



Research article

Efficient computations for weighted generalized proportional fractional operators with respect to a monotone function

Shuang-Shuang Zhou¹, Saima Rashid^{2,*}, Asia Rauf³, Fahd Jarad^{4,5,*}, Y. S. Hamed⁶ and Khadijah M. Abualnaja⁶

¹ School of Science, Hunan City University, Yiyang 413000, China

² Department of Mathematics, Government College University, Faisalabad, Pakistan

³ Department of Mathematics, Government College Women University, Faisalabad, Pakistan

⁴ Department of Mathematics, Çankaya University, Ankara, Turkey

⁵ Department of Medical Research, China Medical University Hospital, China Medical University, Taichung, Taiwan

⁶ Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Taif University, P. O. Box 11099, Taif 21944, Saudi Arabia

* **Correspondence:** Email: saimarashid@gcuf.edu.pk; fahd@cankaya.edu.tr.

Abstract: In this paper, we propose a new framework of weighted generalized proportional fractional integral operator with respect to a monotone function Ψ , we develop novel modifications of the aforesaid operator. Moreover, contemplating the so-called operator, we determine several notable weighted Chebyshev and Grüss type inequalities with respect to increasing, positive and monotone functions Ψ by employing traditional and forthright inequalities. Furthermore, we demonstrate the applications of the new operator with numerous integral inequalities by inducing assumptions on ω and Ψ verified the superiority of the suggested scheme in terms of efficiency. Additionally, our consequences have a potential association with the previous results. The computations of the proposed scheme show that the approach is straightforward to apply and computationally very user-friendly and accurate.

Keywords: weighted generalized proportional fractional integrals; weighted Chebyshev inequality; Grüss type inequality; Cauchy Schwartz inequality

Mathematics Subject Classification: 26A33, 26A51, 26D07, 26D10, 26D15

1. Introduction

In recent years, a useful extension has been proposed from the classical calculus by permitting derivatives and integrals of arbitrary orders is known as fractional calculus. It emerged from a celebrated logical conversation between Leibniz and L'Hopital in 1695 and was enhanced by different scientists like Laplace, Abel, Euler, Riemann, and Liouville [1]. Fractional calculus has gained popularity on the account of diverse applications in various areas of science and technology [2–4]. The concept of this new calculus was applied in several distinguished areas previously with excellent developments in the frame of novel approaches and posted scholarly papers, see [5–18]. Various notable generalized fractional integral operators such as the Riemann-Liouville, Hadamard, Caputo, Marichev-Saigo-Maeda, Riez, the Gaussian hypergeometric operators and so on, their attempts helpful for researchers to recognize the real world phenomena. Therefore, the Caputo and Riemann-Liouville was the most used fractional operators having singular kernels. It is remarkable that all the above mentioned operators are the particular cases of the operators investigated by Jarad et al. [19]. The utilities to weighted generalized fractional operators are undertaking now.

Adopting the excellency of the above work, we introduce a new weighted framework of generalized proportional fractional integral operator with respect to monotone function Ψ . Also, some new characteristics of the aforesaid operator are apprehended to explore new ideas to amplify the fractional operators and acquire fractional integral inequalities via generalized fractional operators (see Remark 2 and 3 below).

Recently, by employing the fractional integral operators, several researchers have established a bulk of fractional integral inequalities and their variant forms with fertile applications. These sorts of speculations have noteworthy applications in fractional differential/difference equations and fractional Schrödinger equations [20, 21]. By the use of Riemann-Liouville fractional integral operator, Belarbi and Dahmani [22] contemplated the subsequent integral inequalities as follows:

If f_1 and g_1 are two synchronous functions on $[0, \infty)$, then

$$\Omega^\alpha(f_1 g_1)(\varkappa) \leq \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)}{\varkappa^\alpha} \Omega^\alpha(f_1)(\varkappa) \Omega^\alpha(g_1)(\varkappa) \quad (1.1)$$

and

$$\frac{\varkappa^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \Omega^\beta(f_1 g_1)(\varkappa) + \frac{\varkappa^\beta}{\Gamma(\beta + 1)} \Omega^\alpha(f_1 g_1)(\varkappa) \leq \Omega^\alpha(f_1)(\varkappa) \Omega^\beta(g_1)(\varkappa) + \Omega^\beta(f_1)(\varkappa) \Omega^\alpha(g_1)(\varkappa), \quad (1.2)$$

for all $\varkappa > 0, \alpha, \beta > 0$. Butt et al. [23], Rashid et al. [24] and Set et al. [25] established the fractional integral inequalities via generalized fractional integral operator having Raina's function, generalized K -fractional integral and Katugampola fractional integral inequalities similar to the variants (1.1) and (1.2), respectively. Here we should emphasize that, inequalities (1.1) and (1.2) are a remarkable instrument for reconnoitering plentiful scientific regions of investigation encompassing probability theory, statistical analysis, physics, meteorology, chaos and henceforth.

More general version of inequalities (1.1) and (1.2) proposed by Dahmani [26] by employing Riemann-Liouville fractional integral operator.

Let f_1 and g_1 be two synchronous functions on $[0, \infty)$ and let $r, s : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$. Then

$$\Omega^\alpha \mathcal{P}(\varkappa) \Omega^\alpha(Q f_1 g_1)(\varkappa) + \Omega^\alpha Q(\varkappa) \Omega^\alpha(\mathcal{P} f_1 g_1)(\varkappa)$$

$$\geq \Omega^\alpha(Qf_1)(\varkappa)\Omega^\alpha(\mathcal{P}g_1)(\varkappa) + \Omega^\alpha(\mathcal{P}f_1)(\varkappa)\Omega^\alpha(Qg_1)(\varkappa) \quad (1.3)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \Omega^\alpha\mathcal{P}(\varkappa)\Omega^\beta(Qf_1g_1)(\varkappa) + \Omega^\beta Q(\varkappa)\Omega^\alpha(\mathcal{P}f_1g_1)(\varkappa) \\ & \geq \Omega^\alpha(Qf_1)(\varkappa)\Omega^\beta(\mathcal{P}g_1)(\varkappa) + \Omega^\beta(\mathcal{P}f_1)(\varkappa)\Omega^\alpha(Qg_1)(\varkappa) \end{aligned} \quad (1.4)$$

for all $\varkappa > 0, \alpha, \beta > 0$. Chinchane and Pachpatte [27], Brahim and Taf [28] and Shen et al. [29] explored the Hadamard fractional integral inequalities, the fractional version of integral inequalities in two variable quantum deformation and the Riemann-Liouville fractional integral operator on time scale analysis coincide to variants (1.3) and (1.4), respectively.

Let us define the most distinguished Chebyshev functional [30]:

$$\mathfrak{I}(f_1, g_1) = \frac{1}{b_1 - a_1} \int_{a_1}^{b_1} f_1(\varkappa)g_1(\varkappa)d\varkappa - \frac{1}{b_1 - a_1} \int_{a_1}^{b_1} f_1(\varkappa)d\varkappa \frac{1}{b_1 - a_1} \int_{a_1}^{b_1} g_1(\varkappa)d\varkappa, \quad (1.5)$$

where f_1 and g_1 are two integrable functions on $[a_1, b_1]$. In [31], Grüss proposed the well-known generalization:

$$|\mathfrak{I}(f_1, g_1)| \leq \frac{1}{4}(\Phi - \phi)(\Upsilon - \gamma), \quad (1.6)$$

where f_1 and g_1 are two integrable functions on $[a_1, b_1]$ satisfying the assumptions

$$\phi \leq f_1(\varkappa) \leq \Phi, \quad \gamma \leq g_1(\varkappa) \leq \Upsilon, \quad \phi, \Phi, \gamma, \Upsilon \in \mathbb{R}, \varkappa \in [a_1, b_1]. \quad (1.7)$$

The inequality (1.6) is known to be Grüss inequality. In recent years, the Grüss type integral inequality has been the subject of very active research. Mathematicians and scientists can see them in research papers, monographs, and textbooks devoted to the theory of inequalities [32–35] such as, Dragomir [36] demonstrated certain variants with the supposition of vectors and continuous mappings of selfadjoint operators in Hilbert space similar to (1.6). In this context, f_1 and g_1 are holding the assumptions (1.7), Dragomir [37] derived several functionals in two and three variable sense as follows:

$$|\mathfrak{S}(f_1, g_1, \mathcal{P})| \leq \frac{1}{4}(\Phi - \phi)(\Upsilon - \gamma) \left(\int_{a_1}^{b_1} \mathcal{P}_1(\varkappa)d\varkappa \right)^2, \quad (1.8)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{S}(f_1, g_1, \mathcal{P}) &= \frac{1}{2} \mathfrak{I}(f_1, g_1, \mathcal{P}) \\ &= \int_{a_1}^{b_1} \mathcal{P}(\varkappa)d\varkappa \int_{a_1}^{b_1} \mathcal{P}(\varkappa)f_1(\varkappa)g_1(\varkappa)d\varkappa - \int_{a_1}^{b_1} \mathcal{P}(\varkappa)f_1(\varkappa)d\varkappa \int_{a_1}^{b_1} \mathcal{P}(\varkappa)g_1(\varkappa)d\varkappa \end{aligned} \quad (1.9)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{I}(f_1, g_1, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}) &= \int_{a_1}^{b_1} \mathcal{Q}(x) dx \int_{a_1}^{b_1} \mathcal{P}(x) f_1(x) g_1(x) dx + \int_{a_1}^{b_1} \mathcal{P}(x) dx \int_{a_1}^{b_1} \mathcal{Q}(x) f_1(x) g_1(x) dx \\ &\quad - \int_{a_1}^{b_1} \mathcal{Q}(x) f_1(x) dx \int_{a_1}^{b_1} \mathcal{P}(x) g_1(x) dx - \int_{a_1}^{b_1} \mathcal{P}(x) f_1(x) dx \int_{a_1}^{b_1} \mathcal{Q}(x) g_1(x) dx. \end{aligned} \quad (1.10)$$

In [37], Dragomir established the inequality:

If $f'_1, g'_1 \in L_\infty(a_1, b_1)$, then

$$|\mathfrak{E}(f_1, g_1, \mathcal{P})| \leq \|f'_1\|_\infty \|g'_1\|_\infty \left(\int_{a_1}^{b_1} \mathcal{P}(x) dx \int_{a_1}^{b_1} x^2 \mathcal{P}(x) dx - \left(\int_{a_1}^{b_1} x \mathcal{P}(x) dx \right)^2 \right). \quad (1.11)$$

Moreover, author [37] proved numerous variants for Lipschitzian functions as follows:

If f_1 is L - g_1 -Lipschitzian on $[a_1, b_1]$, that is

$$|f_1(\mu) - f_1(\nu)| \leq L |g_1(\mu) - g_1(\nu)|, \quad L > 0, \mu, \nu \in [a_1, b_1]. \quad (1.12)$$

and

$$|\mathfrak{E}(f_1, g_1, \mathcal{P})| \leq L \left(\int_{a_1}^{b_1} \mathcal{P}(x) dx \int_{a_1}^{b_1} g_1^2(x) \mathcal{P}(x) dx - \left(\int_{a_1}^{b_1} g_1(x) \mathcal{P}(x) dx \right)^2 \right). \quad (1.13)$$

Furthermore, if f_1 and g_1 are L_1 and L_2 -Lipschitzian functions on $[a_1, b_1]$, then

$$|\mathfrak{E}(f_1, g_1, \mathcal{P})| \leq L_1 L_2 \left(\int_{a_1}^{b_1} \mathcal{P}(x) dx \int_{a_1}^{b_1} x^2 \mathcal{P}(x) dx - \left(\int_{a_1}^{b_1} x \mathcal{P}(x) dx \right)^2 \right). \quad (1.14)$$

Owing to the above tendency, Dhamani et al. [38] proposed the fractional integral inequalities in the Riemann-Liouville parallel to variant (1.6) with the suppositions (1.7). Additionally, Dahamani and Benzidane [39] introduced weighted Grüss type inequality via (α, β) -fractional q -integral inequality resemble to (1.8) under the hypothesis of (1.5). Author [40, 41] derived the extended functional of (1.10) by employing Riemann-Liouville integral corresponds to variants (1.11), (1.13) and (1.14), respectively. In this flow, Set et al. [42] contemplated the Grüss type inequalities considering the generalized K -fractional integral. Chen et al. [43] obtained the novel refinements of Hermite-Hadamard type inequalities for n -polynomial p -convex functions within the generalized fractional integral operators. Abdeljawad et al. [44] derived the Simpson's type inequalities for generalized p -convex functions involving fractal set. Jarad et al. [45] investigated the properties of the more general form of generalized proportional fractional operators in Laplace transforms.

The motivation of this paper is twofold. First, we propose a novel framework named weighted generalized proportional fractional integral operator based on characteristics, as well as considering the boundedness and semi-group property and able to be widely applied to many scientific results. Second, the current operator employed to the extended weighted Chebyshev and Grüss type inequalities for exploring the analogous versions of (1.5) and (1.6). Some special cases are pictured with new fractional operators which are not computed yet. Interestingly, particular cases are designed for Riemann-Liouville fractional integral, generalized Riemann-Liouville fractional integral and generalized proportional fractional integral inequalities. It is worth mentioning that these operators have the ability to recapture several generalizations in the literature by considering suitable assumptions of Ψ , ω and ρ .

2. Prelude

In this section, we demonstrate the space where the weighted fractional integrals are bounded and also, provide certain specific features of these operators.

Definition 2.1. ([19]) Let $\omega \neq 0$ be a mapping defined on $[a_1, b_1]$, g_1 is a differentiable strictly increasing function on $[a_1, b_1]$. The space $\chi_\omega^p(a_1, b_1)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$ is the space of all Lebesgue measurable functions f_1 defined on $[a_1, b_1]$ for which $\|f_1\|_{\chi_\omega^p}$, where

$$\|f_1\|_{\chi_\omega^p} = \left(\int_{a_1}^{b_1} |\omega(x)f_1(x)|^p g_1'(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad 1 < p < \infty \quad (2.1)$$

and

$$\|f_1\|_{\chi_\omega^p} = \text{ess sup}_{a_1 \leq x \leq b_1} |\omega(x)f_1(x)| < \infty. \quad (2.2)$$

Remark 1. Clearly we see that $f_1 \in \chi_\omega^p(a_1, b_1) \implies \omega(x)f_1(x)(g_1^{-1}(x))^{1/p} \in L_p(a_1, b_1)$ for $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $f_1 \in \chi_\omega^p(a_1, b_1) \implies \omega(x)f_1(x) \in L_\infty(a_1, b_1)$.

Now, we show a novel fractional integral operator which is known as the weighted generalized proportional fractional integral operator with respect to monotone function Ψ .

Definition 2.2. Let $f_1 \in \chi_\omega^p(a_1, b_1)$ and $\omega \neq 0$ be a function on $[a_1, b_1]$. Also, assume that Ψ is a continuously differentiable function on $[a_1, b_1]$ with $\psi' > 0$ on $[a_1, b_1]$. Then the left and right-sided weighted generalized proportional fractional integral operator with respect to another function Ψ of order $\alpha > 0$ are described as:

$${}^\Psi \Omega_{a_1}^{\rho; \alpha} f_1(x) = \frac{\omega^{-1}(x)}{\rho^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{a_1}^x \frac{\exp[\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(\Psi(x) - \Psi(\mu))]}{(\Psi(x) - \Psi(\mu))^{1-\alpha}} f_1(\mu) \omega(\mu) \Psi'(\mu) d\mu, \quad a_1 < x \quad (2.3)$$

and

$${}^\Psi \Omega_{b_1}^{\rho; \alpha} f_1(x) = \frac{\omega^{-1}(x)}{\rho^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha)} \int_x^{b_1} \frac{\exp[\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(\Psi(\mu) - \Psi(x))]}{(\Psi(\mu) - \Psi(x))^{1-\alpha}} f_1(\mu) \omega(\mu) \Psi'(\mu) d\mu, \quad x < b_1, \quad (2.4)$$

where $\rho \in (0, 1]$ is the proportionality index, $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, $\Re(\alpha) > 0$ and $\Gamma(\kappa) = \int_0^\infty \mu^{\kappa-1} e^{-\mu} d\mu$ is the Gamma function.

Remark 2. Some particular fractional operators are the special cases of (2.3) and (2.4).

(1) Setting $\Psi(\kappa) = \kappa$, in Definition (2.2), then we get the weighted generalized proportional fractional operators stated as follows:

$${}_{\omega}\Omega_{a_1}^{\rho;\alpha} f_1(\kappa) = \frac{\omega^{-1}(\kappa)}{\rho^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{a_1}^{\kappa} \frac{\exp[\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(\kappa-\mu)]}{(\kappa-\mu)^{1-\alpha}} f_1(\mu) \omega(\mu) d\mu, \quad a_1 < \kappa \quad (2.5)$$

and

$${}_{\omega}\Omega_{b_1}^{\rho;\alpha} f_1(\kappa) = \frac{\omega^{-1}(\kappa)}{\rho^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{\kappa}^{b_1} \frac{\exp[\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(\mu-\kappa)]}{(\mu-\kappa)^{1-\alpha}} f_1(\mu) \omega(\mu) d\mu, \quad \kappa < b_1. \quad (2.6)$$

(2) Setting $\Psi(\kappa) = \kappa$ and $\rho = 1$ in Definition (2.2), then we get the weighted Riemann-Liouville fractional operators stated as follows:

$${}_{\omega}\Omega_{a_1}^{\alpha} f_1(\kappa) = \frac{\omega^{-1}(\kappa)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{a_1}^{\kappa} \frac{f_1(\mu) \omega(\mu) d\mu}{(\kappa-\mu)^{1-\alpha}}, \quad a_1 < \kappa \quad (2.7)$$

and

$${}_{\omega}\Omega_{b_1}^{\alpha} f_1(\kappa) = \frac{\omega^{-1}(\kappa)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{\kappa}^{b_1} \frac{f_1(\mu) \omega(\mu) d\mu}{(\mu-\kappa)^{1-\alpha}}, \quad \kappa < b_1. \quad (2.8)$$

(3) Setting $\Psi(\kappa) = \ln \kappa$ and $a_1 > 0$ in Definition (2.2), we get the weighted generalized proportional Hadamard fractional operators stated as follows:

$${}_{\omega}\Omega_{a_1}^{\rho;\alpha} f_1(\kappa) = \frac{\omega^{-1}(\kappa)}{\rho^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{a_1}^{\kappa} \frac{\exp[\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(\ln \frac{\kappa}{\mu})]}{(\ln \frac{\kappa}{\mu})^{1-\alpha}} \frac{f_1(\mu) \omega(\mu)}{\mu} d\mu, \quad a_1 < \kappa \quad (2.9)$$

and

$${}_{\omega}\Omega_{b_1}^{\rho;\alpha} f_1(\kappa) = \frac{\omega^{-1}(\kappa)}{\rho^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{\kappa}^{b_1} \frac{\exp[\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(\ln \frac{\mu}{\kappa})]}{(\ln \frac{\mu}{\kappa})^{1-\alpha}} \frac{f_1(\mu) \omega(\mu)}{\mu} d\mu, \quad \kappa < b_1. \quad (2.10)$$

(4) Setting $\Psi(\kappa) = \ln \kappa$ and $a_1 > 0$ along with $\rho = 1$ in Definition (2.2), then we get the weighted Hadamard fractional operators stated as follows:

$${}_{\omega}\Omega_{a_1}^{\alpha} f_1(\kappa) = \frac{\omega^{-1}(\kappa)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{a_1}^{\kappa} \frac{f_1(\mu) \omega(\mu) d\mu}{\mu (\ln \frac{\kappa}{\mu})^{1-\alpha}}, \quad a_1 < \kappa \quad (2.11)$$

and

$$\omega \Omega_{b_1}^\alpha f_1(\kappa) = \frac{\omega^{-1}(\kappa)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{\kappa}^{b_1} \frac{f_1(\mu) \omega(\mu) d\mu}{\mu (\ln \frac{\mu}{\kappa})^{1-\alpha}}, \quad \kappa < b_1. \quad (2.12)$$

(5) Setting $\Psi(\kappa) = \frac{\kappa^\tau}{\tau}$ ($\tau > 0$) in Definition (2.2), then we get the weighted generalized fractional operators in terms of Katugampola stated as follows:

$$\omega \Omega_{a_1}^\alpha f_1(\kappa) = \frac{\omega^{-1}(\kappa)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{a_1}^{\kappa} \left(\frac{\kappa^\tau - \mu^\tau}{\tau} \right)^{\alpha-1} \frac{f_1(\mu) \omega(\mu) d\mu}{\mu^{1-\tau}}, \quad a_1 < \kappa \quad (2.13)$$

and

$$\omega \Omega_{b_1}^\alpha f_1(\kappa) = \frac{\omega^{-1}(\kappa)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{\kappa}^{b_1} \left(\frac{\mu^\tau - \kappa^\tau}{\tau} \right)^{\alpha-1} \frac{f_1(\mu) \omega(\mu) d\mu}{\mu^{1-\tau}}, \quad \kappa < b_1. \quad (2.14)$$

Remark 3. Several existing integral operators can be derived from Definition 2.2 as follows:

(1) Letting $\omega(\kappa) = 1$, then we get the Definition 4 proposed by Rashid et al. [46] and Definition 3.2 introduced by Jarad et al. [47], independently.

(2) Letting $\omega(\kappa) = 1$, $\Psi(\kappa) = \kappa$, then we get the Definition 3.4 defined by Jarad et al. [48].

(3) Letting $\omega(\kappa) = 1$ and $\Psi(\kappa) = \ln \kappa$ along with $a_1 > 0$, then we get the Definition 2.1 defined by Rahman et al. [49].

(4) Letting $\omega(\kappa) = \rho = 1$ and $\Psi(\kappa) = \ln \kappa$ along with $a_1 > 0$, then we get the operator defined by Kilbas et al. [3] and Smako et al. [5], respectively.

(5) Letting $\omega(\kappa) = \rho = 1$ and $\Psi(\kappa) = \kappa$, then we get the operator defined by Kilbas et al [3].

(6) Letting $\omega(\kappa) = 1$ and $\Psi(\kappa) = \frac{\kappa^\tau}{\tau}$, ($\tau > 0$), then we get the operator defined by Katugampola et al. [7].

(7) Letting $\omega(\kappa) = \rho = 1$ and $\Psi(\kappa) = \frac{\kappa^{\tau+s}}{\tau+s}$, $\tau \in (0, 1]$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$, then we get the Definition 2 defined by Khan and Khan et al [50].

(8) Letting $\omega(\kappa) = \rho = 1$ and $\Psi(\kappa) = \frac{(\kappa-a_1)^\tau}{\tau}$, and $\Psi(\kappa) = \frac{-(b_1-\kappa)^\tau}{\tau}$, ($\tau > 0$), then we get the operator defined by Jarad et al. [51].

Theorem 2.3. For $\alpha > 0, \rho \in (0, 1], 1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $f_1 \in \chi_\omega^p(a_1, b_1)$. Then $\omega \Omega_{a_1}^{\rho;\alpha}$ is bounded in $\chi_\omega^p(a_1, b_1)$ and

$$\|\omega \Omega_{a_1}^{\rho;\alpha} f_1\|_{\chi_\omega^p} \leq \frac{(\Psi(b_1) - \Psi(a_1))^\alpha \|f_1\|_{\chi_\omega^p}}{\rho^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha + 1)}.$$

Proof. For $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, we have

$$\|\omega \Omega_{a_1}^{\rho;\alpha} f_1\|_{\chi_\omega^p} = \frac{1}{\rho^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha)} \left(\int_{a_1}^{b_1} \left| \int_{a_1}^{\kappa} \frac{\exp[\frac{\rho-1}{\rho} \Psi(\kappa) - \Psi(\mu)]}{(\Psi(\kappa) - \Psi(\mu))^{1-\alpha}} \omega(\mu) f_1(\mu) \Psi'(\mu) d\mu \right|^p \Psi'(\kappa) d\kappa \right)^{1/p}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\rho^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha)} \left(\int_{\Psi(a_1)}^{\Psi(b_1)} \left| \int_{\Psi(a_1)}^{t_2} \frac{\exp[\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(t_2-t_1)]}{(t_2-t_1)^{1-\alpha}} \omega(\Psi^{-1}(t_1)) f_1(\Psi^{-1}(t_1)) \right|^p dt_2 \right)^{1/p}.$$

Using the fact that $|\exp[\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(t_2-t_1)]| < 1$. Taking into account the generalized Minkowski inequality [5], we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \|\omega \Omega_{a_1}^{\rho;\alpha} f_1\|_{\chi_\omega^p} &\leq \frac{1}{\rho^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{\Psi(a_1)}^{\Psi(b_1)} \left(\left| \omega(\Psi^{-1}(t_1)) f_1(\Psi^{-1}(t_1)) \right|^p \int_{t_1}^{\Psi(b_1)} (t_2-t_1)^{p(\alpha-1)} dt_2 \right)^{1/p} dt_1 \\ &= \frac{1}{\rho^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{\Psi(a_1)}^{\Psi(b_1)} \left(\left| \omega(\Psi^{-1}(t_1)) f_1(\Psi^{-1}(t_1)) \right| \left(\frac{(\Psi(b_1)-t_1)^{p(\alpha-1)+1}}{p(\alpha-1)+1} \right)^{1/p} \right) dt_1. \end{aligned}$$

By employing the well-known Hölder inequality satisfying $p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\omega \Omega_{a_1}^{\rho;\alpha} f_1\|_{\chi_\omega^p} &\leq \frac{1}{\rho^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha)} \left(\int_{\Psi(a_1)}^{\Psi(b_1)} \left| \omega(\Psi^{-1}(t_1)) f_1(\Psi^{-1}(t_1)) \right|^p dt_1 \right)^{1/p} \left(\int_{\Psi(a_1)}^{\Psi(b_1)} \left(\frac{(\Psi(b_1)-t_1)^{p(\alpha-1)+1}}{p(\alpha-1)+1} \right)^{q/p} dt_1 \right)^{1/q} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\rho^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha)} \left(\int_{a_1}^{b_1} \left| \omega(\chi) f_1(\chi) \right|^p \Psi'(\chi) d\chi \right)^{1/p} \left(\int_{\Psi(a_1)}^{\Psi(b_1)} \left(\frac{(\Psi(b_1)-t_1)^{p(\alpha-1)+1}}{p(\alpha-1)+1} \right)^{q/p} dt_1 \right)^{1/q} \\ &\leq \frac{(\Psi(b_1) - \Psi(a_1))^\alpha \|f_1\|_{\chi_\omega^p}}{\rho^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha + 1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, for $p = \infty$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \omega(\chi) \omega \Omega_{a_1}^{\rho;\alpha} f_1(\chi) \right| &= \frac{1}{\rho^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{a_1}^{\chi} \frac{\exp[\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(\Psi(\chi) - \Psi(\mu))]}{(\Psi(\chi) - \Psi(\mu))^{1-\alpha}} f_1(\mu) \omega(\mu) \Psi'(\mu) d\mu \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\rho^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{a_1}^{\chi} \frac{\exp[\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(\Psi(\chi) - \Psi(\mu))]}{(\Psi(\chi) - \Psi(\mu))^{1-\alpha}} |f_1(\mu) \omega(\mu)| \Psi'(\mu) d\mu, \\ &\qquad \qquad \qquad \text{Since } \left(\left| \exp \left[\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(t_2-t_1) \right] \right| < 1 \right) \\ &\leq \frac{\|f_1\|_{\chi_\omega^\infty}}{\rho^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{a_1}^{\chi} (\Psi(\chi) - \Psi(\mu))^{\alpha-1} d\mu \\ &\leq \frac{(\Psi(\chi) - \Psi(a_1))^\alpha \|f_1\|_{\chi_\omega^\infty}}{\rho^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \\ &= \frac{(\Psi(b_1) - \Psi(a_1))^\alpha \|f_1\|_{\chi_\omega^\infty}}{\rho^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha + 1)}. \end{aligned}$$

This ends the proof. \square

Our next result is the semi group property for weighted generalized proportional fractional integral operator with respect to monotone function.

Theorem 2.4. For $\alpha, \beta > 0, \rho \in (0, 1]$ with $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and let $f_1 \in \chi_\omega^p(a_1, b_1)$. Then

$$\left({}^\Psi \Omega_{a_1}^{\rho; \alpha} {}^\Psi \Omega_{a_1}^{\rho; \beta} f_1 \right) = \left({}^\Psi \Omega_{a_1}^{\rho; \alpha + \beta} f_1 \right). \quad (2.15)$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \left({}^\Psi \Omega_{a_1}^{\rho; \alpha} {}^\Psi \Omega_{a_1}^{\rho; \beta} f_1 \right)(\kappa) &= \frac{\omega^{-1}(\kappa)}{\rho^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{a_1}^{\kappa} \frac{\exp\left[\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(\Psi(\kappa) - \Psi(\mu))\right]}{(\Psi(\kappa) - \Psi(\mu))^{1-\alpha}} \omega(\mu) \left({}^\Psi \Omega_{a_1}^{\rho; \beta} f_1 \right)(\mu) \Psi'(\mu) d\mu \\ &= \frac{\omega^{-1}(\kappa)}{\rho^{\alpha+\beta} \Gamma(\alpha) \Gamma(\beta)} \int_{a_1}^{\kappa} \int_{a_1}^{\mu} \frac{\exp\left[\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(\Psi(\kappa) - \Psi(\mu))\right] \exp\left[\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(\Psi(\mu) - \Psi(\nu))\right]}{(\Psi(\kappa) - \Psi(\mu))^{1-\alpha} (\Psi(\mu) - \Psi(\nu))^{1-\beta}} \\ &\quad \times \omega(\nu) f_1(\nu) \Psi'(\nu) \Psi'(\mu) d\mu d\nu. \end{aligned}$$

By making change of variable technique $\theta = \frac{\Psi(\mu) - \Psi(a_1)}{\Psi(\kappa) - \Psi(a_1)}$, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} &\left({}^\Psi \Omega_{a_1}^{\rho; \alpha} {}^\Psi \Omega_{a_1}^{\rho; \beta} f_1 \right)(\kappa) \\ &= \frac{\omega^{-1}(\kappa)}{\rho^{\alpha+\beta} \Gamma(\alpha) \Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^1 \theta^{\beta-1} (1-\theta)^{\alpha-1} d\theta \int_{a_1}^{\kappa} \frac{\exp\left[\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(\Psi(\kappa) - \Psi(\nu))\right]}{(\Psi(\kappa) - \Psi(\nu))^{1-\alpha-\beta}} \omega(\nu) f_1(\nu) \Psi'(\nu) d\nu \\ &= \frac{\omega^{-1}(\kappa)}{\rho^{\alpha+\beta} \Gamma(\alpha) \Gamma(\beta)} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha) \Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)} \int_{a_1}^{\kappa} \frac{\exp\left[\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(\Psi(\kappa) - \Psi(\nu))\right]}{(\Psi(\kappa) - \Psi(\nu))^{1-\alpha-\beta}} \omega(\nu) f_1(\nu) \Psi'(\nu) d\nu \\ &= \left({}^\Psi \Omega_{a_1}^{\rho; \alpha + \beta} f_1 \right)(\kappa), \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbb{B}(\alpha, \beta) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha) \Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)} = \int_0^1 \theta^{\beta-1} (1-\theta)^{\alpha-1} d\theta$ is known to be Euler Beta function. \square

3. Main results

This section contains some significant generalizations for weighted integral inequalities by employing weighted generalized proportional fractional integral operator, for the consequences relating to (1.1) and (1.2), it is suppose that all mappings are integrable in the Riemann sense.

Throughout this investigation, we use the following assumptions:

I. Let f_1 and g_1 be two synchronous functions on $[0, \infty)$.

II. Let $\Psi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is an increasing function with continuous derivative Ψ' on the interval $(0, \infty)$.

Lemma 3.1. If the supposition **I** and **II** are satisfied and let \mathcal{Q} and \mathcal{P} be two non-negative continuous mappings on $[0, \infty)$. Then the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} &{}^\Psi \Omega_{0^+}^{\rho; \alpha} (\mathcal{P})(\kappa) {}^\Psi \Omega_{0^+}^{\rho; \alpha} (\mathcal{Q} f_1 g_1)(\kappa) + {}^\Psi \Omega_{0^+}^{\rho; \alpha} (\mathcal{P} f_1 g_1)(\kappa) {}^\Psi \Omega_{0^+}^{\rho; \alpha} (\mathcal{Q})(\kappa) \\ &\geq {}^\Psi \Omega_{0^+}^{\rho; \alpha} (\mathcal{P} g_1)(\kappa) {}^\Psi \Omega_{0^+}^{\rho; \alpha} (\mathcal{Q} f_1)(\kappa) + {}^\Psi \Omega_{0^+}^{\rho; \alpha} (\mathcal{P} f_1)(\kappa) {}^\Psi \Omega_{0^+}^{\rho; \alpha} (\mathcal{Q} g_1)(\kappa), \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

holds for all $\rho \in (0, 1], \alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\Re(\alpha) > 0$.

Proof. Since f_1 and g_1 are two synchronous functions on $[0, \infty)$, then for all $\mu > 0$ and $\nu > 0$, we have

$$(f_1(\mu) - f_1(\nu))(g_1(\mu) - g_1(\nu)) \geq 0. \quad (3.2)$$

By (3.2), we write

$$f_1(\mu)g_1(\mu) + f_1(\nu)g_1(\nu) \geq g_1(\mu)f_1(\nu) + g_1(\nu)f_1(\mu). \quad (3.3)$$

If we multiply both sides of (3.3) by $\frac{\exp[\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(\Psi(\kappa)-\Psi(\mu))]Q(\mu)\omega(\mu)\Psi'(\mu)}{\rho^\alpha\Gamma(\alpha)(\Psi(\kappa)-\Psi(\mu))^{1-\alpha}}$ and integrating the resulting inequality with respect to μ from 0 to κ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\rho^\alpha\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^\kappa \frac{\exp[\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(\Psi(\kappa)-\Psi(\mu))]Q(\mu)\omega(\mu)\Psi'(\mu)}{\rho^\alpha\Gamma(\alpha)(\Psi(\kappa)-\Psi(\mu))^{1-\alpha}} f_1(\mu)g_1(\mu) d\mu \\ & + \frac{f_1(\nu)g_1(\nu)}{\rho^\alpha\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^\kappa \frac{\exp[\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(\Psi(\kappa)-\Psi(\mu))]Q(\mu)\omega(\mu)\Psi'(\mu)}{\rho^\alpha\Gamma(\alpha)(\Psi(\kappa)-\Psi(\mu))^{1-\alpha}} d\mu \\ & \geq \frac{f_1(\nu)}{\rho^\alpha\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^\kappa \frac{\exp[\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(\Psi(\kappa)-\Psi(\mu))]Q(\mu)\omega(\mu)\Psi'(\mu)}{\rho^\alpha\Gamma(\alpha)(\Psi(\kappa)-\Psi(\mu))^{1-\alpha}} g_1(\nu) d\nu \\ & + \frac{g_1(\nu)}{\rho^\alpha\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^\kappa \frac{\exp[\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(\Psi(\kappa)-\Psi(\mu))]Q(\mu)\omega(\mu)\Psi'(\mu)}{\rho^\alpha\Gamma(\alpha)(\Psi(\kappa)-\Psi(\mu))^{1-\alpha}} f_1(\mu) d\mu. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

Taking product both sides of the above equation by $\omega^{-1}(\kappa)$ and in view of Definition (2.2), we have

$$\Psi\Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha}(Qf_1g_1)(\kappa) + f_1(\nu)g_1(\nu)\Psi\Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha}(Q)(\kappa) \geq g_1(\nu)\Psi\Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha}(Qf_1)(\kappa) + f_1(\nu)\Psi\Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha}(Qg_1)(\kappa). \quad (3.5)$$

Further, if we multiply both sides of (3.5) by $\frac{\exp[\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(\Psi(\kappa)-\Psi(\nu))]P(\nu)\omega(\nu)\Psi'(\nu)}{\rho^\alpha\Gamma(\alpha)(\Psi(\kappa)-\Psi(\nu))^{1-\alpha}}$ and integrating the resulting inequality with respect to ν from 0 to κ . Then, multiplying by $\omega^{-1}(\kappa)$ and in view of Definition 2.2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \Psi\Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha}(P)(\kappa)\Psi\Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha}(Qf_1g_1)(\kappa) + \Psi\Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha}(Pf_1g_1)(\kappa)\Psi\Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha}(Q)(\kappa) \\ & \geq \Psi\Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha}(Pg_1)(\kappa)\Psi\Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha}(Qf_1)(\kappa) + \Psi\Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha}(Pf_1)(\kappa)\Psi\Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha}(Qg_1)(\kappa), \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

which implies (3.1). \square

Theorem 3.2. Under the assumption of **I, II** and let r , s and t be three non-negative continuous functions on $[0, \infty)$. Then the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & 2\Psi\Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha}r(\kappa)\left(\Psi\Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha}s(\kappa)\Psi\Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha}(tf_1g_1)(\kappa) + \Psi\Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha}(sf_1g_1)(\kappa)\Psi\Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha}t(\kappa)\right) \\ & + 2\Psi\Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha}(rf_1g_1)(\kappa)\Psi\Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha}s(\kappa)\Psi\Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha}t(\kappa) \\ & \geq \Psi\Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha}r(\kappa)\left(\Psi\Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha}(sg_1)(\kappa)\Psi\Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha}(tf_1)(\kappa) + \Psi\Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha}(sf_1)(\kappa)\Psi\Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha}(tg_1)(\kappa)\right) \\ & + \Psi\Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha}s(\kappa)\left(\Psi\Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha}(rg_1)(\kappa)\Psi\Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha}(tf_1)(\kappa) + \Psi\Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha}(rf_1)(\kappa)\Psi\Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha}(tg_1)(\kappa)\right) \\ & + \Psi\Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha}s(\kappa)\left(\Psi\Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha}(sg_1)(\kappa)\Psi\Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha}(rf_1)(\kappa) + \Psi\Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha}(sf_1)(\kappa)\Psi\Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha}(rg_1)(\kappa)\right) \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

holds for all $\rho \in (0, 1]$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\Re(\alpha) > 0$.

Proof. By means of Lemma 3.1 and setting $\mathcal{P} = r$, $\mathcal{Q} = s$, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} & {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} s(\mathcal{X}) {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} (tf_1g_1)(\mathcal{X}) + {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} (sf_1g_1)(\mathcal{X}) {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} t(\mathcal{X}) \\ & \geq {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} (sg_1)(\mathcal{X}) {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} (tf_1)(\mathcal{X}) + {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} (sf_1)(\mathcal{X}) {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} (tg_1)(\mathcal{X}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

Conducting product both sides of (3.8) by ${}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} r(\mathcal{X})$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} r(\mathcal{X}) \left({}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} s(\mathcal{X}) {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} (tf_1g_1)(\mathcal{X}) + {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} (sf_1g_1)(\mathcal{X}) {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} t(\mathcal{X}) \right) \\ & \geq {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} r(\mathcal{X}) \left({}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} (sg_1)(\mathcal{X}) {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} (tf_1)(\mathcal{X}) + {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} (sf_1)(\mathcal{X}) {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} (tg_1)(\mathcal{X}) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

By means of Lemma 3.1 and setting $\mathcal{P} = r$, $\mathcal{Q} = t$, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} & {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} r(\mathcal{X}) {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} (tf_1g_1)(\mathcal{X}) + {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} (rf_1g_1)(\mathcal{X}) {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} t(\mathcal{X}) \\ & \geq {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} (rg_1)(\mathcal{X}) {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} (tf_1)(\mathcal{X}) + {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} (rf_1)(\mathcal{X}) {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} (tg_1)(\mathcal{X}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

Conducting product of (3.10) by ${}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} s(\mathcal{X})$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} s(\mathcal{X}) \left({}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} r(\mathcal{X}) {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} (tf_1g_1)(\mathcal{X}) + {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} (rf_1g_1)(\mathcal{X}) {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} t(\mathcal{X}) \right) \\ & \geq {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} s(\mathcal{X}) \left({}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} (rg_1)(\mathcal{X}) {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} (tf_1)(\mathcal{X}) + {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} (rf_1)(\mathcal{X}) {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} (tg_1)(\mathcal{X}) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

By similar argument as we did before, yields

$$\begin{aligned} & {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} t(\mathcal{X}) \left({}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} r(\mathcal{X}) {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} (sf_1g_1)(\mathcal{X}) + {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} (rf_1g_1)(\mathcal{X}) {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} t(\mathcal{X}) \right) \\ & \geq {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} s(\mathcal{X}) \left({}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} (sg_1)(\mathcal{X}) {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} (rf_1)(\mathcal{X}) + {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} (sf_1)(\mathcal{X}) {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} (rg_1)(\mathcal{X}) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

Adding (3.9), (3.11) and (3.12), we get the desired inequality (3.8). \square

Lemma 3.3. Under the assumption of **I, II** and let \mathcal{Q} and \mathcal{P} be two non-negative continuous functions on $[0, \infty)$. Then the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} (\mathcal{P}f_1g_1)(\mathcal{X}) {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\beta} \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{X}) + {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X}) {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\beta} (\mathcal{Q}f_1g_1)(\mathcal{X}) \\ & \geq {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} (\mathcal{P}f_1)(\mathcal{X}) {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\beta} (\mathcal{Q}g_1)(\mathcal{X}) + {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} (\mathcal{P}g_1)(\mathcal{X}) {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\beta} (\mathcal{Q}f_1)(\mathcal{X}), \end{aligned}$$

holds for all $\rho \in (0, 1]$, $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{C}$ with $\Re(\alpha), \Re(\beta) > 0$.

Proof. If we multiply both sides of (3.2) by $\frac{\exp[\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(\Psi(\mathcal{X})-\Psi(\nu))]Q(\nu)\omega(\nu)\Psi'(\nu)}{\rho^\beta\Gamma(\beta)(\Psi(\mathcal{X})-\Psi(\nu))^{1-\beta}}$ and integrating the resulting inequality with respect to ν from 0 to \mathcal{X} , we have

$$\frac{f_1(\mu)g_1(\mu)}{\rho^\beta\Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^{\mathcal{X}} \frac{\exp[\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(\Psi(\mathcal{X})-\Psi(\nu))]Q(\nu)\omega(\nu)\Psi'(\nu)}{(\Psi(\mathcal{X})-\Psi(\nu))^{1-\beta}} d\nu$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{f_1(\nu)g_1(\nu)}{\rho^\beta\Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^\zeta \frac{\exp[\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(\Psi(\zeta) - \Psi(\nu))]Q(\nu)\omega(\nu)\Psi'(\nu)}{(\Psi(\zeta) - \Psi(\nu))^{1-\beta}} d\nu \\
& \geq \frac{g_1(\mu)}{\rho^\beta\Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^\zeta \frac{\exp[\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(\Psi(\zeta) - \Psi(\nu))]Q(\nu)\omega(\nu)\Psi'(\nu)}{(\Psi(\zeta) - \Psi(\nu))^{1-\beta}} f_1(\nu) d\nu \\
& + \frac{f_1(\mu)}{\rho^\beta\Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^\zeta \frac{\exp[\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(\Psi(\zeta) - \Psi(\nu))]Q(\nu)\omega(\nu)\Psi'(\nu)}{(\Psi(\zeta) - \Psi(\nu))^{1-\beta}} g_1(\nu) d\nu.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

Taking product both sides of the above equation by $\omega^{-1}(\zeta)$ and in view of Definition (2.2), we have

$$f_1(\mu)g_1(\mu) {}^\Psi\Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\beta}Q(\zeta) + {}^\Psi\Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\beta}(Qf_1g_1)(\zeta) \geq f_1(\mu) {}^\Psi\Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\beta}(Qg_1)(\zeta) + g_1(\mu) {}^\Psi\Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\beta}(Qf_1)(\zeta). \tag{3.14}$$

Again, multiplying both sides of (3.14) by $\frac{\exp[\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(\Psi(\zeta)-\Psi(\mu))]P(\mu)\omega(\mu)\Psi'(\mu)}{\rho^\alpha\Gamma(\alpha)(\Psi(\zeta)-\Psi(\mu))^{1-\alpha}}$ and integrating the resulting inequality with respect to ν from 0 to ζ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{{}^\Psi\Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\beta}Q(\zeta)}{\rho^\alpha\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^\zeta \frac{\exp[\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(\Psi(\zeta) - \Psi(\mu))]P(\mu)\omega(\mu)\Psi'(\mu)}{(\Psi(\zeta) - \Psi(\mu))^{1-\alpha}} f_1(\mu)g_1(\mu) d\mu \\
& + \frac{{}^\Psi\Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\beta}(Qf_1g_1)(\zeta)}{\rho^\alpha\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^\zeta \frac{\exp[\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(\Psi(\zeta) - \Psi(\mu))]P(\mu)\omega(\mu)\Psi'(\mu)}{(\Psi(\zeta) - \Psi(\mu))^{1-\alpha}} d\mu \\
& \geq \frac{{}^\Psi\Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\beta}(Qg_1)(\zeta)}{\rho^\alpha\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^\zeta \frac{\exp[\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(\Psi(\zeta) - \Psi(\mu))]P(\mu)\omega(\mu)\Psi'(\mu)}{(\Psi(\zeta) - \Psi(\mu))^{1-\alpha}} f_1(\mu) d\mu \\
& + \frac{{}^\Psi\Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\beta}(Qf_1)(\zeta)}{\rho^\alpha\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^\zeta \frac{\exp[\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(\Psi(\zeta) - \Psi(\mu))]P(\mu)\omega(\mu)\Psi'(\mu)}{(\Psi(\zeta) - \Psi(\mu))^{1-\alpha}} g_1(\mu) d\mu.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

Taking product both sides of the above equation by $\omega^{-1}(\zeta)$ and in view of Definition (2.2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& {}^\Psi\Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha}(P f_1 g_1)(\zeta) {}^\Psi\Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\beta}Q(\zeta) + {}^\Psi\Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha}P(\zeta) {}^\Psi\Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\beta}(Q f_1 g_1)(\zeta) \\
& \geq {}^\Psi\Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha}(P f_1)(\zeta) {}^\Psi\Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\beta}(Q g_1)(\zeta) + {}^\Psi\Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha}(P g_1)(\zeta) {}^\Psi\Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\beta}(Q f_1)(\zeta),
\end{aligned}$$

which implies (3.13). \square

Theorem 3.4. Under the assumptions **I, II** and let r, s and t be three non-negative continuous functions on $[0, \infty)$. Then the inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
& {}^\Psi\Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha}r(\zeta) \left({}^\Psi\Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha}(s f_1 g_1)(\zeta) {}^\Psi\Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\beta}t(\zeta) + 2 {}^\Psi\Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha}s(\zeta) {}^\Psi\Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\beta}(t f_1 g_1)(\zeta) + {}^\Psi\Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\beta}t(\zeta) {}^\Psi\Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha}(s f_1 g_1)(\zeta) \right) \\
& + \left({}^\Psi\Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\beta}t(\zeta) {}^\Psi\Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha}s(\zeta) + {}^\Psi\Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha}t(\zeta) {}^\Psi\Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\beta}s(\zeta) \right) {}^\Psi\Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha}(r f_1 g_1)(\zeta)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\geq {}_{\omega}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0^{+}}^{\rho;\alpha} r(\chi) \left({}_{\omega}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0^{+}}^{\rho;\alpha} (sf_1)(\chi) {}_{\omega}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0^{+}}^{\rho;\beta} (tg_1)(\chi) + {}_{\omega}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0^{+}}^{\rho;\alpha} (sg_1)(\chi) {}_{\omega}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0^{+}}^{\rho;\beta} (tf_1)(\chi) \right) \\
&\quad + {}_{\omega}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0^{+}}^{\rho;\alpha} s(\chi) \left({}_{\omega}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0^{+}}^{\rho;\alpha} (rf_1)(\chi) {}_{\omega}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0^{+}}^{\rho;\beta} (tg_1)(\chi) + {}_{\omega}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0^{+}}^{\rho;\alpha} (rg_1)(\chi) {}_{\omega}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0^{+}}^{\rho;\beta} (tf_1)(\chi) \right) \\
&\quad + {}_{\omega}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0^{+}}^{\rho;\alpha} t(\chi) \left({}_{\omega}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0^{+}}^{\rho;\alpha} (rf_1)(\chi) {}_{\omega}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0^{+}}^{\rho;\beta} (sg_1)(\chi) + {}_{\omega}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0^{+}}^{\rho;\alpha} (rg_1)(\chi) {}_{\omega}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0^{+}}^{\rho;\beta} (sf_1)(\chi) \right)
\end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

holds for all $\rho \in (0, 1]$, $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{C}$ with $\Re(\alpha), \Re(\beta) > 0$.

Proof. By means of Lemma 3.3 and setting $\mathcal{P} = s, \mathcal{Q} = t$, we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
&{}_{\omega}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0^{+}}^{\rho;\alpha} (sf_1g_1)(\chi) {}_{\omega}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0^{+}}^{\rho;\beta} t(\chi) + {}_{\omega}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0^{+}}^{\rho;\alpha} s(\chi) {}_{\omega}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0^{+}}^{\rho;\beta} (tf_1g_1)(\chi) \\
&\geq {}_{\omega}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0^{+}}^{\rho;\alpha} (sf_1)(\chi) {}_{\omega}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0^{+}}^{\rho;\beta} (tg_1)(\chi) + {}_{\omega}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0^{+}}^{\rho;\alpha} (sg_1)(\chi) {}_{\omega}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0^{+}}^{\rho;\beta} (tf_1)(\chi).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

Conducting product both sides of (3.17) by ${}_{\omega}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0^{+}}^{\rho;\alpha} r(\chi)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&{}_{\omega}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0^{+}}^{\rho;\alpha} r(\chi) \left({}_{\omega}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0^{+}}^{\rho;\alpha} (sf_1g_1)(\chi) {}_{\omega}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0^{+}}^{\rho;\beta} t(\chi) + {}_{\omega}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0^{+}}^{\rho;\alpha} s(\chi) {}_{\omega}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0^{+}}^{\rho;\beta} (tf_1g_1)(\chi) \right) \\
&\geq {}_{\omega}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0^{+}}^{\rho;\alpha} r(\chi) \left({}_{\omega}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0^{+}}^{\rho;\alpha} (sf_1)(\chi) {}_{\omega}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0^{+}}^{\rho;\beta} (tg_1)(\chi) + {}_{\omega}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0^{+}}^{\rho;\alpha} (sg_1)(\chi) {}_{\omega}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0^{+}}^{\rho;\beta} (tf_1)(\chi) \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

Again, by means of Lemma 3.3 and setting $\mathcal{P} = r, \mathcal{Q} = t$, we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
&{}_{\omega}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0^{+}}^{\rho;\alpha} (rf_1g_1)(\chi) {}_{\omega}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0^{+}}^{\rho;\beta} t(\chi) + {}_{\omega}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0^{+}}^{\rho;\alpha} r(\chi) {}_{\omega}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0^{+}}^{\rho;\beta} (tf_1g_1)(\chi) \\
&\geq {}_{\omega}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0^{+}}^{\rho;\alpha} (rf_1)(\chi) {}_{\omega}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0^{+}}^{\rho;\beta} (tg_1)(\chi) + {}_{\omega}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0^{+}}^{\rho;\alpha} (rg_1)(\chi) {}_{\omega}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0^{+}}^{\rho;\beta} (tf_1)(\chi).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

Conducting product both sides of (3.19) by ${}_{\omega}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0^{+}}^{\rho;\alpha} s(\chi)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&{}_{\omega}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0^{+}}^{\rho;\alpha} s(\chi) \left({}_{\omega}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0^{+}}^{\rho;\alpha} (rf_1g_1)(\chi) {}_{\omega}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0^{+}}^{\rho;\beta} t(\chi) + {}_{\omega}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0^{+}}^{\rho;\alpha} r(\chi) {}_{\omega}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0^{+}}^{\rho;\beta} (tf_1g_1)(\chi) \right) \\
&\geq {}_{\omega}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0^{+}}^{\rho;\alpha} s(\chi) \left({}_{\omega}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0^{+}}^{\rho;\alpha} (rf_1)(\chi) {}_{\omega}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0^{+}}^{\rho;\beta} (tg_1)(\chi) + {}_{\omega}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0^{+}}^{\rho;\alpha} (rg_1)(\chi) {}_{\omega}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0^{+}}^{\rho;\beta} (tf_1)(\chi) \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

By similar arguments as we did before, yields

$$\begin{aligned}
&{}_{\omega}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0^{+}}^{\rho;\alpha} t(\chi) \left({}_{\omega}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0^{+}}^{\rho;\alpha} (sf_1g_1)(\chi) {}_{\omega}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0^{+}}^{\rho;\beta} r(\chi) + {}_{\omega}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0^{+}}^{\rho;\alpha} s(\chi) {}_{\omega}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0^{+}}^{\rho;\beta} (rf_1g_1)(\chi) \right) \\
&\geq {}_{\omega}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0^{+}}^{\rho;\alpha} t(\chi) \left({}_{\omega}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0^{+}}^{\rho;\alpha} (rf_1)(\chi) {}_{\omega}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0^{+}}^{\rho;\beta} (sg_1)(\chi) + {}_{\omega}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0^{+}}^{\rho;\alpha} (rg_1)(\chi) {}_{\omega}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0^{+}}^{\rho;\beta} (sf_1)(\chi) \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

Adding (3.18), (3.20) and (3.21), we get the desired inequality (3.16). □

Remark 4. Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 3.4 lead to the following conclusions:

- (1) Let f_1 and g_1 are the asynchronous functions on $[0, \infty)$, then (3.8) and (3.16) are reversed.
- (2) Let r, s and t are negative on $[0, \infty)$, then (3.8) and (3.16) are reversed.
- (3) Let r, s are positive t is negative on $[0, \infty)$, then (3.8) and (3.16) are reversed.

In the next, we derive certain novel Grüss-type integral inequalities via weighted generalized proportional fractional integral operators.

Lemma 3.5. Suppose an integrable function f_1 defined on $[0, \infty)$ satisfying the assertions **I, II** and (1.7) on $[0, \infty)$ and let a continuous function r defined on $[0, \infty)$. Then the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & \left({}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha} r(\kappa) {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha} (rf_1^2)(\kappa) - \left({}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha} (rf_1)(\kappa) \right)^2 \right) \\ & \leq \left(\Phi {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha} x(\kappa) - {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha} (rf_1)(\kappa) \right) \left({}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha} (rf_1)(\kappa) - \phi {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha} r(\kappa) \right) \\ & \quad - {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha} r(\kappa) {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha} \left(r(\kappa)(\Phi - f_1(\kappa))(f_1(\kappa) - \phi) \right) \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

holds for all $\rho \in (0, 1]$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\Re(\alpha) > 0$.

Proof. By the given hypothesis and utilizing (1.7). For any $\mu, \nu \in [0, \infty)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (\Phi - f_1(\nu))(f_1(\mu) - \phi) + (\Phi - f_1(\mu))(f_1(\nu) - \phi) - (\Phi - f_1(\mu))(f_1(\mu) - \phi) - (\Phi - f_1(\nu))(f_1(\nu) - \phi) \\ & \leq f_1^2(\mu) + f_1^2(\nu) - 2f_1(\mu)f_1(\nu). \end{aligned} \quad (3.23)$$

Multiplying both sides of (3.23) by $\frac{\exp[\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(\Psi(\kappa)-\Psi(\nu))]r(\nu)\omega(\nu)\Psi'(\nu)}{\rho^\alpha\Gamma(\alpha)(\Psi(\kappa)-\Psi(\nu))^{1-\alpha}}$ and integrating the resulting inequality with respect to ν from 0 to κ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(f_1(\mu) - \phi)}{\rho^\alpha\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^\kappa \frac{\exp[\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(\Psi(\kappa) - \Psi(\nu))]r(\nu)\omega(\nu)\Psi'(\nu)}{(\Psi(\kappa) - \Psi(\nu))^{1-\alpha}} (\Phi - f_1(\nu)) d\nu \\ & + \frac{(\Phi - f_1(\mu))}{\rho^\alpha\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^\kappa \frac{\exp[\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(\Psi(\kappa) - \Psi(\nu))]r(\nu)\omega(\nu)\Psi'(\nu)}{(\Psi(\kappa) - \Psi(\nu))^{1-\alpha}} (f_1(\nu) - \phi) d\nu \\ & - \frac{(\Phi - f_1(\mu))(f_1(\mu) - \phi)}{\rho^\alpha\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^\kappa \frac{\exp[\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(\Psi(\kappa) - \Psi(\nu))]r(\nu)\omega(\nu)\Psi'(\nu)}{(\Psi(\kappa) - \Psi(\nu))^{1-\alpha}} d\nu \\ & - \frac{1}{\rho^\alpha\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^\kappa \frac{\exp[\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(\Psi(\kappa) - \Psi(\nu))]r(\nu)\omega(\nu)\Psi'(\nu)}{(\Psi(\kappa) - \Psi(\nu))^{1-\alpha}} (\Phi - f_1(\nu))(f_1(\nu) - \phi) d\nu \\ & \leq \frac{f_1^2(\mu)}{\rho^\alpha\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^\kappa \frac{\exp[\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(\Psi(\kappa) - \Psi(\nu))]r(\nu)\omega(\nu)\Psi'(\nu)}{(\Psi(\kappa) - \Psi(\nu))^{1-\alpha}} d\nu \\ & + \frac{1}{\rho^\alpha\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^\kappa \frac{\exp[\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(\Psi(\kappa) - \Psi(\nu))]r(\nu)\omega(\nu)\Psi'(\nu)}{(\Psi(\kappa) - \Psi(\nu))^{1-\alpha}} f_1^2(\nu) d\nu \\ & - 2 \frac{f_1(\mu)}{\rho^\alpha\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^\kappa \frac{\exp[\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(\Psi(\kappa) - \Psi(\nu))]r(\nu)\omega(\nu)\Psi'(\nu)}{(\Psi(\kappa) - \Psi(\nu))^{1-\alpha}} f_1(\nu) d\nu. \end{aligned} \quad (3.24)$$

Taking product both sides of the above equation by $\omega^{-1}(\kappa)$ and in view of Definition (2.2), we obtain

$$\left(\Phi {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha} r(\kappa) - {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha} (rf_1)(\kappa) \right) (f_1(\mu) - \phi) + (\Phi - f_1(\mu)) \left({}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha} (rf_1)(\kappa) - \phi {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha} r(\kappa) \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -(\Phi - f_1(\mu))(f_1(\mu) - \phi) {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} r(\mu) - {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} (r(\mu)(\Phi - f_1(\mu))(f_1(\mu) - \phi)) \\
& \leq f_1^2(\mu) {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} r(\mu) + {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} (rf_1^2)(\mu) - 2f_1(\mu) {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} (rf_1)(\mu).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.25}$$

Multiplying both sides of (3.25) by $\frac{\exp[\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(\Psi(\kappa)-\Psi(\mu))]r(\mu)\omega(\mu)\Psi'(\mu)}{\rho^\alpha\Gamma(\alpha)(\Psi(\kappa)-\Psi(\mu))^{1-\alpha}}$ and integrating the resulting inequality with respect to μ from 0 to κ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\Phi {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} r(\kappa) - {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} (rf_1)(\nu)) \frac{1}{\rho^\alpha\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^\kappa \frac{\exp[\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(\Psi(\kappa)-\Psi(\mu))]r(\mu)\omega(\mu)\Psi'(\mu)}{(\Psi(\kappa)-\Psi(\mu))^{1-\alpha}} (f_1(\mu) - \phi) d\mu \\
& + ({}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} (rf_1)(\kappa) - \phi {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} r(\kappa)) \frac{1}{\rho^\alpha\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^\kappa \frac{\exp[\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(\Psi(\kappa)-\Psi(\mu))]r(\mu)\omega(\mu)\Psi'(\mu)}{(\Psi(\kappa)-\Psi(\mu))^{1-\alpha}} (\Phi - f_1(\mu)) d\mu \\
& - \left(\frac{1}{\rho^\alpha\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^\kappa \frac{\exp[\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(\Psi(\kappa)-\Psi(\mu))]r(\mu)\omega(\mu)\Psi'(\mu)}{(\Psi(\kappa)-\Psi(\mu))^{1-\alpha}} (\Phi - f_1(\mu))(f_1(\mu) - \phi) d\mu \right) {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} r(\kappa) \\
& - {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} (r(\kappa)(\Phi - f_1(\nu))(f_1(\nu) - \phi)) \frac{1}{\rho^\alpha\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^\kappa \frac{\exp[\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(\Psi(\kappa)-\Psi(\mu))]r(\mu)\omega(\mu)\Psi'(\mu)}{(\Psi(\kappa)-\Psi(\mu))^{1-\alpha}} d\nu \\
& \leq \left(\frac{1}{\rho^\alpha\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^\kappa \frac{\exp[\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(\Psi(\kappa)-\Psi(\mu))]r(\mu)\omega(\mu)\Psi'(\mu)}{(\Psi(\kappa)-\Psi(\mu))^{1-\alpha}} f_1^2(\mu) d\mu \right) {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} r(\kappa) \\
& + \left(\frac{1}{\rho^\alpha\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^\kappa \frac{\exp[\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(\Psi(\kappa)-\Psi(\mu))]r(\mu)\omega(\mu)\Psi'(\mu)}{(\Psi(\kappa)-\Psi(\mu))^{1-\alpha}} d\mu \right) {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} (rf_1^2)(\kappa) \\
& - 2 \left(\frac{1}{\rho^\alpha\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^\kappa \frac{\exp[\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(\Psi(\kappa)-\Psi(\mu))]r(\mu)\omega(\mu)\Psi'(\mu)}{(\Psi(\kappa)-\Psi(\mu))^{1-\alpha}} f_1(\mu) d\mu \right) {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} (rf_1)(\kappa).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.26}$$

Taking product both sides of the above equation by $\omega^{-1}(\kappa)$ and in view of Definition (2.2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\Phi {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} r(\kappa) - {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} (rf_1)(\kappa)) ({}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} (rf_1)(\kappa) - \phi {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} r(\kappa)) \\
& + (\Phi {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} r(\kappa) - {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} (rf_1)(\kappa)) ({}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} (rf_1)(\kappa) - \phi {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} r(\kappa)) \\
& - {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} (r(\kappa)(\Phi - f_1(\kappa))(f_1(\kappa) - \phi)) {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} r(\kappa) \\
& - {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} r(\kappa) {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} (r(\kappa)(\Phi - f_1(\kappa))(f_1(\kappa) - \phi)) \\
& \leq {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} (rf_1^2)(\kappa) {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} r(\kappa) + {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} r(\kappa) {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} (rf_1^2)(\kappa) \\
& - 2 {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} (rf_1)(\kappa) {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} (rf_1)(\kappa),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.27}$$

which gives (3.22) and proves the lemma. \square

Theorem 3.6. Suppose two integrable functions f_1 and g_1 defined on $[0, \infty)$ satisfying the assertions **I, II** and (1.7) on $[0, \infty)$ and let a continuous function r defined on $[0, \infty)$. Then the inequality

$$\left| {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} r(\kappa) {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} (rf_1g_1)(\kappa) - {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} (rf_1)(\kappa) {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} (rg_1)(\kappa) \right| \leq \frac{(\Phi - \phi)(\Upsilon - \gamma)}{4} \left({}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} r(\kappa) \right)^2 \tag{3.28}$$

holds for all $\rho \in (0, 1]$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\Re(\alpha) > 0$.

Proof. By the given hypothesis stated in Theorem 3.6. Also, assume that μ, ν be defined by

$$\mathfrak{I}(\mu, \nu) = (f_1(\mu) - f_1(\nu))(g_1(\mu) - g_1(\nu)), \quad \mu, \nu \in [0, \kappa], \quad \kappa > 0. \quad (3.29)$$

Multiplying both sides of (3.30) by $\frac{\exp[\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(\Psi(\kappa)-\Psi(\mu))]r(\mu)\omega(\mu)\Psi'(\mu)}{\rho^\alpha\Gamma(\alpha)(\Psi(\kappa)-\Psi(\mu))^{1-\alpha}} \frac{\exp[\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(\Psi(\kappa)-\Psi(\nu))]r(\nu)\omega(\nu)\Psi'(\nu)}{\rho^\alpha\Gamma(\alpha)(\Psi(\kappa)-\Psi(\nu))^{1-\alpha}}$ and integrating the resulting inequality with respect to μ and ν from 0 to κ , we can state that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\rho^{2\alpha}\Gamma^2(\alpha)} \int_0^\kappa \int_0^\kappa \frac{\exp[\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(\Psi(\kappa)-\Psi(\mu))]r(\mu)\omega(\mu)\Psi'(\mu)}{(\Psi(\kappa)-\Psi(\mu))^{1-\alpha}} \\ & \quad \times \frac{\exp[\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(\Psi(\kappa)-\Psi(\nu))]r(\nu)\omega(\nu)\Psi'(\nu)}{(\Psi(\kappa)-\Psi(\nu))^{1-\alpha}} \mathfrak{I}(\mu, \nu) d\mu d\nu \\ & = \frac{1}{\rho^{2\alpha}\Gamma^2(\alpha)} \int_0^\kappa \int_0^\kappa \frac{\exp[\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(\Psi(\kappa)-\Psi(\mu))]r(\mu)\omega(\mu)\Psi'(\mu)}{(\Psi(\kappa)-\Psi(\mu))^{1-\alpha}} \\ & \quad \times \frac{\exp[\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(\Psi(\kappa)-\Psi(\nu))]r(\nu)\omega(\nu)\Psi'(\nu)}{(\Psi(\kappa)-\Psi(\nu))^{1-\alpha}} \\ & \quad \times (f_1(\mu) - f_1(\nu))(g_1(\mu) - g_1(\nu)) d\mu d\nu. \end{aligned} \quad (3.30)$$

Taking product both sides of the above equation by $\omega^{-1}(\kappa)$ and in view of Definition (2.2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\omega^{-2}(\kappa)}{\rho^{2\alpha}\Gamma^2(\alpha)} \int_0^\kappa \int_0^\kappa \frac{\exp[\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(\Psi(\kappa)-\Psi(\mu))]r(\mu)\omega(\mu)\Psi'(\mu)}{(\Psi(\kappa)-\Psi(\mu))^{1-\alpha}} \\ & \quad \times \frac{\exp[\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(\Psi(\kappa)-\Psi(\nu))]r(\nu)\omega(\nu)\Psi'(\nu)}{(\Psi(\kappa)-\Psi(\nu))^{1-\alpha}} \mathfrak{I}(\mu, \nu) d\mu d\nu \\ & = 2 {}^\Psi\Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha} r(\kappa) {}^\Psi\Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha} (rf_1g_1)(\kappa) - 2 {}^\Psi\Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha} (rf_1)(\kappa) {}^\Psi\Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha} (rg_1)(\kappa). \end{aligned} \quad (3.31)$$

Thanks to the weighted Cauchy-Schwartz integral inequality for double integrals, we can write that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{\omega^{-2}(\kappa)}{\rho^{2\alpha}\Gamma^2(\alpha)} \int_0^\kappa \int_0^\kappa \frac{\exp[\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(\Psi(\kappa)-\Psi(\mu))]r(\mu)\omega(\mu)\Psi'(\mu)}{(\Psi(\kappa)-\Psi(\mu))^{1-\alpha}} \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times \frac{\exp[\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(\Psi(\kappa)-\Psi(\nu))]r(\nu)\omega(\nu)\Psi'(\nu)}{(\Psi(\kappa)-\Psi(\nu))^{1-\alpha}} \mathfrak{I}(\mu, \nu) d\mu d\nu \right)^2 \\ & \leq \left(\frac{\omega^{-2}(\kappa)}{\rho^{2\alpha}\Gamma^2(\alpha)} \int_0^\kappa \int_0^\kappa \frac{\exp[\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(\Psi(\kappa)-\Psi(\mu))]r(\mu)\omega(\mu)\Psi'(\mu)}{(\Psi(\kappa)-\Psi(\mu))^{1-\alpha}} \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times \frac{\exp[\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(\Psi(\kappa)-\Psi(\nu))]r(\nu)\omega(\nu)\Psi'(\nu)}{(\Psi(\kappa)-\Psi(\nu))^{1-\alpha}} (f_1(\mu) - f_1(\nu)) d\mu d\nu \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\frac{\omega^{-2}(\mathcal{X})}{\rho^{2\alpha}\Gamma^2(\alpha)} \int_0^{\mathcal{X}} \int_0^{\mathcal{X}} \frac{\exp[\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(\Psi(\mathcal{X}) - \Psi(\mu))]r(\mu)\omega(\mu)\Psi'(\mu)}{(\Psi(\mathcal{X}) - \Psi(\mu))^{1-\alpha}} \right. \\
& \quad \times \left. \frac{\exp[\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(\Psi(\mathcal{X}) - \Psi(\nu))]r(\nu)\omega(\nu)\Psi'(\nu)}{(\Psi(\mathcal{X}) - \Psi(\nu))^{1-\alpha}} (g_1(\mu) - g_1(\nu))d\mu d\nu \right) \\
& = 4 \left(\Psi_{\omega}^{\rho;\alpha} \Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha} r(\mathcal{X}) \Psi_{\omega}^{\rho;\alpha} \Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha} (rf_1^2)(\mathcal{X}) - \left(\Psi_{\omega}^{\rho;\alpha} \Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha} (rf_1)(\mathcal{X}) \right)^2 \right) \\
& \quad \times \left(\Psi_{\omega}^{\rho;\alpha} \Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha} r(\mathcal{X}) \Psi_{\omega}^{\rho;\alpha} \Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha} (rg_1^2)(\mathcal{X}) - \left(\Psi_{\omega}^{\rho;\alpha} \Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha} (rg_1)(\mathcal{X}) \right)^2 \right). \tag{3.32}
\end{aligned}$$

Since $(\Phi - f_1(\mu))(f_1(\mu) - \phi) \geq 0$ and $(\Upsilon - g_1(\mu))(g_1(\mu) - \gamma) \geq 0$, we have

$$\Psi_{\omega}^{\rho;\alpha} \Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha} r(\mathcal{X}) \Psi_{\omega}^{\rho;\alpha} \Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha} \left(r(\mathcal{X})(\Phi - f_1(\mu))(f_1(\mu) - \phi) \right) \geq 0, \tag{3.33}$$

and

$$\Psi_{\omega}^{\rho;\alpha} \Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha} r(\mathcal{X}) \Psi_{\omega}^{\rho;\alpha} \Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha} \left(r(\mathcal{X})(\Upsilon - g_1(\mu))(g_1(\mu) - \gamma) \right) \geq 0. \tag{3.34}$$

Therefore, from (3.33), (3.34) and Lemma 3.5, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Psi_{\omega}^{\rho;\alpha} \Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha} r(\mathcal{X}) \Psi_{\omega}^{\rho;\alpha} \Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha} (rf_1^2)(\mathcal{X}) - \left(\Psi_{\omega}^{\rho;\alpha} \Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha} (rf_1)(\mathcal{X}) \right)^2 \\
& \leq \left(\Phi \Psi_{\omega}^{\rho;\alpha} \Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha} r(\mathcal{X}) - \Psi_{\omega}^{\rho;\alpha} \Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha} (rf_1)(\mathcal{X}) \right) \left(\Psi_{\omega}^{\rho;\alpha} \Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha} (rf_1)(\mathcal{X}) - \phi \Psi_{\omega}^{\rho;\alpha} \Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha} r(\mathcal{X}) \right)
\end{aligned} \tag{3.35}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Psi_{\omega}^{\rho;\alpha} \Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha} r(\mathcal{X}) \Psi_{\omega}^{\rho;\alpha} \Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha} (rg_1^2)(\mathcal{X}) - \left(\Psi_{\omega}^{\rho;\alpha} \Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha} (rg_1)(\mathcal{X}) \right)^2 \\
& \leq \left(\Upsilon \Psi_{\omega}^{\rho;\alpha} \Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha} r(\mathcal{X}) - \Psi_{\omega}^{\rho;\alpha} \Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha} (rg_1)(\mathcal{X}) \right) \left(\Psi_{\omega}^{\rho;\alpha} \Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha} (rg_1)(\mathcal{X}) - \gamma \Psi_{\omega}^{\rho;\alpha} \Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha} r(\mathcal{X}) \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.36}$$

Combining (3.30), (3.31), (3.35) and (3.36), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\Psi_{\omega}^{\rho;\alpha} \Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha} r(\mathcal{X}) \Psi_{\omega}^{\rho;\alpha} \Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha} (xf_1g_1)(\mathcal{X}) - \Psi_{\omega}^{\rho;\alpha} \Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha} (rf_1)(\mathcal{X}) \Psi_{\omega}^{\rho;\alpha} \Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha} (rg_1)(\mathcal{X}) \right)^2 \\
& \leq \left(\Phi \Psi_{\omega}^{\rho;\alpha} \Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha} r(\mathcal{X}) - \Psi_{\omega}^{\rho;\alpha} \Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha} (rf_1)(\mathcal{X}) \right) \left(\Psi_{\omega}^{\rho;\alpha} \Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha} (rf_1)(\mathcal{X}) - \phi \Psi_{\omega}^{\rho;\alpha} \Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha} r(\mathcal{X}) \right) \\
& \quad \times \left(\Upsilon \Psi_{\omega}^{\rho;\alpha} \Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha} r(\mathcal{X}) - \Psi_{\omega}^{\rho;\alpha} \Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha} (rg_1)(\mathcal{X}) \right) \left(\Psi_{\omega}^{\rho;\alpha} \Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha} (rg_1)(\mathcal{X}) - \gamma \Psi_{\omega}^{\rho;\alpha} \Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha} r(\mathcal{X}) \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.37}$$

Taking into consideration the elementary inequality $4a_1a_2 \leq (a_1 + a_2)^2$, $a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, we can state that

$$4 \left(\Phi \Psi_{\omega}^{\rho;\alpha} \Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha} r(\mathcal{X}) - \Psi_{\omega}^{\rho;\alpha} \Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha} (rf_1)(\mathcal{X}) \right) \left(\Psi_{\omega}^{\rho;\alpha} \Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha} (rf_1)(\mathcal{X}) - \phi \Psi_{\omega}^{\rho;\alpha} \Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha} r(\mathcal{X}) \right) \leq \left(\Psi_{\omega}^{\rho;\alpha} \Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha} r(\mathcal{X})(\Phi - \phi) \right)^2 \tag{3.38}$$

and

$$4 \left(\Upsilon \Psi_{\omega}^{\rho;\alpha} \Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha} r(\mathcal{X}) - \Psi_{\omega}^{\rho;\alpha} \Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha} (rg_1)(\mathcal{X}) \right) \left(\Psi_{\omega}^{\rho;\alpha} \Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha} (rg_1)(\mathcal{X}) - \gamma \Psi_{\omega}^{\rho;\alpha} \Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha} r(\mathcal{X}) \right) \leq \left(\Psi_{\omega}^{\rho;\alpha} \Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha} r(\mathcal{X})(\Upsilon - \gamma) \right)^2. \tag{3.39}$$

From (3.37)-(3.39), we obtain (3.28). This completes the proof of Theorem 3.6. \square

Lemma 3.7. Suppose two integrable functions f_1 and g_1 defined on $[0, \infty)$ satisfying the assertions **I, II** and (1.7) on $[0, \infty)$ and let two continuous function r and s defined on $[0, \infty)$. Then the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & \left({}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} r(\kappa) {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\beta} (sf_1g_1)(\kappa) + {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\beta} s(\kappa) {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} (rf_1g_1)(\kappa) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} (rf_1)(\kappa) {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\beta} (sg_1)(\kappa) - {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} (sf_1)(\kappa) {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} (rg_1)(\kappa) \right)^2 \\ & \leq \left({}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} r(\kappa) {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\beta} (sf_1^2)(\kappa) + {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\beta} s(\kappa) {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} (rf_1^2)(\kappa) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - 2 {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} (rf_1)(\kappa) {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\beta} (sf_1)(\kappa) \right) \\ & \quad \times \left({}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} r(\kappa) {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\beta} (sg_1^2)(\kappa) + {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\beta} s(\kappa) {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} (rg_1^2)(\kappa) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - 2 {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} (rg_1)(\kappa) {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\beta} (sg_1)(\kappa) \right) \end{aligned} \quad (3.40)$$

holds for all $\rho \in (0, 1]$, $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\Re(\alpha), \Re(\beta) > 0$.

Proof. Taking product (3.30) by $\frac{\exp[\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(\Psi(\kappa)-\Psi(\mu))]r(\mu)\omega(\mu)\Psi'(\mu)}{\rho^\alpha\Gamma(\alpha)(\Psi(\kappa)-\Psi(\mu))^{1-\alpha}} \frac{\exp[\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(\Psi(\kappa)-\Psi(\nu))]s(\nu)\omega(\nu)\Psi'(\nu)}{\rho^\beta\Gamma(\beta)(\Psi(\kappa)-\Psi(\nu))^{1-\beta}}$ and integrating the resulting inequality with respect to μ and ν from 0 to κ , we can state that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\rho^\alpha\Gamma(\alpha)\rho^\beta\Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^\kappa \int_0^\kappa \frac{\exp[\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(\Psi(\kappa)-\Psi(\mu))]r(\mu)\omega(\mu)\Psi'(\mu)}{(\Psi(\kappa)-\Psi(\mu))^{1-\alpha}} \\ & \quad \times \frac{\exp[\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(\Psi(\kappa)-\Psi(\nu))]s(\nu)\omega(\nu)\Psi'(\nu)}{(\Psi(\kappa)-\Psi(\nu))^{1-\beta}} \mathfrak{I}(\mu, \nu) d\mu d\nu \\ & = \frac{1}{\rho^\alpha\Gamma(\alpha)\rho^\beta\Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^\kappa \int_0^\kappa \frac{\exp[\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(\Psi(\kappa)-\Psi(\mu))]r(\mu)\omega(\mu)\Psi'(\mu)}{(\Psi(\kappa)-\Psi(\mu))^{1-\alpha}} \\ & \quad \times \frac{\exp[\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(\Psi(\kappa)-\Psi(\nu))]s(\nu)\omega(\nu)\Psi'(\nu)}{(\Psi(\kappa)-\Psi(\nu))^{1-\beta}} \\ & \quad \times (f_1(\mu) - f_1(\nu))(g_1(\mu) - g_1(\nu)) d\mu d\nu. \end{aligned} \quad (3.41)$$

Taking product both sides of the above equation by $\omega^{-2}(\kappa)$ and utilizing Definition (2.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\omega^{-2}(\kappa)}{\rho^\alpha\Gamma(\alpha)\rho^\beta\Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^\kappa \int_0^\kappa \frac{\exp[\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(\Psi(\kappa)-\Psi(\mu))]r(\mu)\omega(\mu)\Psi'(\mu)}{(\Psi(\kappa)-\Psi(\mu))^{1-\alpha}} \\ & \quad \times \frac{\exp[\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(\Psi(\kappa)-\Psi(\nu))]s(\nu)\omega(\nu)\Psi'(\nu)}{(\Psi(\kappa)-\Psi(\nu))^{1-\beta}} \mathfrak{I}(\mu, \nu) d\mu d\nu \\ & = {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} r(\kappa) {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\beta} (sf_1g_1)(\kappa) + {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\beta} s(\kappa) {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} (rf_1g_1)(\kappa) \\ & \quad - {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} (rf_1)(\kappa) {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\beta} (sg_1)(\kappa) - {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} (sf_1)(\kappa) {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} (rg_1)(\kappa). \end{aligned} \quad (3.42)$$

Then, thanks to the weighted Cauchy-Schwartz integral inequality for double integrals, we conclude (3.40). \square

Lemma 3.8. Suppose an integrable function f_1 defined on $[0, \infty)$ satisfying the assertions **I** and **II** on $[0, \infty)$ and let two continuous function r and s defined on $[0, \infty)$. Then the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & {}_{\omega}\Psi_{0+}^{\rho;\beta}(sf_1^2)(\varkappa) {}_{\omega}\Psi_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha}r(\varkappa) + {}_{\omega}\Psi_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha}(rf_1^2)(\varkappa) {}_{\omega}\Psi_{0+}^{\rho;\beta}s(\varkappa) - 2 {}_{\omega}\Psi_{0+}^{\rho;\beta}(sf_1)(\varkappa) {}_{\omega}\Psi_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha}(rf_1)(\varkappa) \\ & \leq (\Phi {}_{\omega}\Psi_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha}r(\varkappa) - {}_{\omega}\Psi_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha}(rf_1)(\varkappa))({}_{\omega}\Psi_{0+}^{\rho;\beta}(sf_1)(\varkappa) - \phi {}_{\omega}\Psi_{0+}^{\rho;\beta}s(\varkappa)) \\ & \quad + (\Phi {}_{\omega}\Psi_{0+}^{\rho;\beta}s(\varkappa) - {}_{\omega}\Psi_{0+}^{\rho;\beta}(sf_1)(\varkappa))({}_{\omega}\Psi_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha}(rf_1)(\varkappa) - \phi {}_{\omega}\Psi_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha}r(\varkappa)) \\ & \quad - {}_{\omega}\Psi_{0+}^{\rho;\beta}(s(\varkappa)(\Phi - f_1(\varkappa))(f_1(\varkappa) - \phi)) {}_{\omega}\Psi_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha}r(\varkappa) \\ & \quad - {}_{\omega}\Psi_{0+}^{\rho;\beta}s(\varkappa) {}_{\omega}\Psi_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha}(r(\varkappa)(\Phi - f_1(\varkappa))(f_1(\varkappa) - \phi)) \end{aligned} \quad (3.43)$$

holds for all $\rho \in (0, 1]$, $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{C}$ with $\Re(\alpha), \Re(\beta) > 0$.

Proof. Multiplying both sides of (3.25) by $\frac{\exp[\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(\Psi(\varkappa)-\Psi(\mu))]r(\mu)\omega(\mu)\Psi'(\mu)}{\rho^{\beta}\Gamma(\beta)(\Psi(\varkappa)-\Psi(\mu))^{1-\beta}}$ and integrating the resulting inequality with respect to μ from 0 to \varkappa . Then, by multiplying with $\omega^{-1}(\varkappa)$ and in view of Definition 2.2, concludes

$$\begin{aligned} & (\Phi {}_{\omega}\Psi_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha}r(\varkappa) - {}_{\omega}\Psi_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha}(rf_1)(\varkappa))({}_{\omega}\Psi_{0+}^{\rho;\beta}(sf_1)(\varkappa) - \phi {}_{\omega}\Psi_{0+}^{\rho;\beta}s(\varkappa)) \\ & \quad + (\Phi {}_{\omega}\Psi_{0+}^{\rho;\beta}s(\varkappa) - {}_{\omega}\Psi_{0+}^{\rho;\beta}(sf_1)(\varkappa))({}_{\omega}\Psi_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha}(rf_1)(\varkappa) - \phi {}_{\omega}\Psi_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha}r(\varkappa)) \\ & \quad - {}_{\omega}\Psi_{0+}^{\rho;\beta}(s(\varkappa)(\Phi - f_1(\varkappa))(f_1(\varkappa) - \phi)) {}_{\omega}\Psi_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha}r(\varkappa) \\ & \quad - {}_{\omega}\Psi_{0+}^{\rho;\beta}s(\varkappa) {}_{\omega}\Psi_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha}(r(\varkappa)(\Phi - f_1(\varkappa))(f_1(\varkappa) - \phi)) \\ & \leq {}_{\omega}\Psi_{0+}^{\rho;\beta}(sf_1^2)(\varkappa) {}_{\omega}\Psi_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha}r(\varkappa) + {}_{\omega}\Psi_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha}(rf_1^2)(\varkappa) {}_{\omega}\Psi_{0+}^{\rho;\beta}s(\varkappa) \\ & \quad - 2 {}_{\omega}\Psi_{0+}^{\rho;\beta}(sf_1)(\varkappa) {}_{\omega}\Psi_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha}(rf_1)(\varkappa), \end{aligned} \quad (3.44)$$

which gives (3.43) and proves the lemma. \square

Theorem 3.9. Suppose two integrable functions f_1 and g_1 defined on $[0, \infty)$ satisfying the assertions **I, II** and (1.7) on $[0, \infty)$ and let two continuous function r and s defined on $[0, \infty)$. Then the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & ({}_{\omega}\Psi_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha}r(\varkappa) {}_{\omega}\Psi_{0+}^{\rho;\beta}(sf_1g_1)(\varkappa) + {}_{\omega}\Psi_{0+}^{\rho;\beta}s(\varkappa) {}_{\omega}\Psi_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha}(rf_1g_1)(\varkappa) \\ & \quad - {}_{\omega}\Psi_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha}(rf_1)(\varkappa) {}_{\omega}\Psi_{0+}^{\rho;\beta}(sg_1)(\varkappa) - {}_{\omega}\Psi_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha}(sf_1)(\varkappa) {}_{\omega}\Psi_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha}(rg_1)(\varkappa))^2 \\ & \leq \left\{ (\Phi {}_{\omega}\Psi_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha}r(\varkappa) - {}_{\omega}\Psi_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha}(rf_1)(\varkappa))({}_{\omega}\Psi_{0+}^{\rho;\beta}(sf_1)(\varkappa) - \phi {}_{\omega}\Psi_{0+}^{\rho;\beta}s(\varkappa)) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + ({}_{\omega}\Psi_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha}(rf_1)(\varkappa) - \phi {}_{\omega}\Psi_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha}r(\varkappa))(\Phi {}_{\omega}\Psi_{0+}^{\rho;\beta}s(\varkappa) - {}_{\omega}\Psi_{0+}^{\rho;\beta}(sf_1)(\varkappa)) \right\} \\ & \quad \times \left\{ (\Upsilon {}_{\omega}\Psi_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha}r(\varkappa) - {}_{\omega}\Psi_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha}(rg_1)(\varkappa))({}_{\omega}\Psi_{0+}^{\rho;\beta}(sg_1)(\varkappa) - \gamma {}_{\omega}\Psi_{0+}^{\rho;\beta}s(\varkappa)) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + ({}_{\omega}\Psi_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha}(rg_1)(\varkappa) - \gamma {}_{\omega}\Psi_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha}r(\varkappa))(\Upsilon {}_{\omega}\Psi_{0+}^{\rho;\beta}s(\varkappa) - {}_{\omega}\Psi_{0+}^{\rho;\beta}(sg_1)(\varkappa)) \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (3.45)$$

holds for all $\rho \in (0, 1]$, $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{C}$ with $\Re(\alpha), \Re(\beta) > 0$.

Proof. Since $(\Phi - f_1(\mu))(f_1(\mu) - \phi) \geq 0$ and $(\Upsilon - g_1(\mu))(g_1(\mu) - \gamma) \geq 0$, we have

$$- {}_{\omega}\Psi_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha}r(\varkappa) {}_{\omega}\Psi_{0+}^{\rho;\beta}(s(\varkappa)(\Phi - f_1(\varkappa))(f_1(\varkappa) - \phi)) - {}_{\omega}\Psi_{0+}^{\rho;\beta}s(\varkappa) {}_{\omega}\Psi_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha}(r(\varkappa)(\Phi - f_1(\varkappa))(f_1(\varkappa) - \phi)) \leq 0 \quad (3.46)$$

and

$$-\Psi_{\omega}^{\rho;\alpha} r(\varkappa) \Psi_{\omega}^{\rho;\beta} (s(\varkappa)(\Upsilon - g_1(\varkappa))(g_1(\varkappa) - \gamma)) - \Psi_{\omega}^{\rho;\beta} s(\varkappa) \Psi_{\omega}^{\rho;\alpha} (r(\varkappa)(\Upsilon - g_1(\varkappa))(g_1(\varkappa) - \gamma)) \leq 0. \quad (3.47)$$

Utilizing Lemma 3.8 to f_1 and g_1 , and utilizing Lemma 3.7 and the inequalities (3.46) and (3.47), yields (3.45). \square

Theorem 3.10. *Suppose two integrable functions f_1 and g_1 defined on $[0, \infty)$ satisfying the assertions **I, II** and (1.7) on $[0, \infty)$ and let two continuous function r and s defined on $[0, \infty)$. Then the inequality*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \Psi_{\omega}^{\rho;\alpha} r(\varkappa) \Psi_{\omega}^{\rho;\beta} (sf_1g_1)(\varkappa) + \Psi_{\omega}^{\rho;\beta} s(\varkappa) \Psi_{\omega}^{\rho;\alpha} (rf_1g_1)(\varkappa) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \Psi_{\omega}^{\rho;\alpha} (rf_1)(\varkappa) \Psi_{\omega}^{\rho;\beta} (sg_1)(\varkappa) - \Psi_{\omega}^{\rho;\alpha} (sf_1)(\varkappa) \Psi_{\omega}^{\rho;\alpha} (rg_1)(\varkappa) \right| \\ & \leq \Psi_{\omega}^{\rho;\alpha} r(\varkappa) \Psi_{\omega}^{\rho;\beta} s(\varkappa) (\Phi - \phi)(\Upsilon - \gamma) \end{aligned} \quad (3.48)$$

holds for all $\rho \in (0, 1]$, $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\Re(\alpha), \Re(\beta) > 0$.

Proof. Taking into consideration the assumption (1.7), we have

$$\left| f_1(\mu) - f_1(\nu) \right| \leq \Phi - \phi, \quad \left| g_1(\mu) - g_1(\nu) \right| \leq \Upsilon - \gamma, \quad \mu, \nu \in [0, \infty), \quad (3.49)$$

which implies that

$$\left| \mathfrak{I}(\mu, \nu) \right| = \left| f_1(\mu) - f_1(\nu) \right| \left| g_1(\mu) - g_1(\nu) \right| \leq (\Phi - \phi)(\Upsilon - \gamma). \quad (3.50)$$

From (3.42) and (3.50), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \Psi_{\omega}^{\rho;\alpha} r(\varkappa) \Psi_{\omega}^{\rho;\beta} (sf_1g_1)(\varkappa) + \Psi_{\omega}^{\rho;\beta} s(\varkappa) \Psi_{\omega}^{\rho;\alpha} (rf_1g_1)(\varkappa) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \Psi_{\omega}^{\rho;\alpha} (rf_1)(\varkappa) \Psi_{\omega}^{\rho;\beta} (sg_1)(\varkappa) - \Psi_{\omega}^{\rho;\alpha} (sf_1)(\varkappa) \Psi_{\omega}^{\rho;\alpha} (rg_1)(\varkappa) \right| \\ & \leq \frac{\omega^{-2}(\varkappa)}{\rho^{\alpha}\Gamma(\alpha)\rho^{\beta}\Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^{\varkappa} \int_0^{\varkappa} \frac{\exp[\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(\Psi(\varkappa) - \Psi(\mu))]r(\mu)\omega(\mu)\Psi'(\mu)}{(\Psi(\varkappa) - \Psi(\mu))^{1-\alpha}} \\ & \quad \times \frac{\exp[\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(\Psi(\varkappa) - \Psi(\nu))]s(\nu)\omega(\nu)\Psi'(\nu)}{(\Psi(\varkappa) - \Psi(\nu))^{1-\beta}} \mathfrak{I}(\mu, \nu) d\mu d\nu \\ & \leq \frac{\omega^{-2}(\varkappa)}{\rho^{\alpha}\Gamma(\alpha)\rho^{\beta}\Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^{\varkappa} \int_0^{\varkappa} \frac{\exp[\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(\Psi(\varkappa) - \Psi(\mu))]r(\mu)\omega(\mu)\Psi'(\mu)}{(\Psi(\varkappa) - \Psi(\mu))^{1-\alpha}} \\ & \quad \times \frac{\exp[\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(\Psi(\varkappa) - \Psi(\nu))]s(\nu)\omega(\nu)\Psi'(\nu)}{(\Psi(\varkappa) - \Psi(\nu))^{1-\beta}} ((\Phi - \phi)(\Upsilon - \gamma)) d\mu d\nu \\ & = \Psi_{\omega}^{\rho;\alpha} r(\varkappa) \Psi_{\omega}^{\rho;\beta} s(\varkappa) (\Phi - \phi)(\Upsilon - \gamma). \end{aligned} \quad (3.51)$$

This ends the proof. \square

Theorem 3.11. Suppose two integrable functions f_1 and g_1 defined on $[0, \infty)$ satisfying the assertions **I, II** and (1.7) on $[0, \infty)$ and let two continuous function r and s defined on $[0, \infty)$. Then the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha} r(\mathcal{X}) {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\beta} (sf_1g_1)(\mathcal{X}) + {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\beta} s(\mathcal{X}) {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha} (rf_1g_1)(\mathcal{X}) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha} (rf_1)(\mathcal{X}) {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\beta} (sg_1)(\mathcal{X}) - {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha} (sf_1)(\mathcal{X}) {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha} (rg_1)(\mathcal{X}) \right| \\ & \leq L \left({}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha} r(\mathcal{X}) {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\beta} (sg_1^2)(\mathcal{X}) + {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\beta} s(\mathcal{X}) {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha} (rg_1^2)(\mathcal{X}) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - 2 {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha} (rg_1)(\mathcal{X}) {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\beta} (sg_1)(\mathcal{X}) \right) \end{aligned} \quad (3.52)$$

holds for all $\rho \in (0, 1]$, $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\Re(\alpha), \Re(\beta) > 0$.

Proof. Taking into consideration the assumption (1.12), we have

$$\left| f_1(\mu) - f_1(\nu) \right| \leq L \left| g_1(\mu) - g_1(\nu) \right| \quad \mu, \nu \in [0, \infty), \quad (3.53)$$

which implies that

$$\left| \mathfrak{I}(\mu, \nu) \right| = \left| f_1(\mu) - f_1(\nu) \right| \left| g_1(\mu) - g_1(\nu) \right| \leq L(g_1(\mu) - g_1(\nu))^2. \quad (3.54)$$

From (3.42) and (3.54), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha} r(\mathcal{X}) {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\beta} (sf_1g_1)(\mathcal{X}) + {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\beta} s(\mathcal{X}) {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha} (rf_1g_1)(\mathcal{X}) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha} (rf_1)(\mathcal{X}) {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\beta} (sg_1)(\mathcal{X}) - {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha} (sf_1)(\mathcal{X}) {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha} (rg_1)(\mathcal{X}) \right| \\ & \leq \frac{\omega^{-2}(\mathcal{X})}{\rho^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha) \rho^\beta \Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^{\mathcal{X}} \int_0^{\mathcal{X}} \frac{\exp[\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(\Psi(\mathcal{X}) - \Psi(\mu))] r(\mu) \omega(\mu) \Psi'(\mu)}{(\Psi(\mathcal{X}) - \Psi(\mu))^{1-\alpha}} \\ & \quad \times \frac{\exp[\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(\Psi(\mathcal{X}) - \Psi(\nu))] s(\nu) \omega(\nu) \Psi'(\nu)}{(\Psi(\mathcal{X}) - \Psi(\nu))^{1-\beta}} \mathfrak{I}(\mu, \nu) d\mu d\nu \\ & \leq L \frac{\omega^{-2}(\mathcal{X})}{\rho^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha) \rho^\beta \Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^{\mathcal{X}} \int_0^{\mathcal{X}} \frac{\exp[\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(\Psi(\mathcal{X}) - \Psi(\mu))] r(\mu) \omega(\mu) \Psi'(\mu)}{(\Psi(\mathcal{X}) - \Psi(\mu))^{1-\alpha}} \\ & \quad \times \frac{\exp[\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(\Psi(\mathcal{X}) - \Psi(\nu))] s(\nu) \omega(\nu) \Psi'(\nu)}{(\Psi(\mathcal{X}) - \Psi(\nu))^{1-\beta}} (g_1(\mu) - g_1(\nu))^2 d\mu d\nu \\ & = L \left({}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha} r(\mathcal{X}) {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\beta} (sg_1^2)(\mathcal{X}) + {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\beta} s(\mathcal{X}) {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha} (rg_1^2)(\mathcal{X}) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - 2 {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha} (rg_1)(\mathcal{X}) {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\beta} (sg_1)(\mathcal{X}) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.55)$$

This ends the proof. \square

Theorem 3.12. Suppose two integrable functions f_1 and g_1 defined on $[0, \infty)$ satisfying the assertions **I, II** and the lipschitzian condition with the constants \mathcal{M}_1 and \mathcal{M}_2 and let two continuous function r and s defined on $[0, \infty)$. Then the inequality

$$\left| {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha} r(\mathcal{X}) {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\beta} (sf_1g_1)(\mathcal{X}) + {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\beta} s(\mathcal{X}) {}^{\Psi}\Omega_{0^+}^{\rho;\alpha} (rf_1g_1)(\mathcal{X}) \right.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| -\Psi_{\omega}^{\rho;\alpha}(rf_1)(\varkappa)\Psi_{\omega}^{\rho;\beta}(sg_1)(\varkappa) - \Psi_{\omega}^{\rho;\alpha}(sf_1)(\varkappa)\Psi_{\omega}^{\rho;\alpha}(rg_1)(\varkappa) \right| \\
& \leq \mathcal{M}_1\mathcal{M}_2\left(\Psi_{\omega}^{\rho;\alpha}r(\varkappa)\Psi_{\omega}^{\rho;\beta}(\varkappa^2s(\varkappa)) + \Psi_{\omega}^{\rho;\beta}s(\varkappa)\Psi_{\omega}^{\rho;\alpha}(\varkappa^2r(\varkappa))\right. \\
& \quad \left. - 2\Psi_{\omega}^{\rho;\alpha}(\varkappa r(\varkappa))\Psi_{\omega}^{\rho;\beta}(\varkappa s(\varkappa))\right)
\end{aligned} \tag{3.56}$$

holds for all $\rho \in (0, 1]$, $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{C}$ with $\Re(\alpha), \Re(\beta) > 0$.

Proof. By the given hypothesis, we have

$$|f_1(\mu) - f_1(\nu)| \leq \mathcal{M}_1|\mu - \nu| \quad |g_1(\mu) - g_1(\nu)| \leq \mathcal{M}_2|\mu - \nu| \quad \mu, \nu \in [0, \infty), \tag{3.57}$$

which implies that

$$|\mathfrak{I}(\mu, \nu)| = |f_1(\mu) - f_1(\nu)| |g_1(\mu) - g_1(\nu)| \leq \mathcal{M}_1\mathcal{M}_2(\mu - \nu)^2. \tag{3.58}$$

From (3.42) and (3.58), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \Psi_{\omega}^{\rho;\alpha}r(\varkappa)\Psi_{\omega}^{\rho;\beta}(sf_1g_1)(\varkappa) + \Psi_{\omega}^{\rho;\beta}s(\varkappa)\Psi_{\omega}^{\rho;\alpha}(rf_1g_1)(\varkappa) \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \Psi_{\omega}^{\rho;\alpha}(rf_1)(\varkappa)\Psi_{\omega}^{\rho;\beta}(sg_1)(\varkappa) - \Psi_{\omega}^{\rho;\alpha}(sf_1)(\varkappa)\Psi_{\omega}^{\rho;\alpha}(rg_1)(\varkappa) \right| \\
& \leq \frac{\omega^{-2}(\varkappa)}{\rho^\alpha\Gamma(\alpha)\rho^\beta\Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^\varkappa \int_0^\varkappa \frac{\exp[\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(\Psi(\varkappa) - \Psi(\mu))]r(\mu)\omega(\mu)\Psi'(\mu)}{(\Psi(\varkappa) - \Psi(\mu))^{1-\alpha}} \\
& \quad \times \frac{\exp[\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(\Psi(\varkappa) - \Psi(\nu))]s(\nu)\omega(\nu)\Psi'(\nu)}{(\Psi(\varkappa) - \Psi(\nu))^{1-\beta}} \mathfrak{I}(\mu, \nu) d\mu d\nu \\
& \leq L \frac{\omega^{-2}(\varkappa)}{\rho^\alpha\Gamma(\alpha)\rho^\beta\Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^\varkappa \int_0^\varkappa \frac{\exp[\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(\Psi(\varkappa) - \Psi(\mu))]r(\mu)\omega(\mu)\Psi'(\mu)}{(\Psi(\varkappa) - \Psi(\mu))^{1-\alpha}} \\
& \quad \times \frac{\exp[\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}(\Psi(\varkappa) - \Psi(\nu))]s(\nu)\omega(\nu)\Psi'(\nu)}{(\Psi(\varkappa) - \Psi(\nu))^{1-\beta}} (\mu - \nu)^2 d\mu d\nu \\
& = \mathcal{M}_1\mathcal{M}_2\left(\Psi_{\omega}^{\rho;\alpha}r(\varkappa)\Psi_{\omega}^{\rho;\beta}(\varkappa^2s(\varkappa)) + \Psi_{\omega}^{\rho;\beta}s(\varkappa)\Psi_{\omega}^{\rho;\alpha}(\varkappa^2r(\varkappa))\right. \\
& \quad \left. - 2\Psi_{\omega}^{\rho;\alpha}(\varkappa r(\varkappa))\Psi_{\omega}^{\rho;\beta}(\varkappa s(\varkappa))\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.59}$$

This ends the proof. □

Corollary 1. Let f_1 and g_1 be two differentiable functions on $[0, \infty)$ and let r and s be two non-negative continuous functions on $[0, \infty)$. Then the inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \Psi_{\omega}^{\rho;\alpha}r(\varkappa)\Psi_{\omega}^{\rho;\beta}(sf_1g_1)(\varkappa) + \Psi_{\omega}^{\rho;\beta}s(\varkappa)\Psi_{\omega}^{\rho;\alpha}(rf_1g_1)(\varkappa) \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \Psi_{\omega}^{\rho;\alpha}(rf_1)(\varkappa)\Psi_{\omega}^{\rho;\beta}(sg_1)(\varkappa) - \Psi_{\omega}^{\rho;\alpha}(sf_1)(\varkappa)\Psi_{\omega}^{\rho;\alpha}(rg_1)(\varkappa) \right| \\
& \leq \|f_1'\|_\infty \|g_1'\|_\infty \left(\Psi_{\omega}^{\rho;\alpha}r(\varkappa)\Psi_{\omega}^{\rho;\beta}(\varkappa^2s(\varkappa)) + \Psi_{\omega}^{\rho;\beta}s(\varkappa)\Psi_{\omega}^{\rho;\alpha}(\varkappa^2r(\varkappa)) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$-2 \left({}_{\omega}^{\Psi} \Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} (\varkappa r(\varkappa)) {}_{\omega}^{\Psi} \Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\beta} (\varkappa s(\varkappa)) \right) \quad (3.60)$$

holds for all $\rho \in (0, 1]$, $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\Re(\alpha), \Re(\beta) > 0$.

Proof. We have $f_1(\mu) - f_1(\nu) = \int_{\nu}^{\mu} f_1'(\varkappa) d\varkappa$ and $g_1(\mu) - g_1(\nu) = \int_{\nu}^{\mu} g_1'(\varkappa) d\varkappa$. That is, $|f_1(\mu) - f_1(\nu)| \leq \|f_1'\|_{\infty} |\mu - \nu|$, $|g_1(\mu) - g_1(\nu)| \leq \|g_1'\|_{\infty} |\mu - \nu|$, $\mu, \nu \in [0, \infty)$, and the immediate consequence follows from Theorem 3.12. This completes the proof. \square

Example 3.13. Let $\rho, \alpha > 0$, $q_1, q_2 > 1$ with $q_1^{-1} + q_2^{-1} = 1$, and $\omega \neq 0$ be a function on $[0, \infty)$. Let f_1 be an integrable function defined on $[0, \infty)$ and ${}_{\omega}^{\Psi} \Omega_{a_1+}^{\rho;\alpha} f_1$ be the weighted generalized proportional fractional integral operator satisfying assumption **II**. Then we have

$$\left| \left({}_{\omega}^{\Psi} \Omega_{a_1+}^{\rho;\alpha} f_1 \right) (\varkappa) \right| \leq \Theta \| (f_1 \circ \omega) (\mu) \|_{L_1(a_1, \varkappa)},$$

where

$$\Theta = \frac{\omega^{-1}(\varkappa)(-1)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left\{ \left(\frac{\rho}{q_1(\rho-1)} \right)^{\alpha-1+1/q_1} \right\}^{1/q_1} \Phi^{1/q_1} \left(q_1(\alpha-1) + 1, \frac{q_1(\rho-1)}{\rho} (\Psi(\varkappa) - \Psi(a_1)) \right)$$

and

$$\Phi(\alpha, \varkappa) = \int_0^{\varkappa} e^{-v} v^{\alpha-1} dv$$

is the incomplete gamma function [52, 53].

Proof. It follows from Definition 2.2 and the modulus property that

$$\left| \left({}_{\omega}^{\Psi} \Omega_{a_1+}^{\rho;\alpha} f_1 \right) (\varkappa) \right| \leq \frac{\omega^{-1}(\varkappa)}{\rho^{\alpha} \Gamma(\rho)} \int_{a_1}^{\varkappa} \frac{\exp\left[\frac{\rho-1}{\rho} (\Psi(\varkappa) - \Psi(\mu))\right]}{(\Psi(\varkappa) - \Psi(\mu))^{1-\alpha}} \Psi'(\mu) |f_1(\mu) \omega(\mu)| d\mu$$

for $\varkappa > a_1$.

Making use of the well-known Hölder inequality, we obtain

$$\left| \left({}_{\omega}^{\Psi} \Omega_{a_1+}^{\rho;\alpha} f_1 \right) (\varkappa) \right| \leq \frac{\omega^{-1}(\varkappa)}{\rho^{\alpha} \Gamma(\rho)} \left(\int_{a_1}^{\varkappa} \frac{q_1 \exp\left[\frac{\rho-1}{\rho} (\Psi(\varkappa) - \Psi(\mu))\right]}{(\Psi(\varkappa) - \Psi(\mu))^{q_1(1-\alpha)}} \Psi'(\mu) d\mu \right)^{1/q_1} \|f_1 \circ \omega(\mu)\|_{L_1(a_1, \varkappa)}.$$

Let $\theta = \Psi(\varkappa) - \Psi(\mu)$. Then elaborated computations lead to

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \left({}_{\omega}^{\Psi} \Omega_{a_1+}^{\rho;\alpha} f_1 \right) (\varkappa) \right| &\leq \frac{(-1)^{\alpha-1} \omega^{-1}(\varkappa)}{\rho^{\alpha} \Gamma(\alpha)} \left\{ \left(\frac{\rho}{q_1(\rho-1)} \right)^{\alpha-1+1/q_1} \right\}^{1/q_1} \\ &\quad \times \Phi^{1/q_1} \left(q_1(\alpha-1) + 1, \frac{q_1(\rho-1)}{\rho} (\Psi(\varkappa) - \Psi(a_1)) \right) \|f_1 \circ \omega(\mu)\|_{L_1(a_1, \varkappa)}. \end{aligned}$$

\square

4. Special cases

Here, we aim at present some new generalizations via weighted generalized proportional fractional, weighted generalized Riemann-Liouville and weighted Riemann-Liouville fractional integral operators, which are the new estimates of the main consequences.

Lemma 4.1. *Let f_1 and g_1 be two synchronous functions on $[0, \infty)$. Assume that Q and P be two non-negative continuous mappings on $[0, \infty)$. Then the inequality*

$$\begin{aligned} & \omega \Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha}(\mathcal{P})(\varkappa) \omega \Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha}(\mathcal{Q}f_1g_1)(\varkappa) + \omega \Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha}(\mathcal{P}f_1g_1)(\varkappa) \omega \Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha}(\mathcal{Q})(\varkappa) \\ & \geq \omega \Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha}(\mathcal{P}g_1)(\varkappa) \omega \Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha}(\mathcal{Q}f_1)(\varkappa) + \omega \Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha}(\mathcal{P}f_1)(\varkappa) \omega \Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha}(\mathcal{Q}g_1)(\varkappa), \end{aligned}$$

holds for all $\rho \in (0, 1]$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\Re(\alpha) > 0$.

Proof. Letting $\Psi(\varkappa) = \varkappa$ and Lemma 3.1 yields the proof of Lemma 4.1. \square

Lemma 4.2. *Let f_1 and g_1 be two synchronous functions on $[0, \infty)$. Assume that Q and P be two non-negative continuous mappings on $[0, \infty)$. Then the inequality*

$$\begin{aligned} & \omega \Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha}(\mathcal{P})(\varkappa) \omega \Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha}(\mathcal{Q}f_1g_1)(\varkappa) + \omega \Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha}(\mathcal{P}f_1g_1)(\varkappa) \omega \Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha}(\mathcal{Q})(\varkappa) \\ & \geq \omega \Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha}(\mathcal{P}g_1)(\varkappa) \omega \Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha}(\mathcal{Q}f_1)(\varkappa) + \omega \Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha}(\mathcal{P}f_1)(\varkappa) \omega \Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha}(\mathcal{Q}g_1)(\varkappa), \end{aligned}$$

holds for all $\rho \in (0, 1]$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\Re(\alpha) > 0$.

Proof. Letting $\Psi(\varkappa) = \varkappa$ and Lemma 3.1 yields the proof of Lemma 4.2. \square

Lemma 4.3. *Under the assumption of Lemma 3.1, then the inequality*

$$\begin{aligned} & \omega \Omega_{0+}^{\alpha}(\mathcal{P})(\varkappa) \omega \Omega_{0+}^{\alpha}(\mathcal{Q}f_1g_1)(\varkappa) + \omega \Omega_{0+}^{\alpha}(\mathcal{P}f_1g_1)(\varkappa) \omega \Omega_{0+}^{\alpha}(\mathcal{Q})(\varkappa) \\ & \geq \omega \Omega_{0+}^{\alpha}(\mathcal{P}g_1)(\varkappa) \omega \Omega_{0+}^{\alpha}(\mathcal{Q}f_1)(\varkappa) + \omega \Omega_{0+}^{\alpha}(\mathcal{P}f_1)(\varkappa) \omega \Omega_{0+}^{\alpha}(\mathcal{Q}g_1)(\varkappa), \end{aligned}$$

holds for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\Re(\alpha) > 0$.

Proof. Letting $\rho = 1$ and Lemma 3.1 yields the proof of Lemma 4.3. \square

Lemma 4.4. *Under the assumption of Lemma 4.2, then the inequality*

$$\begin{aligned} & \omega \Omega_{0+}^{\alpha}(\mathcal{P})(\varkappa) \omega \Omega_{0+}^{\alpha}(\mathcal{Q}f_1g_1)(\varkappa) + \omega \Omega_{0+}^{\alpha}(\mathcal{P}f_1g_1)(\varkappa) \omega \Omega_{0+}^{\alpha}(\mathcal{Q})(\varkappa) \\ & \geq \omega \Omega_{0+}^{\alpha}(\mathcal{P}g_1)(\varkappa) \omega \Omega_{0+}^{\alpha}(\mathcal{Q}f_1)(\varkappa) + \omega \Omega_{0+}^{\alpha}(\mathcal{P}f_1)(\varkappa) \omega \Omega_{0+}^{\alpha}(\mathcal{Q}g_1)(\varkappa), \end{aligned}$$

holds for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\Re(\alpha) > 0$.

Proof. Letting $\rho = 1$, $\Psi(\varkappa) = \varkappa$ and Lemma 3.1 yields the proof of Lemma 4.4. \square

Theorem 4.5. *Let f_1 and g_1 be two synchronous functions on $[0, \infty)$. Assume that r , s and t be three non-negative continuous functions on $[0, \infty)$. Then the inequality*

$$\begin{aligned} & 2 \omega \Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} r(\varkappa) \left(\omega \Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} s(\varkappa) \omega \Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} (tf_1g_1)(\varkappa) + \omega \Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} (sf_1g_1)(\varkappa) \omega \Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} t(\varkappa) \right) \\ & + 2 \omega \Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} (rf_1g_1)(\varkappa) \omega \Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} s(\varkappa) \omega \Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} t(\varkappa) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\geq \omega\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} r(\mathcal{X}) \left(\omega\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} (sg_1)(\mathcal{X}) \omega\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} (tf_1)(\mathcal{X}) + \omega\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} (sf_1)(\mathcal{X}) \omega\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} (tg_1)(\mathcal{X}) \right) \\ &\quad + \omega\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} s(\mathcal{X}) \left(\omega\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} (rg_1)(\mathcal{X}) \omega\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} (tf_1)(\mathcal{X}) + \omega\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} (rf_1)(\mathcal{X}) \omega\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} (tg_1)(\mathcal{X}) \right) \\ &\quad + \omega\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} s(\mathcal{X}) \left(\omega\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} (sg_1)(\mathcal{X}) \omega\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} (rf_1)(\mathcal{X}) + \omega\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} (sf_1)(\mathcal{X}) \omega\Omega_{0+}^{\rho;\alpha} (rg_1)(\mathcal{X}) \right) \end{aligned}$$

holds for all $\rho \in (0, 1]$, $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}$ with $\Re(\alpha) > 0$.

Proof. Letting $\Psi(\mathcal{X}) = \mathcal{X}$ and Theorem 3.2 yields the proof of Theorem 4.5. \square

Theorem 4.6. Under the assumption of **I, II** and let r, s and t be three non-negative continuous functions on $[0, \infty)$. Then the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} &2 \omega\Omega_{0+}^{\Psi} r(\mathcal{X}) \left(\omega\Omega_{0+}^{\Psi} s(\mathcal{X}) \omega\Omega_{0+}^{\Psi} (tf_1g_1)(\mathcal{X}) + \omega\Omega_{0+}^{\Psi} (sf_1g_1)(\mathcal{X}) \omega\Omega_{0+}^{\Psi} t(\mathcal{X}) \right) \\ &\quad + 2 \omega\Omega_{0+}^{\Psi} (rf_1g_1)(\mathcal{X}) \omega\Omega_{0+}^{\Psi} s(\mathcal{X}) \omega\Omega_{0+}^{\Psi} t(\mathcal{X}) \\ &\geq \omega\Omega_{0+}^{\Psi} r(\mathcal{X}) \left(\omega\Omega_{0+}^{\Psi} (sg_1)(\mathcal{X}) \omega\Omega_{0+}^{\Psi} (tf_1)(\mathcal{X}) + \omega\Omega_{0+}^{\Psi} (sf_1)(\mathcal{X}) \omega\Omega_{0+}^{\Psi} (tg_1)(\mathcal{X}) \right) \\ &\quad + \omega\Omega_{0+}^{\Psi} s(\mathcal{X}) \left(\omega\Omega_{0+}^{\Psi} (rg_1)(\mathcal{X}) \omega\Omega_{0+}^{\Psi} (tf_1)(\mathcal{X}) + \omega\Omega_{0+}^{\Psi} (rf_1)(\mathcal{X}) \omega\Omega_{0+}^{\Psi} (tg_1)(\mathcal{X}) \right) \\ &\quad + \omega\Omega_{0+}^{\Psi} s(\mathcal{X}) \left(\omega\Omega_{0+}^{\Psi} (sg_1)(\mathcal{X}) \omega\Omega_{0+}^{\Psi} (rf_1)(\mathcal{X}) + \omega\Omega_{0+}^{\Psi} (sf_1)(\mathcal{X}) \omega\Omega_{0+}^{\Psi} (rg_1)(\mathcal{X}) \right) \end{aligned}$$

holds for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}$ with $\Re(\alpha) > 0$.

Proof. Letting $\rho = 1$ and Theorem 3.2 yields the proof of Theorem 4.6. \square

Theorem 4.7. Under the assumption of Theorem 4.5, then the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} &2 \omega\Omega_{0+}^{\alpha} r(\mathcal{X}) \left(\omega\Omega_{0+}^{\alpha} s(\mathcal{X}) \omega\Omega_{0+}^{\alpha} (tf_1g_1)(\mathcal{X}) + \omega\Omega_{0+}^{\alpha} (sf_1g_1)(\mathcal{X}) \omega\Omega_{0+}^{\alpha} t(\mathcal{X}) \right) \\ &\quad + 2 \omega\Omega_{0+}^{\alpha} (rf_1g_1)(\mathcal{X}) \omega\Omega_{0+}^{\alpha} s(\mathcal{X}) \omega\Omega_{0+}^{\alpha} t(\mathcal{X}) \\ &\geq \omega\Omega_{0+}^{\alpha} r(\mathcal{X}) \left(\omega\Omega_{0+}^{\alpha} (sg_1)(\mathcal{X}) \omega\Omega_{0+}^{\alpha} (tf_1)(\mathcal{X}) + \omega\Omega_{0+}^{\alpha} (sf_1)(\mathcal{X}) \omega\Omega_{0+}^{\alpha} (tg_1)(\mathcal{X}) \right) \\ &\quad + \omega\Omega_{0+}^{\alpha} s(\mathcal{X}) \left(\omega\Omega_{0+}^{\alpha} (rg_1)(\mathcal{X}) \omega\Omega_{0+}^{\alpha} (tf_1)(\mathcal{X}) + \omega\Omega_{0+}^{\alpha} (rf_1)(\mathcal{X}) \omega\Omega_{0+}^{\alpha} (tg_1)(\mathcal{X}) \right) \\ &\quad + \omega\Omega_{0+}^{\alpha} s(\mathcal{X}) \left(\omega\Omega_{0+}^{\alpha} (sg_1)(\mathcal{X}) \omega\Omega_{0+}^{\alpha} (rf_1)(\mathcal{X}) + \omega\Omega_{0+}^{\alpha} (sf_1)(\mathcal{X}) \omega\Omega_{0+}^{\alpha} (rg_1)(\mathcal{X}) \right) \end{aligned}$$

holds for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}$ with $\Re(\alpha) > 0$.

Proof. Letting $\rho = 1$, $\Psi(\mathcal{X}) = \mathcal{X}$ and Theorem 3.2 yields the proof of Theorem 4.7. \square

Remark 5. The computed results lead to the following conclusion:

- (1) Setting $\rho = 1$, $\Psi(\mathcal{X}) = \mathcal{X}$ and $r(\mathcal{X}) = s(\mathcal{X}) = 1$, and using the relation (2.7), (2.8) and the assumption $\omega(\mathcal{X}) = 1$, then Theorem 3.6 and Theorem 3.9 reduces to the known results due to Dahmani et al. [38].
- (2) Setting $\rho = 1$, $\Psi(\mathcal{X}) = \mathcal{X}$ and using the relation (2.7), (2.8) and the assumption $\omega(\mathcal{X}) = 1$, then Theorem 3.10–3.12, and Corollary 1 reduces to the known results due to Dahmani et al. [38] and Dahmani [40], respectively.

5. Conclusions

A new generalized fractional integral operator is proposed in this paper. The novel investigation is used to generate novel weighted fractional operators in the Riemann-Liouville, generalized Riemann-Liouville, Hadamard, Katugampola, Generalized proportional fractional, generalized Hadamard proportional fractional and henceforth, which effectively alleviates the adverse effect of another function Ψ and proportionality index ρ . Utilizing the weighted generalized proportional fractional operator technique, we derived the analogous versions of the extended Chebyshev and Grüss type inequalities that improve the accuracy and efficiency of the proposed technique. Contemplating the Remark 2 and 3, several existing results can be identified in the literature. Some innovative particular cases constructed by this method are tested and analyzed for statistical theory, fractional Schrödinger equation [20, 21]. The results show that the method proposed in this paper can stably and efficiently generate integral inequalities for convexity with better operators performance, thus providing a reliable guarantee for its application in control theory [54].

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to express their sincere thanks to referees for improving the article and also thanks to Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 61673169) for providing financial assistance to support this research. The authors would like to express their sincere thanks to the support of Taif University Researchers Supporting Project Number (TURSP-2020/217), Taif University, Taif, Saudi Arabia.

References

1. R. Gorenflo, F. Mainardi, I. Podlubny, *Fractional differential equations*, Academic Press, 1999, 683–699.
2. R. Hilfer, *Applications of fractional calculus in physics*, Word Scientific, 2000.
3. A. Kilbas, H. M. Srivastava, J. J. Trujillo, *Theory and application of fractional differential equations*, elsevier, 2006.
4. R. L. Magin, *Fractional calculus in bioengineering*, Begell House, 2006.
5. S. G. Samko, A. A. Kilbas, O. I. Marichev, *Fractional integrals and derivatives: Theory and applications*, Gordon and Breach, Yverdon, 1993.
6. F. Jarad, T. Abdeljawad, D. Baleanu, Caputo-type modification of the Hadamard fractional derivative, *Adv. Differ. Equ.*, **2012** (2012), 1–8.
7. U. N. Katugampola, New approach to generalized fractional integral, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, **218** (2010), 860–865.

8. U. N. Katugampola, A new approach to generalized fractional derivatives, *Bull. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **6** (2014), 1–15.
9. F. Jarad, T. Abdeljawad, J. Alzabut, Generalized fractional derivatives generated by a class of local proportional derivatives, *Eur. Phys. J. Spec. Top.*, **226** (2017), 3457–3471.
10. S. S. Zhou, S. Rashid, S. Parveen, A. O. Akdemir, Z. Hammouch, New computations for extended weighted functionals within the Hilfer generalized proportional fractional integral operators, *AIMS Mathematics*, **6** (2021), 4507–4525.
11. M. Al-Qurashi, S. Rashid, S. Sultana, H. Ahmad, K. A. Gepreel, New formulation for discrete dynamical type inequalities via \hbar -discrete fractional operator pertaining to nonsingular kernel, *Math. Biosci. Eng.*, **18** (2021), 1794–1812. DOI: 10.3934/mbe.2021093.
12. Y. M. Chu, S. Rashid, J. Singh, A novel comprehensive analysis on generalized harmonically Ψ -convex with respect to Raina's function on fractal set with applications, *Math. Method. Appl. Sci.*, 2021, DOI: 10.1002/mma.7346.
13. S. Rashid, Y. M. Chu, J. Singh, D. Kumar, A unifying computational framework for novel estimates involving discrete fractional calculus approaches, *Alex. Eng. J.*, **60** (2021), 2677–2685.
14. S. Rashid, Z. Hammouch, R. Ashraf, Y. M. Chu, New computation of unified bounds via a more general fractional operator using generalized Mittag-Leffler function in the kernel, *Comp. Model. Eng.*, **126** (2021), 359–378.
15. O. P. Agrawal, Generalized Multiparameters fractional variational calculus, *Int. J. Differ. Equ.*, **2012** (2012), 1–38.
16. O. P. Agrawal, Some generalized fractional calculus operators and their applications in integral equations, *Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal.*, **15** (2012), 700–711.
17. M. Al-Refai, A. M. Jarrah, Fundamental results on weighted Caputo-Fabrizio fractional derivative, *Chaos Soliton. Fract.*, **126** (2019), 7–11.
18. M. Al-Refai, On weighted Atangana-Baleanu fractional operators, *Adv. Differ. Equ.*, **2020** (2020), 1–11.
19. F. Jarad, T. Abdeljawad, K. Shah, On the weighted fractional operators of a function with respect to another function, *Fractals*, **28** (2020), 2040011.
20. Y. Zhang, X. Xing Liu, M. R. Belic, W. Zhong, Y. P. Zhang, M. Xiao, Propagation Dynamics of a Light Beam in a Fractional Schrödinger Equation, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **115** (2015), 180403.
21. Y. Zhang, H. Zhong, M. R. Belic, Y. Zhu, W. P. Zhong, Y. Zhang, et al. PT symmetry in a fractional Schrödinger equation, *Laser Photonics Rev.*, **10** (2016), 526–531.
22. S. Belarbi, Z. Dahmani, On some new fractional integral inequalities, *J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math.*, **10** (2009), 1–12.
23. S. I. Butt, A. O. Akdemir, M. Y. Bhatti, M. Nadeem, New refinements of Chebyshev-Polya-Szego-type inequalities via generalized fractional integral operators, *J. Inequal. Appl.*, **2020** (2020), 1–13.
24. S. Rashid, F. Jarad, H. Kalsoom, Y. M. Chu, On Polya-Szego and Cebysev type inequalities via generalized k-fractional integrals, *Adv. Differ. Equ.*, **2020** (2020), 1–18.

25. E. Set, Z. Dahmani, İ. Mumcu, New extensions of Chebyshev type inequalities using generalized Katugampola integrals via Polya-Szego inequality, *IJOCTA*, **8** (2018), 137–144.
26. Z. Dahmani, New inequalities in fractional integrals, *Int. J. Nonlinear Sci.*, **9** (2010), 493–497.
27. V. Chinchane, D. Pachpatte, On some integral inequalities using Hadamard fractional integral, *J. Mat.*, **1** (2012), 62–66.
28. K. Brahim, S. Taf, On some fractional q -integral inequalities, *J. Mat.*, **3** (2013), 21–26.
29. S. B. Chen, S. Rashid, M. A. Noor, R. Ashraf, Y. M. Chu, A new approach on fractional calculus and probability density function, *AIMS Mathematics*, **5** (2020), 7041–7054.
30. P. L. Chebyshev, Sur les expressions approximatives des integrales definies par les autres prises entre les mmes limites, *Proc. Math. Soc. Charkov*, **2** (1882), 93–98.
31. G. Grüss, Uber das Maximum des absoluten Betrages von $\frac{1}{b_1-a_1} \int_{a_1}^{b_1} f_1(x)g_1(x)dx \leq \left(\frac{1}{b_1-a_1}\right)^2 \int_{a_1}^{b_1} f_1(x)dx \int_{a_1}^{b_1} g_1(x)dx$, *Math. Z.*, **39** (1935), 215–226.
32. D. S. Mitrinovic, J. E. Pecaric, A. M. Fink, *Classical and new inequalities in analysis*, Springer, Dordrecht, 1993.
33. S. Rashid, T. Abdeljawad, F. Jarad, M. A. Noor, Some estimates for generalized Riemann-Liouville fractional integrals of exponentially convex functions and their applications, *Mathematics*, **7** (2019), 807.
34. T. H. Zhao, M. K. Wang, Y. M. Chu, A sharp double inequality involving generalized complete elliptic integral of the first kind, *AIMS Mathematics*, **5** (2020), 4512–4528.
35. M. Adil Khan, J. E. Pecaric, Y. M. Chu, Refinements of Jensen's and McShane's inequalities with applications, *AIMS Mathematics*, **5** (2020), 4931–4945.
36. S. S. Dragomir, Quasi Grüss type inequalities for continuous functions of selfadjoint operators in Hilbert spaces, *Filomat*, **27** (2013), 277–289.
37. S. S. Dragomir, Some integral inequalities of Grüss type, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, **4** (1998), 397–415.
38. Z. Dahmani, L. Tabharit, S. Taf, New generalisations of Grüss inequality using Riemann-Liouville fractional integrals, *Bull. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **2** (2010), 93–99.
39. Z. Dahmani, A. Benzidane, New weighted Grüss type inequalities via (α, β) fractional q -integral inequalities, *IJIAS*, **1** (2012), 76–83.
40. Z. Dahmani, Some results associate with fractional integrals involving the extended Chebyshev functional, *Acta Univ. Apulens*, **27** (2011), 217–224
41. Z. Dahmani, L. Tabharit, S. Taf, New results using fractional integrals, *Journal of Interdisciplinary Mathematics*, **13** (2010), 601–606.
42. E. Set, M. Tomar, M. Z. Sarikaya, On generalized Grüss type inequalities for k -fractional integrals, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, **269** (2015), 29–34.

43. S. B. Chen, S. Rashid, M. A. Noor, Z. Hammouch, Y. M. Chu, New fractional approaches for n -polynomial p -convexity with applications in special function theory, *Adv. Differ. Equ.*, **2020** (2020), 1–31.
44. T. Abdeljawad, S. Rashid, Z. Hammouch, İ. İşcan, Y. M. Chu, Some new Simpson-type inequalities for generalized p -convex function on fractal sets with applications, *Adv. Differ. Equ.*, **2020** (2020), 1–26.
45. F. Jarad, T. Abdeljawad, S. Rashid, Z. Hammouch, More properties of the proportional fractional integrals and derivatives of a function with respect to another function, *Adv. Differ. Equ.*, **2020** (2020), 1–16.
46. S. Rashid, F. Jarad, M. A. Noor, H. Kalsoom, Y. M. Chu, Inequalities by means of generalized proportional fractional integral operators with respect to another function, *Mathematics*, **7** (2019), 1225.
47. F. Jarad, M. A. Alqudah, T. Abdeljawad, On more generalized form of proportional fractional operators, *Open Math.*, **18** (2020), 167–176.
48. F. Jarad, T. Abdeljawad, J. Alzabut, Generalized fractional derivatives generated by a class of local proportional derivatives, *Eur. Phys. J. Spec. Top.*, **226** (2017), 3457–3471.
49. G. Rahman, T. Abdeljawad, F. Jarad, A. Khan, K. S. Nisar, Certain inequalities via generalized proportional Hadamard fractional integral operators, *Adv. Differ. Equ.*, **2019** (2019), 1–10.
50. T. U. Khan, M. Adil Khan, Generalized conformable fractional operators, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.*, **346** (2019), 378–389.
51. F. Jarad, E. Ugurlu, T. Abdeljawad, D. Baleanu, On a new class of fractional operators, *Adv. Differ. Equ.*, **2017** (2017), 1–16.
52. G. J. O. Jameson, The incomplete gamma functions, *The Mathematical Gazette*, **100** (2016), 298–306.
53. N. N. Lebedev, *Special functions and their applications* Prentice-Hall, INC. Englewood Cliffs, 1965.
54. D. R. Anderson, D. J. Ulness, Newly defined conformable derivatives, *Adv. Dyn. Syst. Appl.*, **10** (2015), 109–137.



AIMS Press

©2021 the Author(s), licensee AIMS Press. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>)