



The convolution of functions and distributions

Brian Fisher^{a,*}, Kenan Taş^b

^a *Department of Mathematics, University of Leicester, Leicester, LE1 7RH, England, UK*

^b *Department of Mathematics, Çankaya University, Ankara, Turkey*

Received 23 June 2004

Available online 29 January 2005

Submitted by B.S. Thomson

Abstract

The non-commutative convolution $f * g$ of two distributions f and g in \mathcal{D}' is defined to be the limit of the sequence $\{(f \tau_n) * g\}$, provided the limit exists, where $\{\tau_n\}$ is a certain sequence of functions in \mathcal{D} converging to 1. It is proved that

$$|x|^\lambda * (\operatorname{sgn} x |x|^\mu) = \frac{2 \sin(\lambda\pi/2) \cos(\mu\pi/2)}{\sin[(\lambda + \mu)\pi/2]} B(\lambda + 1, \mu + 1) \operatorname{sgn} x |x|^{\lambda + \mu + 1},$$

for $-1 < \lambda + \mu < 0$ and $\lambda, \mu \neq -1, -2, \dots$, where B denotes the Beta function.

© 2005 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Distribution; Dirac delta function; Convolution

In the following, \mathcal{D} denotes the space of infinitely differentiable functions with compact support and \mathcal{D}' denotes the space of distributions defined on \mathcal{D} .

The convolution of certain pairs of distributions in \mathcal{D}' is usually defined as follows, see for example Gel'fand and Shilov [1].

Definition 1. Let f and g be distributions in \mathcal{D}' satisfying either of the following conditions:

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: fbr@le.ac.uk (B. Fisher), kenan@cankaya.edu.tr (K. Taş).

- (a) either f or g has bounded support,
- (b) the supports of f and g are bounded on the same side.

Then the *convolution* $f * g$ is defined by the equation

$$\langle (f * g)(x), \varphi(x) \rangle = \langle g(x), \langle f(t), \varphi(x + t) \rangle \rangle \tag{1}$$

for arbitrary test function φ in \mathcal{D} .

The classical definition of the convolution is as follows:

Definition 2. If f and g are locally summable functions, then the *convolution* $f * g$ is defined by

$$(f * g)(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t)g(x - t) dt = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x - t)g(t) dt \tag{2}$$

for all x for which the integrals exist.

Note that if f and g are locally summable functions satisfying either of the conditions (a) or (b) in Definition 1, then Definition 1 is in agreement with Definition 2.

It follows that if the convolution $f * g$ exists by Definitions 1 or 2, then the following equations hold:

$$f * g = g * f, \tag{3}$$

$$(f * g)' = f * g' = f' * g. \tag{4}$$

Definition 1 is rather restrictive and so a neutrix convolution was introduced in [2]. In order to define the neutrix convolution, we first of all let τ be the function in \mathcal{D} , see Jones [3], satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) $\tau(x) = \tau(-x)$,
- (ii) $0 \leq \tau(x) \leq 1$,
- (iii) $\tau(x) = 1, |x| \leq \frac{1}{2}$,
- (iv) $\tau(x) = 0, |x| \geq 1$.

The function τ_n is now defined by

$$\tau_n(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & |x| \leq n, \\ \tau(n^n x - n^{n+1}), & x > n, \\ \tau(n^n x + n^{n+1}), & x < -n. \end{cases}$$

Definition 3. Let f and g be distributions in \mathcal{D}' and let $f_n = f\tau_n$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Then the *neutrix convolution* $f \oplus g$ is defined to be the neutrix limit of the sequence $\{f_n * g\}$, provided the limit h exists in the sense that

$$\text{N-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle f_n * g, \varphi \rangle = \langle h, \varphi \rangle$$

for all φ in \mathcal{D} , where N is the neutrix, see van der Corput [4], having domain $N' = \{1, 2, \dots, n, \dots\}$ and range the real numbers with negligible functions finite linear sums of the functions

$$n^\lambda \ln^{r-1} n, \quad \ln^r n \quad (\lambda > 0, r = 1, 2, \dots)$$

and all functions which converge to zero as n tends to infinity.

Note that the convolution $f_n * g$ in this definition is in the sense of Definition 2, the support of f_n being bounded. Note also that the neutrix convolution in this definition, is in general non-commutative.

It was proved in [2] that if the convolution $f * g$ exists by Definition 1, then the neutrix convolution $f \otimes g$ exists and

$$f * g = f \otimes g,$$

showing that Definition 3 is a generalization of Definition 1.

We now give a definition of the convolution which generalizes both Definitions 1 and 2 but is a particular case of Definition 3.

Definition 4. Let f and g be distributions in \mathcal{D}' and let $f_n = f \tau_n$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Then the convolution $f * g$ is defined to be the limit of the sequence $\{f_n * g\}$, provided the limit h exists in the sense that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle f_n * g, \varphi \rangle = \langle h, \varphi \rangle$$

for all φ in \mathcal{D} .

From now on, we will use Definition 4 for the definition of the convolution.

Theorem 1. Let f and g be distributions in \mathcal{D}' and suppose that the convolution $f * g$ exists. Then the convolution $f * g'$ exists and

$$(f * g)' = f * g'. \tag{5}$$

Further, if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle (f \tau'_n) * g, \varphi \rangle$ exists and equals $\langle h, \varphi \rangle$ for all φ in \mathcal{D} , then the convolution $f' * g$ exists and

$$(f * g)' = f' * g + h. \tag{6}$$

Proof. Suppose that $f * g$ exists. Since f_n has compact support, Eq. (4) holds and so

$$\langle (f_n * g)', \varphi \rangle = \langle f_n * g', \varphi \rangle \tag{7}$$

for all φ in \mathcal{D} . Equation (5) follows on letting n tend to infinity in Eq. (7).

Next we have

$$\langle (f_n * g)', \varphi \rangle = \langle (f'_n)' * g, \varphi \rangle = \langle (f')_n * g + (f \tau'_n)' * g, \varphi \rangle \tag{8}$$

for all φ in \mathcal{D} . Equation (6) follows on letting n tend to infinity in Eq. (8). \square

Theorem 2. Let f and g be distributions in \mathcal{D}' and suppose that the convolution $f' * g$ exists and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle (f \tau'_n) * g, \varphi \rangle$ exists and equals $\langle h, \varphi \rangle$ for all φ in \mathcal{D} . Then the convolution $f * g'$ exists and

$$f * g' = f' * g + h. \tag{9}$$

Alternatively, if $f * g'$ exists, then the convolution $f' * g$ exists and

$$f' * g = f * g' - h. \tag{10}$$

Proof. Suppose that $f' * g$ exists. Since f_n has compact support, Eq. (4) holds and so

$$\langle f_n * g', \varphi \rangle = \langle (f_n)' * g, \varphi \rangle = \langle (f')_n * g + (f \tau'_n) * g, \varphi \rangle \tag{11}$$

for all φ in \mathcal{D} . Equation (9) follows on letting n tend to infinity in Eq. (11).

If now $f * g'$ exists, then Eq. (10) follows on letting n tend to infinity in Eq. (11). \square

We now prove our main theorem.

Theorem 3. The convolutions $|x|^\lambda * (\operatorname{sgn} x |x|^\mu)$ and $(\operatorname{sgn} x |x|^\lambda) * |x|^\mu$ exist and

$$|x|^\lambda * (\operatorname{sgn} x |x|^\mu) = \frac{2 \sin(\lambda\pi/2) \cos(\mu\pi/2)}{\sin[(\lambda + \mu)\pi/2]} B(\lambda + 1, \mu + 1) \operatorname{sgn} x |x|^{\lambda+\mu+1}, \tag{12}$$

$$(\operatorname{sgn} x |x|^\lambda) * |x|^\mu = \frac{2 \sin(\mu\pi/2) \cos(\lambda\pi/2)}{\sin[(\lambda + \mu)\pi/2]} B(\lambda + 1, \mu + 1) \operatorname{sgn} x |x|^{\lambda+\mu+1} \tag{13}$$

for $-1 < \lambda + \mu < 0$ and $\lambda, \mu \neq -1, -2, \dots$

Proof. We will first of all suppose that $\lambda, \mu > -1$ with $-1 < \lambda + \mu < 0$, and put

$$|x|^\lambda_n = |x|^\lambda \tau_n(x), \quad (x^\lambda_+)_n = x^\lambda_+ \tau_n(x), \quad (x^\lambda_-)_n = x^\lambda_- \tau_n(x).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} |x|^\lambda_n * (\operatorname{sgn} x |x|^\mu) &= [(x^\lambda_+)_n + (x^\lambda_-)_n] * (x^\mu_+ - x^\mu_-) \\ &= (x^\lambda_+)_n * x^\mu_+ + (x^\lambda_-)_n * x^\mu_+ - (x^\lambda_+)_n * x^\mu_- - (x^\lambda_-)_n * x^\mu_- \\ &= I_1 + I_2 - I_3 - I_4, \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

the convolutions existing by Definition 1. It is clear that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I_1 = x^\lambda_+ * x^\mu_+ = B(\lambda + 1, \mu + 1) x^{\lambda+\mu+1}_+, \tag{15}$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I_4 = x^\lambda_- * x^\mu_- = B(\lambda + 1, \mu + 1) x^{\lambda+\mu+1}_-, \tag{16}$$

where B denotes the Beta function. Equations (15) and (16) in fact exist for all $\lambda, \mu > -1$ by Definition 2 and for all $\lambda, \mu, \lambda + \mu + 1 \neq -1, -2, \dots$ by Definition 1.

Further,

$$(x^\lambda_-)_n * x^\mu_+ = \int_{-n}^0 |t|^\lambda (x - t)_+^\mu dt + \int_{-n-n^{-n}}^{-n} |t|^\lambda (x - t)_+^\mu \tau_n(t) dt. \tag{17}$$

If $-n < x < 0$, we have on making the substitution $t = xu^{-1}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{-n}^0 |t|^\lambda (x-t)_+^\mu dt &= \int_{-n}^x |t|^\lambda (x-t)^\mu dt \\
 &= |x|^{\lambda+\mu+1} \int_{-x/n}^1 u^{-\lambda-\mu-2} (1-u)^\mu du \\
 &= |x|^{\lambda+\mu+1} \int_{-x/n}^1 u^{-\lambda-\mu-2} [(1-u)^\mu - 1] du \\
 &\quad + |x|^{\lambda+\mu+1} \frac{1 - |n/x|^{\lambda+\mu+1}}{-\lambda - \mu - 1}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

This equation shows that the convolution $x_-^\lambda * x_+^\mu$ does not exist if $\lambda + \mu > -1$.

If $x > 0$, we have on making the substitution $t = x(1 - u^{-1})$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{-n}^0 |t|^\lambda (x-t)_+^\mu dt &= \int_{-n}^0 |t|^\lambda (x-t)^\mu dt \\
 &= x^{\lambda+\mu+1} \int_{x/(x+n)}^1 u^{-\lambda-\mu-2} (1-u)^\lambda du \\
 &= x^{\lambda+\mu+1} \int_{x/(x+n)}^1 u^{-\lambda-\mu-2} [(1-u)^\lambda - 1] du \\
 &\quad + x^{\lambda+\mu+1} \frac{1 - [(x+n)/x]^{\lambda+\mu+1}}{-\lambda - \mu - 1}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

It is easily seen that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-n-n^{-n}}^{-n} |t|^\lambda (x-t)_+^\mu \tau_n(t) dt = 0 \tag{20}$$

for all x .

Similarly,

$$(x_+^\lambda)_n * x_-^\mu = \int_0^n t^\lambda (x-t)_-^\mu dt + \int_n^{n+n^{-n}} t^\lambda (x-t)_-^\mu \tau_n(t) dt. \tag{21}$$

If $n > x > 0$, we have on making the substitution $t = xu^{-1}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_0^n t^\lambda (x-t)_-^\mu dt &= \int_x^n t^\lambda (t-x)^\mu dt \\
 &= x^{\lambda+\mu+1} \int_{x/n}^1 u^{-\lambda-\mu-2} [(1-u)^\mu - 1] du \\
 &\quad + x^{\lambda+\mu+1} \frac{1 - (n/x)^{\lambda+\mu+1}}{-\lambda - \mu - 1}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

If $x < 0$, we have on making the substitution $t = x(1 - u^{-1})$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_0^n t^\lambda (x-t)_-^\mu dt &= \int_0^n t^\lambda (t-x)^\mu dt \\
 &= |x|^{\lambda+\mu+1} \int_{x/(x-n)}^1 u^{-\lambda-\mu-2} (1-u)^\lambda du \\
 &= |x|^{\lambda+\mu+1} \int_{x/(x-n)}^1 u^{-\lambda-\mu-2} [(1-u)^\lambda - 1] du \\
 &\quad + |x|^{\lambda+\mu+1} \frac{1 - [(x-n)/x]^{\lambda+\mu+1}}{-\lambda - \mu - 1}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

It is easily seen that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_n^{n+n^{-n}} t^\lambda (x-t)_+^\mu \tau_n(t) dt = 0 \tag{24}$$

for all x .

It now follows from Eqs. (18) and (23) that if $-n < x < 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\int_{-n}^0 |t|^\lambda (x-t)_+^\mu dt - \int_0^n t^\lambda (x-t)_-^\mu dt \\
 &= |x|^{\lambda+\mu+1} \int_{-x/n}^1 u^{-\lambda-\mu-2} [(1-u)^\mu - 1] du - \frac{|x|^{\lambda+\mu+1}}{\lambda + \mu + 1} \\
 &\quad - |x|^{\lambda+\mu+1} \int_{x/(x-n)}^1 u^{-\lambda-\mu-2} [(1-u)^\lambda - 1] du + \frac{|x|^{\lambda+\mu+1}}{\lambda + \mu + 1} + O(n^{\lambda+\mu}) \tag{25}
 \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (I_2 - I_3) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[\int_{-n}^0 |t|^\lambda (x-t)_+^\mu dt - \int_0^n t^\lambda (x-t)_-^\mu dt \right] \\ &= [B(-\lambda - \mu - 1, \mu + 1) - B(-\lambda - \mu - 1, \lambda + 1)] |x|^{\lambda + \mu + 1}, \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

on using Eqs. (17), (20), (21) and (24), see Gel'fand and Shilov [1].

Similarly, it follows from Eqs. (17), (19), (21) and (22) that if $n > x > 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{-n}^0 |t|^\lambda (x-t)_+^\mu dt - \int_0^n t^\lambda (x-t)_-^\mu dt \\ &= x^{\lambda + \mu + 1} \int_{x/(x+n)}^1 u^{-\lambda - \mu - 2} [(1-u)^\lambda - 1] du - \frac{x^{\lambda + \mu + 1}}{\lambda + \mu + 1} \\ &\quad - x^{\lambda + \mu + 1} \int_{x/n}^1 u^{-\lambda - \mu - 2} [(1-u)^\mu - 1] du + \frac{x^{\lambda + \mu + 1}}{\lambda + \mu + 1} + O(n^{\lambda + \mu}) \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (I_2 - I_3) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[\int_{-n}^0 |t|^\lambda (x-t)_+^\mu dt - \int_0^n t^\lambda (x-t)_-^\mu dt \right] \\ &= [B(-\lambda - \mu - 1, \lambda + 1) - B(-\lambda - \mu - 1, \mu + 1)] x^{\lambda + \mu + 1} \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

on using Eqs. (17), (20), (21) and (24).

It now follows from Eqs. (14) to (16), (26) and (27) that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |x|^\lambda * (\operatorname{sgn} x |x|^\mu) &= |x|^\lambda * (\operatorname{sgn} x |x|^\mu) \\ &= [B(\lambda + 1, \mu + 1) + B(-\lambda - \mu - 1, \lambda + 1) \\ &\quad - B(-\lambda - \mu - 1, \mu + 1)] \operatorname{sgn} x |x|^{\lambda + \mu + 1}. \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

Now, if $\mu \neq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} B(-\lambda - \mu - 1, \lambda + 1) &= \frac{\Gamma(-\lambda - \mu - 1) \Gamma(\lambda + 1)}{\Gamma(-\mu)} \\ &= -\frac{\Gamma(\lambda + 1) \Gamma(\mu + 1) \sin(\mu\pi)}{\Gamma(\lambda + \mu + 2) \sin[(\lambda + \mu)\pi]} \\ &= -\frac{B(\lambda + 1, \mu + 1) \sin(\mu\pi)}{\sin[(\lambda + \mu)\pi]}, \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

where Γ denotes the Gamma function, and if $\mu = 0$,

$$B(-\lambda - 1, \lambda + 1) = 0,$$

which is in agreement with Eq. (29).

Similarly,

$$B(-\lambda - \mu - 1, \lambda + 1) = -\frac{B(\lambda + 1, \lambda + 1) \sin(\lambda\pi)}{\sin[(\lambda + \mu)\pi]},$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} & B(\lambda + 1, \mu + 1) + B(-\lambda - \mu - 1, \lambda + 1) - B(-\lambda - \mu - 1, \mu + 1) \\ &= \left[1 + \frac{\sin(\lambda\pi) - \sin(\mu\pi)}{\sin[(\lambda + \mu)\pi]} \right] B(\lambda + 1, \mu + 1) \\ &= \frac{2 \sin(\lambda\pi/2) \cos(\mu\pi/2)}{\sin[(\lambda + \mu)\pi/2]} B(\lambda + 1, \mu + 1). \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

Equation (12) now follows from Eqs. (28) and (30) for $-1 < \lambda + \mu < 0$ and $\lambda, \mu > -1$.

Similarly, putting $(\operatorname{sgn} x |x|^\lambda)_n = (\operatorname{sgn} x |x|^\lambda) \tau_n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\operatorname{sgn} x |x|^\lambda)_n * |x|^\mu &= (x_+^\lambda)_n * x_+^\mu - (x_-^\lambda)_n * x_+^\mu + (x_+^\lambda)_n * x_-^\mu - (x_-^\lambda)_n * x_-^\mu \\ &= I_1 - I_2 + I_3 - I_4 \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\operatorname{sgn} x |x|^\lambda)_n * |x|^\mu &= (\operatorname{sgn} x |x|^\lambda) * |x|^\mu \\ &= [B(\lambda + 1, \mu + 1) - B(-\lambda - \mu - 1, \lambda + 1) \\ &\quad + B(-\lambda - \mu - 1, \mu + 1)] \operatorname{sgn} x |x|^{\lambda + \mu + 1} \\ &= \frac{2 \sin(\mu\pi/2) \cos(\lambda\pi/2)}{\sin[(\lambda + \mu)\pi/2]} B(\lambda + 1, \mu + 1) \operatorname{sgn} x |x|^{\lambda + \mu + 1}, \end{aligned}$$

proving Eq. (13) for $-1 < \lambda + \mu < 0$ and $\lambda, \mu > -1$.

Now suppose that Eqs. (12) and (13) hold when $-1 < \lambda + \mu < 0$ and $r - 1 < \lambda \leq r$ for some non-negative integer r . This is true when $r = 0$. Then with $|x| < n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [|x|^\lambda \tau'_n(x)] * (\operatorname{sgn} x |x|^\mu) &= - \int_n^{n+n^{-n}} t^\lambda (t-x)^\mu d\tau_n(t) + \int_{-n-n^{-n}}^{-n} |t|^\lambda (x-t)^\mu d\tau_n(t) \\ &= n^\lambda (n-x)^\mu + n^\lambda (x+n)^\mu \\ &\quad + \int_n^{n+n^{-n}} [\lambda t^{\lambda-1} (t-x)^\mu + \mu t^\lambda (t-x)^{\mu-1}] \tau_n(t) dt \\ &\quad - \int_{-n-n^{-n}}^{-n} [-\lambda |t|^{\lambda-1} (x-t)^\mu - \mu t^\lambda (x-t)^{\mu-1}] \tau_n(t) dt \end{aligned}$$

and it follows that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [|x|^\lambda \tau'_n(x)] * (\operatorname{sgn} x |x|^\mu) = 0. \tag{31}$$

It now follows from Theorem 2, our assumptions and Eq. (31) that

$$\begin{aligned} (|x|^{\lambda+1})' * |x|^\mu &= |x|^{\lambda+1} * (|x|^\mu)' = \mu |x|^{\lambda+1} * (\operatorname{sgn} x |x|^{\mu-1}) \\ &= (\lambda + 1)(\operatorname{sgn} x |x|^\lambda) * |x|^\mu \end{aligned}$$

and so the convolution $|x|^{\lambda+1} * (\operatorname{sgn} x |x|^{\mu-1})$ exists and

$$\begin{aligned} |x|^{\lambda+1} * (\operatorname{sgn} x |x|^{\mu-1}) &= \frac{\lambda + 1}{\mu} (\operatorname{sgn} x |x|^\lambda) * |x|^\mu \\ &= \frac{2(\lambda + 1) \sin(\mu\pi/2) \cos(\lambda\pi/2)}{\mu \sin[(\lambda + \mu)\pi/2]} B(\lambda + 1, \mu + 1) \operatorname{sgn} x |x|^{\lambda+\mu+1} \\ &= \frac{2 \sin[(\lambda + 1)\pi/2] \cos[(\mu - 1)\pi/2]}{\sin[(\lambda + \mu)\pi/2]} B(\lambda + 2, \mu) \operatorname{sgn} x |x|^{\lambda+\mu+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Equation (8) therefore holds for $r < \lambda \leq r + 1$ and so follows by induction for $\lambda > -1$ and $-1 < \lambda + \mu < 0$.

Similarly, Eq. (13) holds for $\lambda > -1$ and $-1 < \lambda + \mu < 0$.

A similar induction argument proves that Eqs. (12) and (13) hold for $\lambda < -1$, $\lambda \neq -2, -3, \dots$ and $-1 < \lambda + \mu < 0$.

This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

Particular cases of Eqs. (12) and (13) are

$$x^{2r} * (\operatorname{sgn} x |x|^\mu) = (\operatorname{sgn} x |x|^\mu) * x^{2r} = 0$$

for $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and $-1 < -2r + \mu < 0$ and

$$|x|^{2r+1} * (\operatorname{sgn} x |x|^\mu) = (\operatorname{sgn} x |x|^\mu) * |x|^{2r+1} = 2B(2r + 2, \mu + 1) \operatorname{sgn} x |x|^{2r+2+\mu}$$

for $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and $-1 < 2r + 1 + \mu < 0$.

Theorem 4. *The convolutions $x_-^\lambda * x_+^\mu$ and $x_+^\lambda * x_-^\mu$ exist and*

$$x_-^\lambda * x_+^\mu = B(-\lambda - \mu - 1, \mu + 1)x_-^{\lambda+\mu+1} + B(-\lambda - \mu - 1, \lambda + 1)x_+^{\lambda+\mu+1}, \tag{32}$$

$$x_+^\lambda * x_-^\mu = B(-\lambda - \mu - 1, \mu + 1)x_+^{\lambda+\mu+1} + B(-\lambda - \mu - 1, \lambda + 1)x_-^{\lambda+\mu+1} \tag{33}$$

for $\lambda + \mu < -1$ and $\lambda, \mu, \lambda + \mu \neq -1, -2, \dots$

Proof. Suppose first of all that $-2 < \lambda + \mu < -1$ and $\lambda, \mu > -1$. Then it follows from Eqs. (18) and (19) that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-n}^0 |t|^\lambda (x - t)_+^\mu = B(-\lambda - \mu - 1, \mu + 1) |x|^{\lambda+\mu+1}, \tag{34}$$

if $x < 0$ and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-n}^0 |t|^\lambda (x - t)_+^\mu = B(-\lambda - \mu - 1, \mu + 1) x^{\lambda+\mu+1}, \tag{35}$$

if $x > 0$, since $\lambda + \mu + 1 < 0$. Equation (32) now follows from Eqs. (17), (20), (34) and (35) for $-2 < \lambda + \mu < -1$ and $\lambda, \mu > -1$.

Equation (33) follows on replacing x by $-x$ in Eq. (32) for $-2 < \lambda + \mu < -1$ and $\lambda, \mu > -1$.

Induction arguments similar to those given above now prove that Eqs. (32) and (33) hold for $-2 < \lambda + \mu < -1$ and $\lambda, \mu \neq -1, -2, \dots$

Now suppose that Eqs. (32) and (33) hold for $-r - 1 < \lambda + \mu < -r$ and $\lambda, \mu \neq -1, -2, \dots$ for some positive integer r . This is true when $r = 1$. Then with $|x| < n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [x_-^\lambda \tau'_n(x)] * x_+^\mu &= \int_{-n-n^{-n}}^{-n} |t|^\lambda (x-t)^\mu d\tau_n(t) \\ &= n^\lambda (x+n)^\mu - \int_{-n-n^{-n}}^{-n} [-\lambda |t|^{\lambda-1} (x-t)^\mu - \mu t^\lambda (x-t)^{\mu-1}] \tau_n(t) dt \end{aligned}$$

and it follows that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [x_-^\lambda \tau'_n(x)] * x_+^\mu = 0. \tag{36}$$

It now follows from Theorem 2, our assumptions and Eq. (36) that

$$\begin{aligned} (x_-^\lambda * x_+^\mu)' &= -\lambda x_-^{\lambda-1} * x_+^\mu \\ &= -(\lambda + \mu + 1)B(-\lambda - \mu - 1, \mu + 1)x_-^{\lambda+\mu} \\ &\quad + (\lambda + \mu + 1)B(-\lambda - \mu - 1, \lambda + 1)x_+^{\lambda+\mu} \end{aligned}$$

and so the convolution $x_-^{\lambda-1} * x_+^\mu$ exists and

$$\begin{aligned} x_-^{\lambda-1} * x_+^\mu &= \frac{\lambda + \mu + 1}{\lambda} B(-\lambda - \mu - 1, \mu + 1)x_-^{\lambda+\mu} \\ &\quad - \frac{\lambda + \mu + 1}{\lambda} (\lambda + \mu + 1)B(-\lambda - \mu - 1, \lambda + 1)x_+^{\lambda+\mu} \\ &= B(-\lambda - \mu, \mu + 1)x_-^{\lambda+\mu} + B(-\lambda - \mu, \lambda)x_+^{\lambda+\mu}. \end{aligned}$$

Equation (32) therefore holds for $-r - 2 < \lambda + \mu < -r - 1$ and so follows by induction for $\lambda + \mu < -1$ and $\lambda, \mu, \lambda + \mu \neq -1, -2, \dots$

Replacing x by $-x$ in Eq. (32) gives Eq. (33). This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

Note that it now follows immediately from Eq. (14) that Eqs. (12) and (13) hold for $\lambda + \mu < -1$ and $\lambda, \mu, \lambda + \mu \neq -1, -2, \dots$

Corollary 4.1. *The convolutions $|x|^\lambda * |x|^\mu$ and $(\operatorname{sgn} x |x|^\lambda) * (\operatorname{sgn} x |x|^\mu)$ exist and*

$$|x|^\lambda * |x|^\mu = -\frac{2 \sin(\lambda\pi/2) \sin(\mu\pi/2)}{\cos[(\lambda + \mu)\pi/2]} B(\lambda + 1, \mu + 1) |x|^{\lambda+\mu+1}, \tag{37}$$

$$(\operatorname{sgn} x |x|^\lambda) * (\operatorname{sgn} x |x|^\mu) = \frac{2 \cos(\lambda\pi/2) \cos(\mu\pi/2)}{\cos[(\lambda + \mu)\pi/2]} B(\lambda + 1, \mu + 1) |x|^{\lambda+\mu+1} \tag{38}$$

for $\lambda + \mu < -1$ and $\lambda, \mu, \lambda + \mu \neq -1, -2, \dots$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} |x|^\lambda * |x|^\mu &= (x_+^\lambda + x_-^\lambda) * (x_+^\mu + x_-^\mu) \\ &= x_+^\lambda * x_+^\mu + x_+^\lambda * x_-^\mu + x_-^\lambda * x_+^\mu + x_-^\lambda * x_-^\mu \\ &= [B(\lambda + 1, \mu + 1) + B(-\lambda - \mu - 1, \mu + 1) \\ &\quad + B(-\lambda - \mu - 1, \lambda + 1)] |x|^{\lambda+\mu+1} \\ &= -\frac{2 \sin(\lambda\pi/2) \sin(\mu\pi/2)}{\cos[(\lambda + \mu)\pi/2]} B(\lambda + 1, \mu + 1) |x|^{\lambda+\mu+1}, \end{aligned}$$

proving Eq. (37).

Equation (38) follows similarly. \square

References

[1] I.M. Gel'fand, G.E. Shilov, *Generalized Functions*, vol. I, Academic Press, San Diego, 1964.
 [2] B. Fisher, Neutrices and the convolution of distributions, *Zb. Rad. Prirod.-Mat. Fak. Ser. Mat. Novi Sad* 17 (1987) 119–135.
 [3] D.S. Jones, The convolution of generalized functions, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2)* 24 (1973) 145–163.
 [4] J.G. van der Corput, Introduction to the neutrix calculus, *J. Anal. Math.* 7 (1959–1960) 291–398.

