_2 \times C_{2^{n-1}}$, Q_{2^n} , SD_{2^n} or Mod_{2^n} .*

Remark 1.3. By work of Chebolu, Christensen and Mináč – specifically, Theorem 5.4 and Corollary 5.12 of [4] – it follows that

$$\text{ghost number}(k(C_2 \times C_{2^{n-1}})) = 2^{n-1} = \text{ghost number}(kC_{2^n}).$$

Date: 19 February 2015.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 20C20, Secondary 20D15, 16N20, 16N40.

Key words and phrases. p -group, ghost map, ghost number, nilpotency index .

The first author was supported by the Scientific and Technical Research Council of Turkey (TÜBİTAK-BİDEP-2219).

We do not know whether the other groups in Proposition 1.2 attain the upper bound.

The *nilpotency index* of the radical $J(kG)$ is the smallest positive integer s such that $J(kG)^s = 0$. Following Wallace [9], we denote the nilpotency index of the radical by $t(G)$. We shall prove Theorem 1.1 using known properties of $t(G)$. The first link between ghost number and nilpotency index is given by the following result:

Theorem 1.4 ([4], Theorem 4.7). *Let k be a field of characteristic p and let G be a finite p -group. Then*

$$\text{ghost number}(kG) < t(G) \leq |G| .$$

For most p -groups we can use $t(G)$ to strengthen the lower bound in Theorem 1.1 (2):

Proposition 1.5. *Let k be a field of characteristic p . If G is a p -group of order p^n which is not elementary abelian, and moreover*

- G is neither an extraspecial 2-group nor an almost extraspecial 2-group;
- G is not extraspecial of exponent p for p odd;
- G is not p_-^{1+2} for $p \in \{3, 5\}$;
- G is neither C_4 nor C_9 ,

then $\text{ghost number}(kG) \geq t((C_p)^n)$.

2. THE UPPER BOUND

Let us recall the ghost number of a cyclic group.

Theorem 2.1 ([4], Theorem 5.4.).

$$\text{ghost number}(kC_{p^n}) = \left\lceil \frac{p^n - 1}{2} \right\rceil = \begin{cases} 2^{n-1} & p = 2 \\ \frac{p^n - 1}{2} & p \text{ odd} \end{cases} .$$

Proof of Theorem 1.1 (1). Let G be any p -group of order p^n . Theorem 1.4 tells us that

$$\text{ghost number}(kG) \leq t(G) - 1 .$$

Motose and Ninomiya [8, Thm 1] demonstrated that if $t(G) = |G|$ then G is cyclic; and Koshitani [6, Thm 1.6] showed that if $n \geq 2$ then the following three statements are equivalent:

- (1) $t(G) = p^{n-1} + p - 1$
- (2) $p^{n-1} < t(G) < p^n$
- (3) G is not cyclic, but it does have a cyclic subgroup of index p .

If $p = 2$ and G is not cyclic then by Koshitani's result and Theorem 2.1

$$t(G) - 1 \leq 2^{n-1} = \text{ghost number}(kC_{p^n}) .$$

If p is odd and G not cyclic, then $t(G) - 1 \leq p^{n-1} + p - 2$. This is strictly smaller than $\text{ghost number}(kC_{p^n}) = \frac{p^n - 1}{2}$, except for the one case $p^n = 3^2$. But the cyclic group of order 9 has ghost number 4, whereas $C_3 \times C_3$ has ghost number 3 by [5, Thm 1.1]. \square

Proof of Proposition 1.2. Inspecting the proof of Theorem 1.1 (1) we see that $p = 2$, and that G has a cyclic subgroup of index p . By the classification of such groups (see e.g. [1, 23.4]) it follows that G is either D_{2^n} or one of the stated groups. But D_{2^n} has ghost number $2^{n-2} + 1$ by [5, Cor 1.1]. \square

3. NILPOTENCY INDEX AND A LOWER BOUND

The following result is a special case of [5, Thm 4.3]:

Theorem 3.1 (Christensen–Wang). *Let G be a finite p -group and k a field of characteristic p . Suppose that $C \leq Z(G)$ is cyclic of order p . Then*

$$\text{ghost number}(kG) \geq t(G/C).$$

Proof. In [5, Thm 4.3], take M_n to be the trivial kC -module. Then the induced kG -module $k(G/C)$ has ghost length equal to its radical length. But its radical length is $t(G/C)$, and by definition the ghost number is the largest ghost length. \square

One immediate corollary generalizes [4, Corollary 5.12]:

Corollary 3.2. *Let H be a 2-group and $G = H \times (C_2)^r$ for $r \geq 1$. Then*

$$\text{ghost number}(kG) = t(G) - 1 = t(H) + r - 1.$$

Proof. The Jennings series of G is given by

$$\Gamma_s(G) = \begin{cases} \Gamma_1(H) \times C_2^r & s = 1 \\ \Gamma_s(H) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

By Jennings' Theorem (Theorem 3.14.6 in [2]) it follows that $t(G) = t(H) + r$. For the first inequality it suffices to consider the case $r = 1$; so $G = H \times C$ with $C \cong C_2$. Theorem 3.1 tells us that

$$\text{ghost number}(kG) \geq t(G/C) = t(H) = t(G) - 1.$$

Now apply Theorem 1.4. \square

4. PROPOSITION 1.5: THE (ALMOST) EXTRASPECIAL CASE

Recall that a p -group G is *extraspecial* if $\Phi(G)$, $[G, G]$ and $Z(G)$ coincide and have order p ; and *almost extraspecial* if $\Phi(G) = [G, G]$ has order p , but $Z(G)$ is cyclic of order p^2 . That is, an almost extraspecial group is a central product of the form $H * C_{p^2}$, with H extraspecial. The following lemma is presumably well known.

Lemma 4.1. *Suppose that G is a p -group of order p^n whose Frattini subgroup has order p . Then*

$$t(G) = \begin{cases} (n+1)(p-1) + 1 & \text{if } G \text{ has exponent } p \\ (p+n-1)(p-1) + 1 & \text{if } G \text{ has exponent } p^2 \end{cases}.$$

In particular, if $p = 2$ then $t(G) = n + 2$.

Proof. Consider the Jennings series $\Gamma_r(G)$. We certainly have $\Gamma_1(G) = G$ and $\Gamma_2(G) = \Phi(G)$. If the exponent is p then $\Gamma_3(G) = 1$, whereas if the exponent is p^2 then $\Gamma_p(G) = \Gamma_2(G)$ and $\Gamma_{p+1}(G) = 1$. The result follows by Jennings' Theorem (Theorem 3.14.6 in [2]). \square

Recall from [8, Thm 1] that $t(C_p^n) = n(p-1) + 1$.

Proposition 4.2. *Let G be a 2-group of order 2^n whose Frattini subgroup has order 2. If G is neither C_4 nor extraspecial nor almost extraspecial then*

$$\text{ghost number}(kG) = n + 1 = t(C_2^n).$$

Proof. By assumption, G has the form $G = H \times C$ with $C \cong C_2$, and $\Phi(H)$ cyclic of order 2. So $\text{ghost number}(kG) = t(H)$ by Corollary 3.2, and $t(H) = n + 1$ by Lemma 4.1. \square

Proposition 4.3. *Let p be an odd prime and G be a p -group of order p^n whose Frattini subgroup has order p . Then*

$$\text{ghost number}(kG) \geq n(p - 1) + 1 = t(C_p^n)$$

except possibly in the following cases:

- G is extraspecial of exponent p , for any odd p ;
- G is extraspecial of order p^3 and exponent p^2 for $p \in \{3, 5\}$.
- $G = C_9$, with ghost number 4 and $t(C_3 \times C_3) = 5$.

Remark 4.4. In the proof we use the Proposition 4.9 from [4]: If H is a subgroup of a finite p -group G , then

$$\text{ghost number}(kH) \leq \text{ghost number}(kG).$$

Proof. By assumption we have $\Phi(G) \leq \Omega_1(Z(G))$. Since $\Phi(G) \neq 1$ we have $n \geq 2$.

Step 1: Reduction to the case $\Phi(G) = [G, G] = \Omega_1(Z(G))$.

If $\Phi(G) \subsetneq \Omega_1(Z(G))$ then there is $C \leq Z(G)$ with $|C| = p$ and $C \cap \Phi(G) = 1$, hence $|\Phi(G/C)| = p$ and so by Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 4.1

$$\text{ghost number}(G) \geq t(G/C) \geq n(p - 1) + 1.$$

So we may assume that $\Phi(G) = \Omega_1(Z(G))$. If $[G, G] \neq \Phi(G)$ then G is abelian; and therefore cyclic of order p^2 , since $\Omega_1(G) = \Phi(G)$. By Theorem 2.1 the ghost number is $\frac{p^2-1}{2}$; for $p > 3$ this is at least $2p - 1$.

Step 2: Reduction to the case G extraspecial.

Extraspecial means that $\Phi(G) = Z(G) = [G, G]$. So if G is not extraspecial then $\Phi(G) \subsetneq Z(G)$, so $Z(G) \cong C_{p^2}$ and there is a maximal subgroup $E < G$ with $G = EZ(G)$ and $E \cap Z(G) = \Phi(G)$. It follows that E is extraspecial, with $\Phi(E) = \Phi(G)$. As E is extraspecial it has a maximal subgroup of the form $H \times C_p$, with $\Phi(G) \leq H$. Then $L := HZ(G) \times C_p$ is maximal in G , and by Theorem 3.1 and Remark 4.4

$$\text{ghost number}(kG) \geq \text{ghost number}(L) \geq t(HZ(G)).$$

As $HZ(G)$ has order p^{n-2} and exponent p^2 , Lemma 4.1 says that $t(HZ(G)) = (p + n - 3)(p - 1) + 1$.

Step 3: Reduction to the case $G \cong p_-^{1+2}$

We may assume that G has exponent p^2 , so $G \cong p_+^{1+2r}$. If $r \geq 2$ then G has a maximal subgroup of the form $H \times C_p$, where H has order p^{n-2} and exponent p^2 . The result now follows by the argument of the previous step.

Step 4: The case $G \cong p_-^{1+2}$

G has a subgroup of the form C_{p^2} , so $\text{ghost number}(kG) \geq \text{ghost number}(C_{p^2})$ by Remark 4.4. But C_{p^2} has ghost number $\frac{p^2-1}{2}$, which exceeds $3p - 2$ for $p \geq 7$. \square

5. THE LOWER BOUND

Lemma 5.1. *Let G be a p -group of order p^n . If $|\Phi(G)| > p$, then*

$$\text{ghost number}(kG) > n(p-1) + 1 = t(C_p^n).$$

Proof. Let $C \leq \Phi(G) \cap Z(G)$ be cyclic of order p . Then $\text{ghost number}(kG) \geq t(G/C)$ by Theorem 3.1. Since G/C has order p^{n-1} and is not elementary abelian, we have $t(G/C) \geq n(p-1) + 1$ by [7, Thm 6]. But $t(C_p^n) = n(p-1) + 1$ by [8, Thm 1]. \square

Proof of Proposition 1.5. Follows from Propositions 4.2 and 4.3, and Lemma 5.1. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1 (2). Let G have order p^n .

First suppose that $p = 2$. Let $C \leq Z(G)$ have order 2, then $\text{ghost number}(kG) \geq t(G/C)$ by Theorem 3.1. Since G/C has order 2^{n-1} we have $t(G/C) \geq (n-1) + 1 = n = t(C_2^n) - 1$. The result follows since $\text{ghost number}(kH) \leq t(H) - 1$ by Theorem 1.4.

Now suppose that p is odd. By Proposition 1.5 we only need consider the case $G = C_9$, with ghost number 4. But $C_3 \times C_3$ has ghost number 3 by [5, Thm 1.1]. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Aschbacher. *Finite group theory*, volume 10 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1986.
- [2] D. J. Benson. *Representations and Cohomology. I*. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Math., vol. 30. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, second edition, 1998.
- [3] D. J. Benson, S. K. Chebolu, J. D. Christensen, and J. Mináč. The generating hypothesis for the stable module category of a p -group. *J. Algebra*, 310(1):428–433, 2007.
- [4] S. K. Chebolu, J. D. Christensen, and J. Mináč. Ghosts in modular representation theory. *Adv. Math.*, 217(6):2782–2799, 2008.
- [5] J. D. Christensen and G. Wang. Ghost numbers of group algebras. *Algebras and Representation Theory*, pages 1–33, 2014.
- [6] S. Koshitani. On the nilpotency indices of the radicals of group algebras of P -groups which have cyclic subgroups of index P . *Tsukuba J. Math.*, 1:137–148, 1977.
- [7] K. Motose. On a theorem of S. Koshitani. *Math. J. Okayama Univ.*, 20(1):59–65, 1978.
- [8] K. Motose and Y. Ninomiya. On the nilpotency index of the radical of a group algebra. *Hokkaido Math. J.*, 4(2):261–264, 1975.
- [9] D. A. R. Wallace. Lower bounds for the radical of the group algebra of a finite p -soluble group. *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. (2)*, 16:127–134, 1968/1969.

DEPT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, ÇANKAYA UNIVERSITY, 06790 ANKARA, TURKEY

E-mail address: altunbulak@cankaya.edu.tr

DEPT OF MATHEMATICS, FRIEDRICH-SCHILLER-UNIVERSITÄT JENA, 07737 JENA, GERMANY

E-mail address: david.green@uni-jena.de