

## FIXED POINT RESULTS IN $\varepsilon$ -CHAINABLE COMPLETE $b$ -METRIC SPACES

C. CHIFU\*, E. KARAPINAR\*\* AND G. PETRUȘEL\*\*\*

\*Babeș-Bolyai University, Department of Business  
Horea Street, No. 7, Cluj-Napoca, Romania  
E-mail: Cristian.Chifu@tbs.ubbcluj.ro

\*\* Cankaya University, Department of Mathematics, Ankara, Turkey and  
China Medical University, Department of Medical Research, 40402 Taichung, Taiwan  
E-mail: erdalkarapinar@yahoo.com

\*\*\*Babeș-Bolyai University, Department of Business  
Horea Street, No. 7, Cluj-Napoca, Romania  
E-mail: gabi.petrusel@tbs.ubbcluj.ro

**Abstract.** The purpose of this paper is to present some fixed point results in  $\varepsilon$ -chainable complete  $b$ -metric spaces that are inspired from famous result of Edelstein, published in 1961.

**Key Words and Phrases:**  $\varepsilon$ -chainable space,  $\varepsilon$ -uniformly locally,  $\varphi$ -contractive mappings, fixed point, coupled fixed point.

**2010 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 47H10, 54H25.

### 1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

The method of "successive approximations" has been perfectly abstracted by Banach to express his significant fixed point theorem: Every contraction  $f$  on a complete metric space  $(X, d)$  possesses a unique fixed point. Edelstein [8] refined the contraction definition and proposed the notion of "globally contractive" and "locally contractive". In particular, we say that a self-mapping  $f$ , on a metric space  $(X, d)$ , is called *globally contractive* if

$$d(f(p), f(q)) \leq \lambda d(p, q), \quad (1.1)$$

for all  $p, q \in X$ , where  $0 \leq \lambda < 1$ . In addition,  $f$  is *locally contractive* if, for every  $x \in X$ , there exist  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $0 \leq \lambda < 1$ , which may depend on  $x$ , such that

$$p, q \in S(x, \varepsilon) = \{y \in X \mid d(x, y) < \varepsilon\} \quad (1.2)$$

implies (1.1). Furthermore,  $f$  is  $(\varepsilon, \lambda)$ -*uniformly contractive* if, it is locally contractive and both,  $\varepsilon$  and  $\lambda$ , are not depending on  $x$ .

The following notion is crucial for our own purposes:

**Definition 1.1.** ([8]) A metric space  $X$  is called  $\varepsilon$ -chainable if  $\varepsilon > 0$  and for every  $a, b \in X$ , there exists an  $\varepsilon$ -chain, i.e., a finite set of points  $a = x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n = b$  ( $n$  may depend on both  $a$  and  $b$ ) such that  $d(x_{i-1}, x_i) < \varepsilon$ , ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ).

In what follow we recall the main result of Edelstein [8].

**Theorem 1.1.** ([8]) *Let  $f$  be a self-mapping on a complete  $\varepsilon$ -chainable metric space. If  $f$  is an  $(\varepsilon, \lambda)$ -uniformly locally contractive mapping, then, it possesses a unique fixed point.*

One of the basic goal of this paper is to obtain a characterization of Edelstein's result in the context of  $b$ -metric spaces.

We, first, recollect the definition of  $b$ -metric that was considered by several authors, including Bakhtin [2] and Czerwik [7]. See also [17].

**Definition 1.2.** Let  $X$  be a nonempty set and let  $s \geq 1$  be a given real number. A functional  $d : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is said to be a  $b$ -metric with constant  $s$ , if

- (1)  $d$  is symmetric, that is,  $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ ;
- (2)  $d$  is self-distance, that is,  $d(x, y) = 0$  if and only if  $x = y$ ;
- (3)  $d$  provides  $s$ -weighted triangle inequality, that is

$$d(x, z) \leq s[d(x, y) + d(y, z)], \text{ for all } x, y, z \in X.$$

In this case the triple  $(X, d, s)$  is called a  $b$ -metric space with constant  $s$ .

It is evident that the notions of  $b$ -metric and standard metric coincide in case of  $s = 1$ . For more details on  $b$ -metric spaces see e.g. [1, 3, 4, 5, 10, 11, 12] and corresponding references therein.

**Example 1.1.** Let  $X = [0, \infty)$  and  $d : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  such that

$$d(x, y) = |x - y|^p, \quad p > 1.$$

It is easy to see that  $d$  is a  $b$ -metric with  $s = 2^p$ , but is not a metric.

**Definition 1.3.** A mapping  $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is called a comparison function if it is increasing and  $\varphi^n(t) \rightarrow 0$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , for any  $t \in [0, \infty)$ .

**Lemma 1.1.** ([4]) *If  $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is a comparison function, then:*

- (1) each iterate  $\varphi^k$  of  $\varphi$ ,  $k \geq 1$ , is also a comparison function;
- (2)  $\varphi$  is continuous at 0;
- (3)  $\varphi(t) < t$ , for any  $t > 0$ .

**Definition 1.4.** ([4]) A function  $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is said to be a  $c$ -comparison function if

- (1)  $\varphi$  is increasing;
- (2) there exists  $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $a \in (0, 1)$  and a convergent series of nonnegative terms  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} v_k$  such that  $\varphi^{k+1}(t) \leq a\varphi^k(t) + v_k$ , for  $k \geq k_0$  and any  $t \in [0, \infty)$ .

For related results see [16].

In order to give some fixed point results to the class of  $b$ -metric spaces, the notion of  $c$ -comparison function was extended to  $b$ -comparison function by V. Berinde [5].

**Definition 1.5.** ([5]) Let  $s \geq 1$  be a real number. A mapping  $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is called a  $b$ -comparison function if the following conditions are fulfilled:

- (1)  $\varphi$  is monotone increasing;
- (2) there exist  $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $a \in (0, 1)$  and a convergent series of nonnegative terms  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} v_k$  such that  $s^{k+1}\varphi^{k+1}(t) \leq as^k\varphi^k(t) + v_k$ , for  $k \geq k_0$  and any  $t \in [0, \infty)$ .

The following lemma is very important in the proof of our results.

**Lemma 1.2.** ([5]) *If  $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is a  $b$ -comparison function, then we have the following conclusions:*

- (1) *the series  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} s^k\varphi^k(t)$  converges for any  $t \in [0, \infty)$ ;*
- (2) *the function  $S_b : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  defined by  $S_b(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} s^k\varphi^k(t)$ ,  $t \in [0, \infty)$ , is increasing and continuous at 0.*

**Remark 1.1.** Due to the Lemma 1.2., any  $b$ -comparison function is a comparison function.

2.  $\varepsilon$ -UNIFORMLY LOCAL  $\alpha - \varphi$ -CONTRACTIVE MAPPINGS

In this section, we will consider the  $\alpha$ -admissible mapping on  $\varepsilon$ -chainable  $b$ -metric spaces.

**Definition 2.1.** ([18]) Let  $X$  be a nonempty set,  $f : X \rightarrow X$  be an operator and  $\alpha : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ . We say that  $f$  is  $\alpha$ -admissible if

$$x, y \in X, \alpha(x, y) \geq 1 \Rightarrow \alpha(f(x), f(y)) \geq 1.$$

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a  $b$ -metric space with constant  $s \geq 1$ ,  $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a  $b$ -comparison function and  $\alpha : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be an operator. A mapping  $f : X \rightarrow X$  is said to be locally  $\alpha - \varphi$ -contractive if for every  $x \in X$ , there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$ , which may depend on  $x$ , such that

$$p, q \in S(x, \varepsilon) = \{y \in X | d(x, y) < \varepsilon\} \tag{2.1}$$

implies that

$$\alpha(p, q)d(f(p), f(q)) \leq \varphi(d(p, q)), \text{ for every } p, q \in X.$$

**Definition 2.3.** In the above context, a mapping  $f : X \rightarrow X$  is said to be  $\varepsilon$ -uniformly local  $\alpha - \varphi$ -contractive mapping if it is locally  $\alpha - \varphi$ -contractive mapping and  $\varepsilon$  do not depend on  $x$ .

**Remark 2.1.** If  $f : X \rightarrow X$  satisfies the Banach contraction principle, then  $f$  is a locally  $\alpha - \varphi$ -contractive mapping, where  $\alpha(x, y) = 1$ , for all  $x, y \in X$  and  $\varphi(t) = kt$ , for all  $t \geq 0$  and some  $k \in [0, 1)$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete  $\varepsilon$ -chainable  $b$ -metric space with constant  $s \geq 1$ ,  $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a  $b$ -comparison function and  $\alpha : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ . Let  $f : X \rightarrow X$  be an  $\alpha$ -admissible mapping which has closed graph with respect to  $d$ . Suppose that*

(i) there exists an element  $x_0 \in X$  such that there exists an  $\varepsilon$ -chain  $x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}$  from  $x_0$  to  $x_n = f(x_0)$  with  $\alpha(x_i, x_{i+1}) \geq 1$ , for  $i \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$ ;

(ii)  $f$  is  $\varepsilon$ -uniformly local  $\alpha - \varphi$ -contractive mapping.

Then  $f$  has at least one fixed point.

*Proof.* Due to the statement (i) of the theorem, there exists an element  $x_0 \in X$  for which there exists an  $\varepsilon$ -chain  $x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}$  from  $x_0$  to  $x_n = f(x_0)$  with  $\alpha(x_i, x_{i+1}) \geq 1$  for  $i \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$ . Since  $f$  is  $\alpha$ -admissible, we have that  $\alpha(f(x_i), f(x_{i+1})) \geq 1$  for  $i \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$ .

Regarding that the space is  $\varepsilon$ -chainable, we observe that

$$d(x_{i-1}, x_i) < \varepsilon, \text{ for all } i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}.$$

Taking into account that  $\varphi$  is non-decreasing, we find that

$$\varphi(d(x_{i-1}, x_i)) \leq \varphi(\varepsilon), \text{ for all } i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}.$$

On the other hand, since  $f$  is  $\alpha$ -admissible, we can easily derive that

$$\alpha(f^m(x_i), f^m(x_{i+1})) \geq 1, \text{ for all } m \in \mathbb{N}, \text{ and for all } i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}.$$

Furthermore, keeping in mind that  $f$  is a  $\varepsilon$ -uniformly local  $\alpha - \varphi$ -contractive mapping, for each  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(f(x_{i-1}), f(x_i)) &\leq \alpha(x_{i-1}, x_i) d(f(x_{i-1}), f(x_i)) \\ &\leq \varphi(d(x_{i-1}, x_i)) \leq \varphi(\varepsilon), \text{ for all } i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}. \end{aligned}$$

Iteratively, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d(f^2(x_{i-1}), f^2(x_i)) &\leq \alpha(f(x_{i-1}), f(x_i)) d(f^2(x_{i-1}), f^2(x_i)) \\ &\leq \varphi(d(f(x_{i-1}), f(x_i))) \leq \varphi^2(\varepsilon). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we derive that

$$d(f^m(x_{i-1}), f^m(x_i)) \leq \varphi^m(\varepsilon), \text{ for each } m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

On account of the axiom of  $s$ -weighted triangle inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(f^m(x_0), f^{m+1}(x_0)) &= d(f^m(x_0), f^m(x_n)) \\ &\leq s d(f^m(x_0), f^m(x_1)) + \dots + s^n d(f^m(x_{n-1}), f^m(x_n)) \\ &\leq (s + s^2 + \dots + s^n) \varphi^m(\varepsilon) \leq \gamma_s \varphi^m(\varepsilon), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\gamma_s = (s + s^2 + \dots + s^n)$ .

We shall prove that  $(f^i(x_0))_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a Cauchy sequence. Let  $j$  and  $k$ , with  $j < k$ , positive integers. Then, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} d(f^j(x_0), f^k(x_0)) &\leq sd(f^j(x_0), f^{j+1}(x_0)) + \dots + s^{k-j}d(f^{k-1}(x_0), f^k(x_0)) \\ &\leq (s\gamma_s\varphi^j(\varepsilon) + s^2\gamma_s\varphi^{j+1}(\varepsilon) + \dots + s^{k-j}\gamma_s\varphi^{k-1}(\varepsilon)) \\ &\leq \gamma_s(s\varphi^j(\varepsilon) + s^2\varphi^{j+1}(\varepsilon) + \dots + s^{k-j}\varphi^{k-1}(\varepsilon)) \\ &= \gamma_s \frac{1}{s^{j-1}} \sum_{i=j}^{k-1} s^i \varphi^i(\varepsilon) = \gamma_s \frac{1}{s^{j-1}} (S_{k-1} - S_{j-1}) \\ &\leq \gamma_s \frac{1}{s^{j-1}} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} s^i \varphi^i(\varepsilon), \end{aligned}$$

where  $S_k = \sum_{i=0}^k s^i \varphi^i(\varepsilon)$ . Hence, we have

$$d(f^j(x_0), f^k(x_0)) \leq \gamma_s \frac{1}{s^{j-1}} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} s^i \varphi^i(\varepsilon) \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } j \rightarrow \infty.$$

Finally, we conclude that  $(f^i(x_0))_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a Cauchy sequence and by the completeness of the space we have that there exists  $x^*(x_0) \in X$  such that  $x^*(x_0) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} f^i(x_0)$ .

Since  $f$  has a closed graph, we have that  $x^*(x_0)$  is a fixed point for  $f$ . □

**Remark 2.2.** If we suppose, in the above theorem, that for every  $x^*, y^* \in \text{Fix}(f)$  we have that  $\alpha(x^*, y^*) \geq 1$ , then  $x^* = y^*$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that there exists  $y^* \in X$  with  $x^* \neq y^*$ , such that  $f(y^*) = y^*$  and  $\alpha(x^*, y^*) \geq 1$ . Let us consider  $x^* = x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k = y^*$  an  $\varepsilon$ -chain. We have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &< d(x^*, y^*) = d(f(x^*), f(y^*)) = d(f^m(x^*), f^m(y^*)) \\ &= d(f^m(x_0), f^m(x_k)) \leq \gamma_s \varphi^m(\varepsilon) \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have a contradiction and hence  $x^* = y^*$ . □

**Example 2.1.** Let  $X = [0, \infty]$  and  $d(x, y) = (x - y)^2$ . Then  $(X, d)$  is a  $b$ -metric space with the constant  $s = 4$ .

Let  $f : X \rightarrow X$  be given by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{7}{24}, & x \in [0, \frac{1}{2}] \\ \frac{x}{x+1}, & x \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1] \\ \frac{5}{4}, & x > 1 \end{cases}, \quad \varphi(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{t}{2}, & t \in [0, 1] \\ \frac{1}{2}, & t > 1 \end{cases}$$

and  $\alpha : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty), \alpha(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1, & x \in [0, 1] \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

We have that:

- It is obvious that  $f : X \rightarrow X$  is an  $\alpha$ -admissible mapping which has closed graph with respect to  $d$ .

- There exists an element  $x_0 \in X$  such that there exists an  $\varepsilon$ -chain  $x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}$  from  $x_0$  to  $x_n = f(x_0)$  with  $\alpha(x_i, x_{i+1}) \geq 1$ , for  $i \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$ .

Case 1.  $x \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$ .

Let  $x_0 = \frac{1}{3}, x_1 = \dots = x_{n-1} = \frac{1}{6}, x_n = f(x_0) = \frac{7}{24}$  and let  $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2}$ .

It is obvious that  $d(x_i, x_{i+1}) < \frac{1}{2}$  and  $\alpha(x_i, x_{i+1}) \geq 1$ , for  $i \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$ .

Case 2.  $x \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ .

Let  $x_0 = 1, x_1 = \dots = x_{n-1} = \frac{2}{3}, x_n = f(x_0) = \frac{1}{2}$  and let  $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2}$ .

It is obvious that  $d(x_i, x_{i+1}) < \frac{1}{2}$  and  $\alpha(x_i, x_{i+1}) \geq 1$ , for  $i \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$ .

Case 3.  $x > 1$ .

Let  $x_0 = \frac{3}{2}, x_1 = \dots = x_{n-1} = \frac{4}{3}, x_n = f(x_0) = \frac{5}{4}$  and let  $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2}$ .

It is obvious that  $d(x_i, x_{i+1}) < \frac{1}{2}$  and  $\alpha(x_i, x_{i+1}) \geq 1$ , for  $i \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$ .

- $f$  is  $\varepsilon$ -uniformly local  $\alpha$ - $\varphi$ -contractive mapping.

Since  $\alpha(x, y) = 1$ , for all  $x, y \in [0, 1]$ , we have to prove that

$$d(f(x), f(y)) \leq \varphi(d(x, y)), \text{ for all } x, y \in [0, 1].$$

Case 1.  $x \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$ .

$$d(f(x), f(y)) = 0 \leq \varphi(d(x, y)), \text{ for all } x, y \in [0, \frac{1}{2}).$$

Case 2.  $x \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ .

$$d(f(x), f(y)) = \frac{d(x, y)}{(x+1)^2(y+1)^2} \leq \frac{d(x, y)}{\frac{81}{16}} \leq \varphi(d(x, y)), \text{ for all } x, y \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1].$$

Case 3.  $x > 1$ .

$$d(f(x), f(y)) = 0 \leq \varphi(d(x, y)), \text{ for all } x, y > 1.$$

### 3. $(\varepsilon, \lambda)$ -UNIFORMLY LOCALLY CONTRACTIVE MAPPINGS

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a  $b$ -metric space with constant  $s \geq 1$  and  $f : X \rightarrow X$ . We say that  $f$  is globally contractive with constant  $\lambda$ , if  $0 \leq \lambda < \frac{1}{s}$  and the condition

$$d(f(p), f(q)) \leq \lambda d(p, q), \tag{3.1}$$

holds for every  $p, q \in X$ .

**Definition 3.2.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a  $b$ -metric space with constant  $s \geq 1$  and  $f : X \rightarrow X$ . We say that  $f$  is locally contractive if for every  $x \in X$ , there exist  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $0 \leq \lambda < \frac{1}{s}$ , which may depend on  $x$ , such that

$$p, q \in S(x, \varepsilon) = \{y \in X | d(x, y) < \varepsilon\} \tag{3.2}$$

implies (3.1).

**Definition 3.3.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a  $b$ -metric space with constant  $s \geq 1$  and  $f : X \rightarrow X$ . We say that  $f$  is  $(\varepsilon, \lambda)$ -uniformly locally contractive if it is locally contractive and both  $\varepsilon$  and  $\lambda$  are not depending on  $x$ .

**Remark 3.1.** If  $f : X \rightarrow X$  is an  $(\varepsilon, \lambda)$ -uniformly locally contractive mapping, then  $f$  is continuous.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $X$  be a complete  $\varepsilon$ -chainable  $b$ -metric space with constant  $s \geq 1$  and  $f : X \rightarrow X$  be a  $(\varepsilon, \lambda)$ -uniformly locally contractive mapping.*

*Then, the following conclusions hold:*

- (i)  *$f$  is a Picard operator, i.e., there exists a unique fixed point  $x^* \in X$  of  $f$  and, for every  $x \in X$  the sequence  $(f^j(x))_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges to  $x^*$ , as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ ;*
- (ii) *for every  $x \in X$  we have the following estimation*

$$d(f^j(x), x^*) \leq \frac{s^3 \varepsilon \lambda^j}{(s-1)(1-s\lambda)}, \text{ for each } j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

*Proof.* (i) According to Remark 3.1,  $f$  is continuous so, it is enough to consider in Theorem 2.1. the particular expressions  $\alpha(x, y) = 1$ , for all  $x, y \in X$  and  $\varphi(t) = \lambda t$ ,  $t \in [0, \infty)$ . Thus  $f$  is a Picard operator.

(ii) Let  $x \in X$  be arbitrary chosen and let us consider the  $\varepsilon$ -chain

$$x = x_0 \cdot x_1, \dots, x_n = f(x).$$

$$d(x, f(x)) \leq d(x_0, x_n) \leq sd(x_0, x_1) + s^2d(x_1, x_2) + \dots + s^nd(x_{n-1}, x_n).$$

Now, for every pair of consecutive points in the  $\varepsilon$ -chain, we have  $d(x_{i-1}, x_i) < \varepsilon$  and hence

$$d(x, f(x)) < (s + s^2 + \dots + s^n) \varepsilon = \gamma_s \varepsilon.$$

Since  $f$  is  $(\varepsilon, \lambda)$ -uniformly locally contractive, we have

$$d(f(x_{i-1}), f(x_i)) \leq \lambda d(x_{i-1}, x_i) < \lambda \varepsilon.$$

By induction, we obtain

$$d(f^m(x_{i-1}), f^m(x_i)) < \lambda^m \varepsilon, \text{ for every } m \in \mathbb{N}^*.$$

We have

$$d(f^m(x), f^{m+1}(x)) = d(f^m(x_0), f^m(x_n)) < \gamma_s \lambda^m \varepsilon.$$

Let  $j$  and  $k$  with  $j < k$  be positive integers.

$$d(f^j(x), f^k(x)) < \gamma_s \lambda^j \varepsilon \left(1 + s\lambda + \dots + (s\lambda)^{k-j-1}\right).$$

If we take  $k = j + p$ , with  $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , then, for every  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , we get that

$$d(f^j(x), f^{j+p}(x)) < \gamma_s \frac{\lambda^j \varepsilon s}{1 - s\lambda} \leq \frac{s^2 \varepsilon \lambda^j}{(s-1)(1-s\lambda)}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} d(f^j(x), x^*) &\leq s(d(f^j(x), f^{j+p}(x)) + d(f^{j+p}(x), x^*)) \\ &\leq \frac{s^3 \varepsilon \lambda^j}{(s-1)(1-s\lambda)} + sd(f^{j+p}(x), x^*) \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $p \rightarrow \infty$  we get

$$d(f^j(x), x^*) \leq \frac{s^3 \varepsilon \lambda^j}{(s-1)(1-s\lambda)}, \text{ for each } j \in \mathbb{N}. \quad \square$$

Concerning the data dependence problem for the fixed point problem with  $(\varepsilon, \lambda)$ -uniformly locally contractive mappings, we can make the following remark.

**Remark 3.2.** Consider  $g : X \rightarrow X$  a mapping having at least one fixed point  $y^*$  and there exists  $\eta > 0$  such that  $d(f(x), g(x)) \leq \eta$ , for every  $x \in X$ . Then, by Theorem 3.1., we have

$$d(f^j(y^*), x^*) \leq \frac{s^3 \varepsilon \lambda^j}{(s-1)(1-s\lambda)}, \text{ for each } j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Thus

$$d(y^*, x^*) \leq s(d(g(y^*), f(y^*)) + d(f(y^*), x^*)) \leq s\eta + \frac{s^4 \varepsilon \lambda}{(s-1)(1-s\lambda)}.$$

We notice that, when  $\eta \searrow 0$  (i.e.  $g$  tends to  $f$ ), then

$$d(y^*, x^*) \leq \frac{s^4 \varepsilon \lambda}{(s-1)(1-s\lambda)},$$

which shows that we cannot get (at least by this method) data dependence for the unique fixed point of an  $(\varepsilon, \lambda)$ -uniformly locally contractive mapping on a complete  $\varepsilon$ -chainable  $b$ -metric space.

#### 4. $\varepsilon$ -UNIFORMLY ORDERED LOCALLY $\varphi$ -CONTRACTIVE MAPPINGS

In this section, we will consider the case of ordered  $\varepsilon$ -chainable  $b$ -metric spaces.

**Definition 4.1.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a  $b$ -metric space with constant  $s \geq 1$  and " $\preceq$ " be a partial order on  $X$ . A mapping  $f : X \rightarrow X$  is said to be ordered locally  $\varphi$ -contractive if, for every  $x \in X$ , there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$ , which may depend on  $x$ , such that

$$p, q \in S(x, \varepsilon) = \{y \in X \mid d(x, y) < \varepsilon\} \quad (4.1)$$

implies that

$$d(f(p), f(q)) \leq \varphi(d(p, q)), \text{ for every } p, q \in X \text{ with } p \preceq q \text{ or } q \preceq p.$$

**Definition 4.2.** In the above context, a mapping  $f : X \rightarrow X$  is said to be  $\varepsilon$ -uniformly ordered locally  $\varphi$ -contractive if it is ordered locally  $\varphi$ -contractive and  $\varepsilon$  does not depend on  $x$ .

In the case of a  $\varepsilon$ -chainable  $b$ -metric space endowed with a partially order " $\preceq$ ", we can prove the following Ran-Reurings type theorem.

**Theorem 4.1.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete  $\varepsilon$ -chainable  $b$ -metric space with constant  $s \geq 1$ . Suppose that  $X$  is endowed with a partial order " $\preceq$ ". Let  $f : X \rightarrow X$  be a mapping which has closed graph with respect to  $d$  and it is increasing with respect to " $\preceq$ ". Suppose that there exist a  $b$ -comparison function  $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  and an element  $x_0 \in X$  such that:

(i)  $x_0 \preceq f(x_0)$  or  $f(x_0) \preceq x_0$  and there exists an  $\varepsilon$ -chain  $x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}$  from  $x_0$  to  $x_n = f(x_0)$  such that every two consecutive elements of the chain are comparable with respect to " $\preceq$ ";

(ii)  $f$  is  $\varepsilon$ -uniformly ordered locally  $\varphi$ -contractive.

Then  $f$  has at least one fixed point.



*Proof.* Define the mapping  $\alpha : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  by

$$\alpha(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \preceq y \text{ or } y \preceq x, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Clearly,  $f$  is a  $\varepsilon$ -uniformly local  $\alpha - \varphi$ -contractive mapping, that is,

$$\alpha(p, q)d(f(p), f(q)) \leq \varphi(d(p, q)), \text{ for every } p, q \in X.$$

From condition (i), we have  $\alpha(x_0, f(x_0)) \geq 1$ . Moreover, for all  $x, y \in X$ , from the monotone property of  $f$ , we have

$$\alpha(x, y) \geq 1 \implies x \preceq y \text{ or } y \preceq x \implies fx \preceq fy \text{ or } fy \preceq fx \implies \alpha(fx, fy) \geq 1.$$

Thus  $f$  is  $\alpha$ -admissible and we can apply Theorem 2.1. □

**Remark 4.1.** If, in the above theorem, additionally, we assume that for every elements  $x, y \in X$  there exists an  $\varepsilon$ -chain such that every two consecutive elements are comparable, then the fixed point is unique. Indeed, suppose that there exists  $y^* \in X$  with  $x^* \neq y^*$ , such that  $f(y^*) = y^*$ . Let us consider  $x^* = x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k = y^*$  be an  $\varepsilon$ -chain, such that  $x_{i-1}$  and  $x_i$  are comparable, for  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ . Then,  $d(x_{i-1}, x_i) < \varepsilon$  and

$$d(f^m(x_{i-1}), f^m(x_i)) \leq \varphi^m(\varepsilon), \text{ for every } i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\} \text{ and } m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < d(x^*, y^*) &= d(f(x^*), f(y^*)) = d(f^m(x^*), f^m(y^*)) \\ &= d(f^m(x_0), f^m(x_k)) < (s + s^2 + \dots + s^k) \varphi^m(\varepsilon) \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have a contradiction. Hence  $x^* = y^*$ .

### 5. APPLICATIONS TO THE COUPLED FIXED POINT PROBLEM

In this section, we'll give an application of Theorem 4.1. for coupled fixed points. Our result extends some results given in [14, 13, 15]. In this respect we need several auxiliary notions.

**Definition 5.1.** ([9]) Let  $(X, \preceq)$  be a partially ordered set and let  $T : X \times X \rightarrow X$  be a mapping. We say that  $T$  has the mixed monotone property if  $T(\cdot, y)$  is monotone increasing for any  $y \in X$  and  $T(x, \cdot)$  is monotone decreasing for any  $x \in X$ .

**Definition 5.2.** If  $(X, d)$  is a  $b$ -metric space and  $T : X \times X \rightarrow X$  is an operator, then by definition, a coupled fixed point for  $T$  is a pair  $(x^*, y^*) \in X \times X$  satisfying

$$\begin{cases} x^* = T(x^*, y^*) \\ y^* = T(y^*, x^*) \end{cases} \tag{5.1}$$

Let us define

$$\tilde{d}((x, y), (u, v)) = \max \{d(x, u), d(y, v)\}. \tag{5.2}$$

**Remark 5.1.** It is easy to see that if  $(X, d)$  is a  $b$ -metric space with constant  $s \geq 1$ , then  $\tilde{d}$  is a  $b$ -metric on  $X \times X$ , with the same constant  $s \geq 1$  and  $(X \times X, \tilde{d})$  is a  $b$ -metric space.

**Lemma 5.1.** *If  $(X, d)$  is an  $\varepsilon$ -chainable  $b$ -metric space, the  $(X \times X, \tilde{d})$  is an  $\varepsilon$ -chainable  $b$ -metric space, too.*

*Proof.* From Remark 5.1 we have that  $(X \times X, \tilde{d})$  is a  $b$ -metric space.

Let  $(x, y), (u, v) \in X \times X$ . We must show that there exists an  $\varepsilon$ -chain

$$(x, y) = (x_0, y_0), (x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_n, y_n) = (u, v)$$

such that  $\tilde{d}((x_{i-1}, y_{i-1}), (x_i, y_i)) < \varepsilon$ , for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ .

For  $x$  and  $u$ , since the space  $X$  is  $\varepsilon$ -chainable, there exist  $x = x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n = u$ , such that  $d(x_{i-1}, x_i) < \varepsilon$ , for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ .

For  $y$  and  $v$ , since the space  $X$  is  $\varepsilon$ -chainable, there exist  $y = y_0, y_1, \dots, y_n = v$ , such that  $d(y_{i-1}, y_i) < \varepsilon$ , for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ .

Suppose  $n \geq m$ . We have the following two cases:

Case 1. For  $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ , we have

$$\tilde{d}((x_{i-1}, y_{i-1}), (x_i, y_i)) = \max \{d(x_{i-1}, x_i), d(y_{i-1}, y_i)\} < \varepsilon.$$

Case 2. For  $j \in \{m + 1, \dots, n - 1\}$  and  $y_{m+1} = y_{m+2} = \dots = y_n = v$ , we consider

$$\tilde{d}((x_j, y_j), (x_{j+1}, y_{j+1})) = \max \{d(x_j, x_{j+1}), d(y_j, y_{j+1})\} < \max \{\varepsilon, 0\} = \varepsilon.$$

It follows that  $(X \times X, \tilde{d})$  is an  $\varepsilon$ -chainable  $b$ -metric space. □

**Definition 5.3.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a  $b$ -metric space,  $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a  $b$ -comparison function and  $T : X \times X \rightarrow X$  be a given operator. We say that  $T$  is globally  $\varphi$ -contractive, if

$$d(T(x, y), T(u, v)) \leq \varphi \left( \tilde{d}((x, y), (u, v)) \right), \text{ for all } (x, y), (u, v) \in X \times X. \quad (5.3)$$

**Definition 5.4.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a  $b$ -metric space,  $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a  $b$ -comparison function and " $\preceq$ " be a partial order on  $X$ . A mapping  $T : X \times X \rightarrow X$  is said to be *order locally*  $\varphi$ -contractive, if for every  $(x, y) \in X \times X$ , there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$ , which may depend on  $x$  and  $y$ , such that

$$(s, t), (u, v) \in S((x, y), \varepsilon) = \left\{ (p, q) \in X \times X \mid \tilde{d}((x, y), (p, q)) < \varepsilon \right\} \quad (5.4)$$

implies that

$$d(T(s, t), T(u, v)) \leq \varphi \left( \tilde{d}((s, t), (u, v)) \right), \text{ for every } s \preceq u \text{ and } v \preceq t. \quad (5.5)$$

**Definition 5.5.** In the above context, a mapping  $T : X \times X \rightarrow X$  is said to be  $\varepsilon$ -uniformly ordered locally  $\varphi$ -contractive if it is ordered locally  $\varphi$ -contractive and  $\varepsilon$  does not depend on  $x$  and  $y$ .

**Theorem 5.1.** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete  $\varepsilon$ -chainable  $b$ -metric space with constant  $s \geq 1$ . suppose that  $X$  is endowed with a partial order " $\preceq$ ". Let  $T : X \times X \rightarrow X$  be an operator with closed graph which has the mixed monotone property on  $X \times X$ . Assume that the following conditions are satisfied:*

(i) *there exists  $(x_0, y_0) \in X \times X$  with  $x_0 \preceq T(x_0, y_0)$  and  $T(y_0, x_0) \preceq y_0$  such that there exists an  $\varepsilon$ -chain  $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n = T(x_0, y_0)$ , such that every two consecutive*

elements of the chain are comparable with respect to " $\preceq$ ", and there exists an  $\varepsilon$ -chain  $y_0, y_1, \dots, y_n = T(y_0, x_0)$ , such that every two consecutive elements of the chain are comparable with respect to " $\preceq$ ";

(ii)  $T$  is  $\varepsilon$ -uniformly ordered locally  $\varphi$ -contractive.

Then, there exists  $(x^*(x_0, y_0), y^*(x_0, y_0)) \in X \times X$  a solution of the coupled fixed point problem (5.1) such that the sequences  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, (y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $X$  defined by

$$\begin{cases} x_{n+1} = T(x_n, y_n) \\ y_{n+1} = T(y_n, x_n) \end{cases}, \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

have the property that  $x_n \rightarrow x^*(x_0, y_0), y_n \rightarrow y^*(x_0, y_0)$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Moreover, for every pair  $(x, y) \in X \times X$  with  $x \preceq x_0, y_0 \preceq y$ , we have that  $T^n(x, y) \rightarrow x^*(x_0, y_0)$  and  $T^n(y, x) \rightarrow y^*(x_0, y_0)$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

*Proof.* We denote  $Z = X \times X$  and consider the functional  $\tilde{d} : Z \times Z \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ , defined by

$$\tilde{d}((x, y), (u, v)) = \max\{d(x, u), d(y, v)\}.$$

Let  $F_T : Z \rightarrow Z$  be an operator given by

$$F_T(x, y) = (T(x, y), T(y, x)), \text{ for all } (x, y) \in Z.$$

We shall prove that  $F$  verifies the conditions of Theorem 5.1.

By (i) and Lemma 5.1. we have that  $(x_0, y_0) \preceq (T(x_0, y_0), T(y_0, x_0))$  and there exists an  $\varepsilon$ -chain  $(x_0, y_0), (x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_n, y_n) = (T(x_0, y_0), T(y_0, x_0))$  such that  $x_{i-1} \preceq x_i, y_i \preceq y_{i-1}$  (or reversely).

We shall prove that  $F_T$  is  $\varepsilon$ -uniformly ordered locally  $\varphi$ -contractive

Let  $(x, y), (u, v) \in Z$  with  $x \preceq u, v \preceq y$  (or reversely).

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{d}(F_T(x, y), F_T(u, v)) &= \tilde{d}((T(x, y), T(y, x)), (T(u, v), T(v, u))) \\ &= \max\{d(T(x, y), T(u, v)), d(T(y, x), T(v, u))\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $T$  is  $\varepsilon$ -uniformly ordered locally  $\varphi$ -contractive, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{d}(F_T(x, y), F_T(u, v)) &\leq \max\{\varphi(\max\{d(x, u), d(y, v)\}), \varphi(\max\{d(y, v), d(x, u)\})\} \\ &= \varphi(\max\{d(x, u), d(y, v)\}) = \varphi(\tilde{d}((x, y), (u, v))). \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 4.1 we obtain that there exists  $(x^*(x_0, y_0), y^*(x_0, y_0)) \in Z$  such that

$$F_T(x^*(x_0, y_0), y^*(x_0, y_0)) = (x^*(x_0, y_0), y^*(x_0, y_0)),$$

and

$$F_T^n(x_0, y_0) \rightarrow (x^*(x_0, y_0), y^*(x_0, y_0)), \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

We have

$$\begin{cases} x^*(x_0, y_0) = T(x^*(x_0, y_0), y^*(x_0, y_0)) \\ y^*(x_0, y_0) = T(y^*(x_0, y_0), x^*(x_0, y_0)) \end{cases}$$

and because

$$F_T^n(x_0, y_0) = (T^n(x_0, y_0), T^n(y_0, x_0)),$$

we obtain that  $T^n(x_0, y_0) \rightarrow x^*(x_0, y_0)$  and  $T^n(y_0, x_0) \rightarrow y^*(x_0, y_0)$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] H. Aydi, M-F.Bota, E. Karapinar, S. Moradi, *A common fixed point theorem for weak phi-contractions on b-metric spaces*, Fixed Point Theory, **13**(2012), 337-346.
- [2] I.A. Bakhtin, *The contraction mapping principle in quasimetric spaces*, Functional Analysis, **30**(1989), 26-37.
- [3] V. Berinde, *Generalized contractions in quasimetric spaces*, Seminar on Fixed Point Theory, Preprint no.3 (1993), 3-9.
- [4] V. Berinde, *Contrații generalizate și aplicații*, Editura Club Press 22, Baia Mare, 1997.
- [5] V. Berinde, *Sequences of operators and fixed points in quasimetric spaces*, Stud. Univ. Babeș-Bolyai, Math., **16**(1996), 23-27.
- [6] M. Bota, C. Chifu, E. Karapinar, *Fixed point theorems for generalized (alpha-psi)-Ciric-type contractive multivalued operators in b-metric spaces*, J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl., **9**(2016), 1165-1177.
- [7] S. Czerwik, *Nonlinear set-valued contraction mappings in b-metric spaces*, Atti Sem. Mat. Univ. Modena, **46**(1998), 263-276.
- [8] M. Edelstein, *An extension of Banach's contraction principle*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **12**(1961), 7-10.
- [9] T. Gnana Bhaskar, V. Lakshmikantham, *Fixed point theorems in partially ordered metric spaces and applications*, Nonlinear Anal., **65**(2006), 1379-1393.
- [10] E. Karapinar, *A short survey on the recent fixed point results on b-metric spaces*, Constructive Math. Anal., **1**(2018), 15-44.
- [11] E. Karapinar, A. Fulga, *New hybrid contractions on b-metric spaces*, Mathematics 2019, 7, 578.
- [12] E. Karapinar, F. Khojasteh, Z.D. Mitrović, *A proposal for revisiting Banach and Caristi type theorems in b-metric spaces*, Mathematics **7**(2019), 308.
- [13] A. Petrușel, G. Petrușel, *A study of a general system of operator equations in b-metric spaces via the vector approach in fixed point theory*, J. Fixed Point Theory Appl., **19**(2017), no. 3, 1793-1814.
- [14] A. Petrușel, G. Petrușel, B. Samet, *A study of the coupled fixed point problem for operators satisfying a max-symmetric condition in b-metric spaces with applications to a boundary value problem*, Miskolc Math. Notes, **17**(2016), no. 1, 501-516.
- [15] A. Petrușel, G. Petrușel, Yi-Bin Xiao, J.-C. Yao, *Fixed point theorems for generalized contractions with applications to coupled fixed point theory*, J. Nonlinear Convex Anal., **19**(2018), no. 1, 71-88.
- [16] I.A. Rus, *Generalized Contractions and Applications*, Transilvania Press, Cluj-Napoca, 2001.
- [17] I.A. Rus, A. Petrușel, G. Petrușel, *Fixed Point Theory*, Cluj University Press, 2008.
- [18] B. Samet, C. Vetro, P. Vetro, *Fixed point theorems for  $\alpha - \psi$ -contractive type mappings*, Nonlinear Anal., **75**(2012), 2154-2165.

*Received: November 13, 2019; Accepted: January 10, 2020.*