International Mathematical Forum, 2, 2007, no. 17, 803 - 811

Coincidence and Fixed Points of Non-expansive Type Mappings on 2-Metric Spaces

R. K. Namdeo and Sweetee Dubey

Department of Mathematics Dr. Hari Singh Gour Vishwavidyalaya Sagar (M.P), 470003, India rkn_math@yahoo.com, dubey_sweetee@rediffmail.com

Kenan Tas

Çankaya University Department of Mathematics and Computer Science Ankara, Turkey kenan@cankaya.edu.tr

Abstract

In this paper a unique coincidence value is obtained for a class of self mappings satisfying non-expansive type condition on 2-metric spaces under various conditions and a fixed point theorem is also obtained.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 54H25

Keywords: Coincidence point, Fixed point, Non-expansive type map, 2metric space

INTRODUCTION

The concept of 2-metric space has been introduced and studied by Gahler ([2] - [4]). A number of authors have studied the contractive and contraction type mapping in 2-metric spaces. Recently, S.L.Singh et al. [5] proved a fixed point theorem in 2-metric space for non-expansive type mappings.

Gahler defined 2-metric space as follows:

A 2-metric on a set X with at least three points is a non-negative real-valued mapping d: $X \ge X \ge \Re$ satisfying the following properties: (G₁) To each pair of points a, b with $a \neq b$ in X there is a point $c \in X$ such that $d(a, b, c) \neq 0$ $(G_2) \ d(a, b, c) = 0$, if at least two of the points are equal $(G_3) \ d(a, b, c) = d(b, c, a) = d(a, c, b)$ $(G_4) \ d(a, b, c) \leq d(a, b, u) + d(a, u, c) + d(u, b, c)$ for all $a, b, c, u \in X$ The pair (X, d) is called a 2-metric space.

The sequence $\{x_n\}$ is convergent to $x \in X$ and x is the limit of this sequence if $\lim_{n \to \infty} d(x_n, x, u) = 0$ for each $u \in X$.

A sequence $\{x_n\}$ is called Cauchy sequence if $\lim_{n,m\to\infty} d(x_n, x_m, u) = 0$ for all $u \in X$. A 2-metric space in which every Cauchy sequence convergent is called complete.

Let f and g be two self maps of a 2-metric space (X, d). Then, f and g are said to be compatible if $\lim_{n\to\infty} d(fgx_n, gfx_n, u) = 0$ for each $u \in X$, whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} fx_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} gx_n = t \in X$.

A map $T: X \to X$ is said to be non-expansive if $d(Tx, Ty, u) \leq d(x, y, u)$ for all $x, y, u \in X$. The non-expansive type definition used herein is an extension of that of Ciric [1](see also [6]).

In [5], the following result is obtained:

Theorem 1. Let (X, d) be a 2-metric space and $T : X \to X$ satisfying the following non-expansive type condition:

$$d(Tx, Ty, u) \leq a \max\{d(x, y, u), d(x, Tx, u), d(y, Ty, u), \\ \frac{1}{2} \quad [d(x, Ty, u) + d(y, Tx, u)]\} + b \max\{d(x, Tx, u), d(y, Ty, u)\} \\ + \quad c[d(x, Ty, u) + d(y, Tx, u)]$$
(1)

for all $x, y, u \in X$ where a, b, c are real numbers such that a + b + 2c = 1 and $a \ge 0, b > 0, c > 0$. Then T has a unique fixed point and T is continuous at the fixed point.

We now prove the following result and that our condition (2) includes the above condition (1) of S.L.Singh et al. [5].

Theorem 2. Let (X, d) be a 2-metric space.Let T, f be self maps of X satisfying

$$d(Tx, Ty, u) \leq a(x, y)d(fx, fy, u) + b(x, y) \max\{d(fx, Tx, u), d(fy, Ty, u)\} + c(x, y)[d(fx, Ty, u) + d(fy, Tx, u)]$$
(2)

where $a(x, y) \ge 0$, $\beta = \inf b(x, y) > 0$, $\gamma = \inf c(x, y) > 0$ and $\sup[a(x, y) + b(x, y) + 2c(x, y)] = 1, x, y \in X$. With $T(X) \subseteq f(X)$ and either (a) X is complete and f is surjective, or, (b) X is complete, f is continuous and T and f are compatible , or, (c) f(X) is complete , or, (d) T(X) is complete. Then f and T have a coincidence point in X.Further, the coincidence value is unique, that is $f_p = f_q$ whenever $f_p = T_p$ and $f_q = T_q$; $p, q \in X$. **Proof.**Let $x = x_0$ be an arbitrary point in X.Since $T(X) \subseteq f(X)$ choose x_1 so that $y_1 = fx_1 = Tx_0$. In general , choose x_{n+1} such that $y_{n+1} = fx_{n+1} = Tx_n$ for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ On applying inequality (2) and taking $a(x_n, x_{n+1}) = a, b(x_n, x_{n+1}) = b, c(x_n, x_{n+1}) = c$ we get

$$d(fx_{n+2}, fx_{n+1}, fx_n) = d(Tx_{n+1}, Tx_n, fx_n) \le a \ d(fx_n, fx_{n+1}, fx_n) + b \ \max\{d(fx_n, Tx_n, fx_n), d(fx_{n+1}, Tx_{n+1}, fx_n)\} + c \ [d(fx_n, Tx_{n+1}, fx_n) + d(fx_{n+1}, Tx_n, fx_n)] = b \ d(fx_{n+1}, Tx_{n+1}, fx_n) = b \ d(fx_{n+2}, fx_{n+1}, fx_n)$$

Therefore we obtained $d(fx_{n+2}, fx_{n+1}, fx_n) \leq b \ d(fx_{n+2}, fx_{n+1}, fx_n)$. This implies that $(1-b) \ d(fx_{n+2}, fx_{n+1}, fx_n) \leq 0$. Since 1-b > 0 we get,

$$d(fx_{n+2}, fx_{n+1}, fx_n) = 0.$$
(3)

On applying inequality (2) again and using (G_4) and (3), we get,

$$\begin{aligned} d(Tx_n, Tx_{n+1}, u) &\leq a \ d(fx_n, fx_{n+1}, u) \\ &+ b \ \max\{d(fx_n, Tx_n, u), d(fx_{n+1}, Tx_{n+1}, u)\} \\ &+ c \ [d(fx_n, Tx_{n+1}, u) + d(fx_{n+1}, Tx_n, u)] \\ &= a \ d(fx_n, Tx_n, u) \\ &+ b \ \max\{d(fx_n, Tx_n, u), d(fx_{n+1}, Tx_{n+1}, u)\} \\ &+ c \ d(fx_n, Tx_{n+1}, u) \\ &= a \ d(fx_n, Tx_n, u) \\ &+ b \ \max\{d(fx_n, Tx_n, u), d(fx_{n+1}, Tx_{n+1}, u)\} \end{aligned}$$

$$+ c \left[d(fx_n, Tx_{n+1}, Tx_n) + d(fx_n, Tx_n, u) + d(Tx_{n+1}, Tx_n, u) \right] = a d(fx_n, Tx_n, u) + b \max\{d(fx_n, Tx_n, u), d(fx_{n+1}, Tx_{n+1}, u)\} + c \left[d(fx_n, Tx_n, u) + d(fx_{n+1}, Tx_{n+1}, u) \right]$$
(4)

Suppose that for some n, $d(fx_{n+1}, Tx_{n+1}, u) > d(fx_n, Tx_n, u)$ then we have from inequality (4),

$$d(fx_{n+1}, Tx_{n+1}, u) = d(Tx_n, Tx_{n+1}, u) < a \ d(fx_{n+1}, Tx_{n+1}, u) + b \ d(fx_{n+1}, Tx_{n+1}, u) + c \ [d(fx_{n+1}, Tx_{n+1}, u) + d(fx_{n+1}, Tx_{n+1}, u)] = (a + b + 2c) \ d(fx_{n+1}, Tx_{n+1}, u) \leq d(fx_{n+1}, Tx_{n+1}, u)$$

a contradiction, hence we must have, $d(fx_{n+1},Tx_{n+1},u) \leq d(fx_n,Tx_n,u) \ , \ \text{equivalently},$

$$d(Tx_n, Tx_{n+1}, u) \le d(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n, u)$$
(5)

On applying inequality (2) and evaluating a, b, c at (x_{n-1}, x_n) ,

$$d(y_n, y_{n+1}, u) = d(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n, u) \le a \ d(fx_{n-1}, fx_n, u) + b \ \max\{d(fx_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}, u), d(fx_n, Tx_n, u)\} + c[d(fx_{n-1}, Tx_n, u) + d(fx_n, Tx_{n-1}, u)] = a \ d(Tx_{n-2}, Tx_{n-1}, u) + b \ d(Tx_{n-2}, Tx_{n-1}, u) + c \ d(Tx_{n-2}, Tx_n, u)$$
(6)

On applying inequality (2) again, and using (3), (5) and (G_4) , we get

$$\begin{aligned} d(Tx_{n-2}, Tx_n, u) &\leq \bar{a}d(fx_{n-2}, fx_n, u) \\ &+ \bar{b} \max\{d(fx_{n-2}, Tx_{n-2}, u), d(fx_n, Tx_n, u)\} \\ &+ \bar{c} \left[d(fx_{n-2}, Tx_n, u) + d(fx_n, Tx_{n-2}, u)\right] \\ &= \bar{a} d(Tx_{n-3}, Tx_{n-1}, u) \\ &+ \bar{b} \max\{d(Tx_{n-3}, Tx_{n-2}, u), d(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n, u)\} \\ &+ \bar{c} \left[d(Tx_{n-3}, Tx_n, u) + d(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_{n-2}, u)\right] \\ &\leq \bar{a} \left[d(Tx_{n-3}, Tx_{n-2}, Tx_{n-1}) + d(Tx_{n-3}, Tx_{n-2}, u)\right] \\ &+ d(Tx_{n-2}, Tx_{n-1}, u) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &+ \bar{b} \max\{d(Tx_{n-3}, Tx_{n-2}, u), d(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n, u)\} \\ &+ \bar{c} \left[d(Tx_{n-3}, Tx_{n-2}, Tx_n) + d(Tx_{n-3}, Tx_{n-2}, u) \right] \\ &+ d(Tx_{n-2}, Tx_n, u) + d(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_{n-2}, u)] \\ &\leq \bar{a} \left[d(Tx_{n-3}, Tx_{n-2}, Tx_{n-1}) + d(Tx_{n-3}, Tx_{n-2}, u) \right. \\ &+ d(Tx_{n-2}, Tx_{n-1}, u)\right] \\ &+ \bar{b} \max\{d(Tx_{n-3}, Tx_{n-2}, Tx_{n-1}) + d(Tx_{n-3}, Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n) \right. \\ &+ c \left[d(Tx_{n-2}, Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n) + d(Tx_{n-3}, Tx_{n-2}, u) \right. \\ &+ d(Tx_{n-2}, Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n) + d(Tx_{n-3}, Tx_{n-2}, u) \\ &+ d(Tx_{n-2}, Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n) + d(Tx_{n-2}, Tx_{n-1}, u) \\ &+ d(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_{n-2}, u)\right] \\ &= \bar{a} \left[d(Tx_{n-3}, Tx_{n-2}, u) + d(Tx_{n-2}, Tx_{n-1}, u)\right] \\ &+ \bar{b} \max\{d(Tx_{n-3}, Tx_{n-2}, u) + d(Tx_{n-3}, Tx_{n-2}, u) \\ &+ d(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_{n-2}, u)\right] \\ &\leq \bar{a} \left[d(Tx_{n-3}, Tx_{n-2}, u) + d(Tx_{n-3}, Tx_{n-2}, u)\right] \\ &+ \bar{b} \max\{d(Tx_{n-3}, Tx_{n-2}, u) + d(Tx_{n-3}, Tx_{n-2}, u)\right] \\ &+ \bar{b} \max\{d(Tx_{n-3}, Tx_{n-2}, u) + d(Tx_{n-3}, Tx_{n-2}, u) \\ &+ d(Tx_{n-3}, Tx_{n-2}, u) + d(Tx_{n-3}, Tx_{n-2}, u) \\ &+ d(Tx_{n-3}, Tx_{n-2}, u) + d(Tx_{n-3}, Tx_{n-2}, u) \\ &+ d(Tx_{n-3}, Tx_{n-2}, u) + b d(Tx_{n-3}, Tx_{n-2}, u) \\ &+ d(Tx_{n-3}, Tx_{n-2}, u) + b d(Tx_{n-3}, Tx_{n-2}, u) \\ &+ 4 \bar{c} d(Tx_{n-3}, Tx_{n-2}, u) + (Tx_{n-3}, Tx_{n-2}, u) \\ &+ 4 \bar{c} d(Tx_{n-3}, Tx_{n-2}, u) + (Tx_{n-3}, Tx_{n-2}, u) \\ &+ 2 \left[2(\bar{a} + \bar{b} + 2\bar{c}) - \bar{b}\right] d(Tx_{n-3}, Tx_{n-2}, u) \end{aligned}$$

where $\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}$ are evaluated at (x_{n-2}, x_n) . At the bottom line of the above inequality, $d(Tx_{n-3}, Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n) = 0$. Because, let $d(Tx_{n-3}, Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n) \neq 0$, then applying (4), we get

$$\begin{aligned} d(Tx_{n-3}, Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n) &= d(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n, Tx_{n-3}) \\ &\leq a \ d(fx_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}, Tx_{n-3}) \\ &+ b \ \max\{d(fx_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}, Tx_{n-3}), d(fx_n, Tx_n, Tx_{n-3})\} \\ &+ c \ [d(fx_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}, Tx_{n-3}) + d(fx_n, Tx_n, Tx_{n-3})] \\ &\leq a \ d(Tx_{n-2}, Tx_{n-1}, Tx_{n-3}) \\ &+ b \ \max\{d(Tx_{n-2}, Tx_{n-1}, Tx_{n-3}), d(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n, Tx_{n-3})\} \\ &+ c \ \max\{d(Tx_{n-2}, Tx_{n-1}, Tx_{n-3}) + d(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n, Tx_{n-3})\} \end{aligned}$$

$$= (b+c) d(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n, Tx_{n-3}) < d(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n, Tx_{n-3}).$$

a contradiction, showing $d(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n, Tx_{n-3}) = 0$.

On using inequalities (5),(6) and (7), we get

$$\begin{aligned} ad(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n, u) &= d(y_n, y_{n+1}, u) \\ &\leq a \ d(Tx_{n-2}, Tx_{n-1}, u) + b \ d(Tx_{n-2}, Tx_{n-1}, u) \\ &+ c[(2 - \bar{b}) \ d(Tx_{n-3}, Tx_{n-2}, u)] \\ &\leq a \ d(Tx_{n-3}, Tx_{n-2}, u) + b \ d(Tx_{n-3}, Tx_{n-2}, u) \\ &+ c(2 - \bar{b}) \ d(Tx_{n-3}, Tx_{n-2}, u) \\ &= (a + b + 2c) \ d(Tx_{n-3}, Tx_{n-2}, u) \\ &- \bar{b}c \ d(Tx_{n-3}, Tx_{n-2}, u) \\ &\leq (1 - \bar{b}c) \ d(Tx_{n-3}, Tx_{n-2}, u) \\ &\leq (1 - \beta\gamma) \ d(Tx_{n-3}, Tx_{n-2}, u) \\ &\leq (1 - \beta\gamma) \ d(Tx_{n-3}, Tx_{n-2}, u) \end{aligned}$$

$$(8)$$

Hence $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. For cases (a) and (b) suppose that X is complete. Then Cauchy sequence $\{y_n\}$ will converge to a point p in X.

Case (a): Since f is surjective, then there will exist a point z in X such that p = fz.

Then by applying inequality (2), we obtain

$$\begin{array}{lll} d(fx,Tz,u) &\leq & d(fz,y_{n+1},u) + d(fx,Tz,y_{n+1}) + d(Tz,y_{n+1},u) \\ &\leq & d(fz,y_{n+1},u) + d(fx,Tz,y_{n+1}) + d(Tx_n,Tz,u) \\ &\leq & d(fz,y_{n+1},u) + d(fz,Tz,y_{n+1}) \\ &+ & a(x,y)d(fx_n,fz,u) + b(x,y)\{d(fx_n,Tx_n,u),d(fz,Tz,u)\} \\ &+ & c(x,y)[d(fx_n,Tz,u) + d(fz,Tx_n,u)] \\ &\leq & \sup_{x,y\in X} [a(x,y) + c(x,y)]\max\{d(fx_n,fz,u),d(fz,fx_{n+1},u)\} \\ &+ & \sup_{x,y\in X} [b(x,y) + c(x,y)]\max[\max\{d(fx_n,Tx_n,u),d(fz,Tz,u)\},d(fx_n,Tz,u) \\ &+ & d(fz,Tx_n,u)] + d(fz,y_{n+1},u) + d(fz,Tz,y_{n+1}) \end{array}$$

Taking the limit as $n \to \infty$ we have

$$d(fz, Tz, u) \le \sup_{x, y \in X} (b+c)d(fz, Tz, u) < d(fz, Tz, u)$$

implies that fz = Tz.

Case (b): Since f is continuous and f and T are compatible, then $\lim_{n\to\infty} fy_n =$

fp and $\lim_{n\to\infty} fx_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} Tx_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} y_{n+1} = p$ and hence

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} d(fTx_n, Tfx_n, u) = 0 \tag{9}$$

Using (8), we get

$$d(fp, Tp, u) \leq d(fp, fy_{n+1}, Tp) + d(fp, fy_{n+1}, u) + d(fy_{n+1}, u, Tp)$$

$$\leq d(fp, fy_{n+1}, Tp) + d(fp, fy_{n+1}, u) + d(Tp, Tfx_n, u)$$

$$\leq ad(ffx_n, fp, u) + b \max\{d(ffx_n, Tfx_n, u), d(fp, Tp, u)\}$$

$$+ c[d(ffx_n, Tp, u) + d(fp, Tfx_n, u)]$$

$$\leq \sup_{x,y \in X} a(x, y)d(ffx_n, fp, u)$$

$$+ \sup_{x,y \in X} [b(x, y) + c(x, y)] \max[\max\{d(ffx_n, Tfx_n, u), d(fp, Tp, u)\}, d(ffx_n, Tp, u) + d(fp, Tfx_n, u)]$$
(10)

Now, we have

$$d(ffx_n, Tfx_n, u) \leq d(ffx_n, fTx_n, u) + d(fTx_n, Tfx_n, u) + d(ffx_n, fTx_n, Tfx_n)$$

Using the continuity of f and the compatibility of f and T, it follows that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} d(ffx_n, Tfx_n, u) = 0, \lim_{n \to \infty} d(ffx_n, fTx_n, u) = 0$$
(11)
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} ffx_n = fp \Rightarrow \lim_{n \to \infty} Tfx_n = fp.$$

Taking the limit as $n \to \infty$ and using the inequality (9) and (10) we get

$$d(fp, Tp, u) \le \sup_{x, y \in X} [b(x, y) + c(x, y)]d(fp, Tp, u)$$

implies fp = Tp.

Case (c): In this case $p \in f(X)$. Let $z \in f^{-1}p$ then p = fz, and the proof is completed by case (a).

Case (d): In this case $p \in T(X) \subseteq f(X)$ and proof is completed by case (c). To establish uniqueness, suppose that q is another coincidence point of f and

T.Then from (2) with a, b and c evaluated at (p, q), we have

$$d(Tp, Tq, u) \leq ad(fp, fq, u) + b \max\{d(fp, Tp, u), d(fq, Tq, u)\} + c[d(fp, Tq, u) + d(fq, Tp, u)]$$

and so

$$d(Tp, Tq, u) \le (a+2c)d(Tp, Tq, u).$$

Hence Tp = Tq.

Now we show that our condition (2) includes the condition (1) of [4]. Define,

$$M(x, y, u) = \max\{d(x, y, u), d(u, Tx, u), d(y, Ty, u), \frac{1}{2}[d(x, Ty, u) + d(y, Tx, u)]\}$$

and f = I, the identity mapping on X. For each x, y of X such that

$$M(x, y, u) = d(x, y, u)$$

define

$$a(x, y) = a, b(x, y) = b, c(x, y) = c.$$

For each x, y such that

$$M(x, y, u) = \max\{d(x, Tx, u), d(y, Ty, u)\},\$$

define

$$a(x,y) = 0, b(x,y) = a + b, c(x,y) = c.$$

For each x, y such that

$$M(x, y, u) = \frac{1}{2} [d(x, Ty, u) + d(y, Tx, u)]$$

define

$$a(x,y) = 0, b(x,y) = b, c(x,y) = \frac{a}{2} + c.$$

Corollary.Let (X, d) be a complete 2-metric space and T a self map of X satisfying (2) with f = I the identity map on X. Then T has a unique fixed point and at this fixed point T is continuous.

Proof. The existence and uniqueness of the fixed point come from Theorem (2) by setting f = I. To prove continuity at the unique fixed point p, we apply inequality (2), where a, b, c are evaluating at (y_n, p) .

$$d(Ty_n, p, u) = d(Ty_n, Tp, u) \leq a \ d(y_n, p, u) + b \ \max\{d(y_n, Ty_n, u), d(p, Tp, u)\} + c \ [d(y_n, Tp, u) + d(p, Ty_n, u)]$$

Taking the limit as $n \to \infty$ yields

 $\lim_{n \to \infty} d(Ty_n, p, u) \le (b + c) \lim_{n \to \infty} d(p, Ty_n, u) < \lim_{n \to \infty} d(p, Ty_n, u)$

a contradiction, which implies, $\lim_{n\to\infty} Ty_n = p = Tp.$

References

- Ciric,Lj.B., On some nonexpansive type mapping and fixed points, Indian J.Pure Appl.Math, 24 (3)(1993), 145-149.
- [2] Gahler, S. Linear 2-nonmierte Raume, Math Narchr, 27(1964), 1-43.
- [3] Gahler, S. 2-metrische Raume und ither topologische structure, Math Nachr, 26(1963), 115-148.
- Gahler,S., Uber die unformesior barkat 2-metriche Raume, Math Nachr, 28(1965),235-244.
- [5] Singh,S.L.,Adiga Chandrashekar,Giniswami A fixed point theorem in a 2-metric space and an application, Journal of Natural and Physical Science, 15 (1-2)(2001),55-64.
- [6] Singh,S.L.,Rhoades,B.E., Coincidence and fixed points of nonexpansive type multivalued and single valued maps, Indian J.Pure Appl.Math., 26(2)(1995), 393-401.

Received: July 21, 2006