

Article

# The Hausdorff–Pompeiu Distance in $Gn$ -Menger Fractal Spaces

Donal O'Regan <sup>1,†</sup> , Reza Saadati <sup>2,\*,†</sup> , Chenkuan Li <sup>3,†</sup>  and Fahd Jarad <sup>4,5,†</sup> 

<sup>1</sup> School of Mathematical and Statistical Science, National University of Ireland, University Road, H91 TK33 Galway, Ireland

<sup>2</sup> School of Mathematics, Iran University of Science and Technology, Narmak, Tehran 13114-16846, Iran

<sup>3</sup> Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Brandon University, Brandon, MB R7A 6A9, Canada

<sup>4</sup> Department of Mathematics, Cankaya University, Etimesgut, Ankara 06790, Turkey

<sup>5</sup> Department of Medical Research, China Medical University Hospital, China Medical University, Taichung 40402, Taiwan

\* Correspondence: rsaadati@eml.cc

† These authors contributed equally to this work.

**Abstract:** This paper introduces a complete  $Gn$ -Menger space and defines the Hausdorff–Pompeiu distance in the space. Furthermore, we show a novel fixed-point theorem for  $Gn$ -Menger- $\theta$ -contractions in fractal spaces.

**Keywords:** fixed point; generalized contraction; Hausdorff–Pompeiu distance; iterated function system;  $Gn$ -Menger fractal space

**MSC:** 54C40; 14E20; 46E25



**Citation:** O'Regan, D.; Saadati, R.; Li, C.; Jarad, F. The Hausdorff–Pompeiu Distance in  $Gn$ -Menger Fractal Spaces. *Mathematics* **2022**, *10*, 2958. <https://doi.org/10.3390/math10162958>

Received: 10 July 2022

Accepted: 15 August 2022

Published: 16 August 2022

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Copyright:** © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

## 1. Introduction and Preliminaries

We begin with the concept of a  $Gn$ -Menger space using distributional maps (DMs) and triangular norms. Throughout the entire paper, we let  $\mathbb{I} = [0, 1]$ ,  $\mathbb{I}^\circ = (0, 1)$ ,  $\mathbb{R}^\bullet = [-\infty, +\infty]$ ,  $\mathbb{J} = [0, +\infty)$  and  $\mathbb{J}^\circ = (0, +\infty)$ . Define the set of distributional maps  $\mathcal{U}^+$  as the set of all functions  $j : \mathbb{R}^\bullet \rightarrow \mathbb{I}$ , denoting  $j_t = j(t)$ , which are left continuous and nondecreasing on  $\mathbb{R}$  with  $j_0 = 0$  and  $j_{+\infty} = 1$ . In addition, let  $\mathcal{D}^+ \subseteq \mathcal{U}^+$  consist of all (proper) mappings  $j \in \mathcal{U}^+$  for which  $\ell^- j_{+\infty} = 1$ , where  $\ell^- j_t$  means the left limit at the point  $t$ . Please refer to [1–3] for more details. Note all proper DMs are the DMs of real random variables (namely, we have  $P(|g| = \infty) = 0$  for any random variable  $g$ ).

In  $\mathcal{U}^+$ , we define “ $\leq$ ” as follows:

$$j \leq \tilde{h} \iff j_\tau \leq \tilde{h}_\tau$$

for each  $\tau$  in  $\mathbb{R}$  (partially ordered). For example,

$$\tilde{h}_\tau = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \tau \in \mathbb{R} - \mathbb{J}^\circ, \\ 1 - e^{-\tau}, & \text{if } \tau \in \mathbb{J}^\circ, \end{cases}$$

for  $\tilde{h} \in \mathcal{D}^+$ . Note that the function  $\wp_\tau^u$  defined by

$$\wp_\tau^u = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \tau \leq u, \\ 1, & \text{if } \tau > u, \end{cases}$$

is an element of  $\mathcal{U}^+$ , and  $\wp_\tau^0$  is the maximal element in this space (for more information, see [1–3]).

**Definition 1** ([1,4]). A continuous triangular norm (CTN) is a continuous binary operation  $*$  from  $\mathbb{I}^2$  to  $\mathbb{I}$ , such that

- (a)  $\vartheta * \mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{k} * \vartheta$  and  $\vartheta * (\mathfrak{k} * \mathfrak{B}) = (\vartheta * \mathfrak{k}) * \mathfrak{B}$  for all  $\vartheta, \mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{B} \in \mathbb{I}$ ;
- (b)  $\vartheta * 1 = \vartheta$  for all  $\vartheta \in \mathbb{I}$ ;
- (c)  $\vartheta * \mathfrak{k} \leq \vartheta' * \mathfrak{k}'$  whenever  $\vartheta \leq \vartheta'$  and  $\mathfrak{k} \leq \mathfrak{k}'$  for all  $\vartheta, \mathfrak{k}, \vartheta', \mathfrak{k}' \in \mathbb{I}$ .

Some examples of  $t$ -norms are:

- (1)  $\vartheta *_{\mathcal{P}} \mathfrak{k} = \vartheta \mathfrak{k}$  (the product CTN);
- (2)  $\vartheta *_{\mathcal{M}} \mathfrak{k} = \min\{\vartheta, \mathfrak{k}\}$  (the minimum CTN);
- (3)  $\vartheta *_{\mathcal{L}} \mathfrak{k} = \max\{\vartheta + \mathfrak{k} - 1, 0\}$  (the Lukasiewicz CTN).

Assume that, for every  $\vartheta \in \mathbb{I}^\circ$ , there exists a  $\mathfrak{k} \in \mathbb{I}^\circ$  (which is independent of  $\ell$ , but depends on  $\vartheta$ ) such that the following inequality holds

$$\overbrace{(1 - \mathfrak{k}) * \dots * (1 - \mathfrak{k})}^\ell > 1 - \vartheta, \quad \text{for each } \ell \in \{2, 3, \dots\}. \tag{1}$$

In this case, we say the CTN  $*$  has the (D) property (CTND for short).

**Definition 2.** Let  $*$  be a CTN,  $U \neq \emptyset$  and  $\zeta$  be a mapping from  $U^n$  to  $\partial^+$ . The ordered tuple  $(U, \zeta, *)$  is called a Gn-Menger space if the following conditions are satisfied:

- ( $\zeta 1$ )  $\zeta_\tau^{u_1, \dots, u_n} = \varphi_\tau^0$  for  $\tau \in \mathbb{J}^\circ$ , if and only if  $u_1 = u_2 = \dots = u_n$  and  $\tau \in \mathbb{J}^\circ$ ;
- ( $\zeta 2$ )  $\zeta_\tau^{u_1, \dots, u_n}$  is invariant under any permutation of  $u_1, \dots, u_n \in U$  and  $\tau \in \mathbb{J}^\circ$ ;
- ( $\zeta 3$ )  $\zeta_\tau^{u_1, u_1, \dots, u_1, u_2} \geq \zeta_\tau^{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n}$  for every  $u_1, \dots, u_n \in U$  and  $\tau \in \mathbb{J}^\circ$ ;
- ( $\zeta 4$ )  $\zeta_{\tau+\zeta}^{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n} \geq \zeta_\zeta^{u_1, u_{n+1}, \dots, u_{n+1}} * \zeta_\tau^{u_{n+1}, u_2, \dots, u_n}$  for every  $u_1, \dots, u_n, u_{n+1} \in U$  and  $\tau, \zeta \in \mathbb{J}^\circ$ .

Moreover,  $\zeta$  is called a Gn-Menger distance.

For more details about Gn-Menger space and distance, see [5–15]. Our results improve and generalize recent results in [16–18].

**Example 1.** Define  $\zeta : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \partial^+$  by

$$\zeta_\tau^{u_1, \dots, u_n} = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \tau \in \mathbb{R} - \mathbb{J}^\circ, \\ \exp\left(-\max_{i \neq j, i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}} \{|u_i - u_j|\} / \tau\right), & \text{if } \tau \in \mathbb{J}^\circ. \end{cases}$$

Then, the ordered tuple  $(\mathbb{R}, \zeta, *_{\mathcal{P}})$  is a Gn-Menger space.

Clearly, ( $\zeta 1$ ) and ( $\zeta 2$ ) are straightforward. For ( $\zeta 3$ ), let  $\tau \in \mathbb{J}^\circ$ , and since

$$\frac{|u_1 - u_2|}{\tau} \leq \frac{\max_{i \neq j, i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}} \{|u_i - u_j|\}}{\tau},$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_\tau^{u_1, u_1, \dots, u_1, u_2} &= \exp\left(-\frac{|u_1 - u_2|}{\tau}\right) \\ &\geq \exp\left(-\frac{\max_{i \neq j, i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}} \{|u_i - u_j|\}}{\tau}\right) \\ &= \zeta_\tau^{u_1, \dots, u_n}. \end{aligned}$$

Regarding (ζ4), let  $\tau, \varsigma \in \mathbb{J}^\circ$ , and note

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \zeta_{\varsigma}^{u_1, u_{n+1}, \dots, u_{n+1}} *_P \zeta_{\tau}^{u_{n+1}, u_2, \dots, u_n} \\
 = & \exp\left(-\frac{|u_1 - u_{n+1}|}{\varsigma}\right) \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{\max_{i \neq j, i, j \in \{2, \dots, n, n+1\}} \{|u_i - u_j|\}}{\tau}\right) \\
 \leq & \exp\left(-\frac{|u_1 - u_{n+1}|}{\varsigma + \tau}\right) \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{\max_{i \neq j, i, j \in \{2, \dots, n, n+1\}} \{|u_i - u_j|\}}{\varsigma + \tau}\right) \\
 = & \exp\left(-\frac{|u_1 - u_{n+1}| + \max_{i \neq j, i, j \in \{2, \dots, n, n+1\}} \{|u_i - u_j|\}}{\varsigma + \tau}\right) \\
 \leq & \exp\left(-\frac{\max_{i \neq j, i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n, n+1\}} \{|u_i - u_j|\}}{\varsigma + \tau}\right) \\
 \leq & \exp\left(-\frac{\max_{i \neq j, i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}} \{|u_i - u_j|\}}{\varsigma + \tau}\right) \\
 = & \zeta_{\tau + \varsigma}^{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n}.
 \end{aligned}$$

We would like to point out that the above example also holds for CTN  $*_M$ . In the following, we show every  $G_n$ -Menger space induces a Menger metric space in the sense of Schweizer and Sklar.

**Example 2.** Let  $(U, \zeta, *)$  be a  $G_n$ -Menger space. Define the distributional function  $\eta$  on  $U^2$  as

$$\eta_{\tau}^{u, v} = \zeta_{\tau}^{u, v, \dots, v} * \zeta_{\tau}^{v, u, \dots, u},$$

for every  $u, v \in U$  and  $\tau \in \mathbb{J}^\circ$ . Then,  $(U, \eta, *)$  is a Menger metric space. In fact, it is easy to check that  $\eta$  is a Menger metric (for more references, see [1,9,19]).

(I) Let  $\tau \in \mathbb{J}^\circ$  and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \wp_{\tau}^0 &= \eta_{\tau}^{u, v} \\
 &= \zeta_{\tau}^{u, v, \dots, v} * \zeta_{\tau}^{v, u, \dots, u},
 \end{aligned}$$

so we have

$$\wp_{\tau}^0 = \zeta_{\tau}^{u, v, \dots, v}$$

and

$$\wp_{\tau}^0 = \zeta_{\tau}^{v, u, \dots, u}.$$

Using (ζ1), we get  $u = v$ . Obviously, the converse is also true.

(II) From (ζ2), we have  $\eta_{\tau}^{u, v} = \eta_{\tau}^{v, u}$  for every  $u, v \in U$  and  $\tau \in \mathbb{J}^\circ$ .

(III) Let  $u, v, w \in U$  and  $\tau, \varsigma \in \mathbb{J}^\circ$ . From (ζ4), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \eta_{\tau + \varsigma}^{u, v} &= \zeta_{\tau + \varsigma}^{u, v, \dots, v} * \zeta_{\tau + \varsigma}^{v, u, \dots, u} \\
 &\geq [\zeta_{\tau}^{u, w, \dots, w} * \zeta_{\varsigma}^{w, v, \dots, v}] * [\zeta_{\varsigma}^{v, w, \dots, w} * \zeta_{\tau}^{w, u, \dots, u}] \\
 &= [\zeta_{\tau}^{u, w, \dots, w} * \zeta_{\tau}^{v, u, \dots, u}] * [\zeta_{\varsigma}^{w, v, \dots, v} * \zeta_{\varsigma}^{v, w, \dots, w}] \\
 &= \eta_{\tau}^{u, w} * \eta_{\varsigma}^{w, v}.
 \end{aligned}$$

It now follows that  $(U, \eta, *)$  is a Menger metric space from (I), (II) and (III).

**Definition 3.** Let  $(U, \zeta, *)$  be a Gn-Menger space. Assume  $\rho \in \mathbb{I}^\circ$ ,  $\tau \in \mathbb{J}^\circ$  and  $u_0 \in U$ . We define the open ball with center  $u_0$  and radius  $\rho$  as

$$O_{\rho, \tau}^{u_0} = \{u \in U : \zeta_{\tau}^{u_0, u, \dots, u} > 1 - \rho \text{ and } \zeta_{\tau}^{u, u_0, \dots, u_0} > 1 - \rho\}.$$

**Definition 4.** Let  $(U, \zeta, *)$  be a Gn-Menger space.

(1) A sequence  $\{u_k\}$  in  $U$  is said to be convergent to  $u$  in  $U$  if, for every  $\lambda \in \mathbb{I}^\circ$ , there exists a positive integer  $N$  such that  $\zeta_{\tau}^{u, u_k, \dots, u_k} > 1 - \lambda$  for every  $\tau \in \mathbb{J}^\circ$  whenever  $k \geq N$ .

(2) A sequence  $\{u_k\}$  in  $U$  is called a Cauchy sequence if, for every  $\lambda \in \mathbb{I}^\circ$ , there exists a positive integer  $N$  such that  $\zeta_{\tau}^{u_{k_1}, u_{k_2}, \dots, u_{k_n}} > 1 - \lambda$  for every  $\tau \in \mathbb{J}^\circ$  whenever  $k_1, \dots, k_n \geq N$ .

(3) A Gn-Menger space  $(U, \zeta, *)$  is said to be complete, if and only if every Cauchy sequence in  $U$  is convergent to a point in  $U$ .

**Lemma 1.** Let  $(U, \zeta, *)$  be a Gn-Menger space. Then,  $\zeta$  is continuous on  $U^n$ .

**Proof.** For a fixed  $n$ , we let  $(u_1, \dots, u_n) \in U^n$  and  $\tau \in \mathbb{J}^\circ$ . Let  $\{(u_{1,k}, \dots, u_{n,k})\}$  be a sequence in  $U^n$  converging to  $(u_1, \dots, u_n)$ . Consider a fixed number  $\alpha \in \mathbb{J}^\circ$  such that  $\alpha < \frac{\tau}{n+1}$ . Using (ζ4) we derive

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_{\tau}^{u_{1,k}, \dots, u_{n,k}} &\geq \zeta_{\alpha}^{u_{1,k}, u_{1,1}, \dots, u_{1,1}} * \zeta_{\tau-\alpha}^{u_{1,1}, u_{2,k}, \dots, u_{n,k}} \\ &= \zeta_{\alpha}^{u_{1,k}, u_{1,1}, \dots, u_{1,1}} * \zeta_{\frac{\alpha}{2} + \tau - \frac{3}{2}\alpha}^{u_{1,1}, u_{2,k}, \dots, u_{n,k}} \\ &\geq \zeta_{\alpha}^{u_{1,k}, u_{1,1}, \dots, u_{1,1}} * \zeta_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}^{u_{2,k}, u_{2,1}, \dots, u_{2,1}} * \zeta_{\tau - \frac{3}{2}\alpha}^{u_{1,1}, u_{2,1}, u_{3,k}, \dots, u_{n,k}} \\ &= \zeta_{\alpha}^{u_{1,k}, u_{1,1}, \dots, u_{1,1}} * \zeta_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}^{u_{2,k}, u_{2,1}, \dots, u_{2,1}} * \zeta_{\frac{\alpha}{2} + \tau - \frac{4}{2}\alpha}^{u_{1,1}, u_{2,1}, u_{3,k}, \dots, u_{n,k}} \\ &\geq \zeta_{\alpha}^{u_{1,k}, u_{1,1}, \dots, u_{1,1}} * \zeta_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}^{u_{2,k}, u_{2,1}, \dots, u_{2,1}} * \zeta_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}^{u_{3,k}, u_{3,1}, \dots, u_{3,1}} * \zeta_{\tau - \frac{4}{2}\alpha}^{u_{1,1}, u_{2,1}, u_{3,1}, u_{4,k}, \dots, u_{n,k}} \\ &\quad \cdot \\ &\quad \cdot \\ &\quad \cdot \\ &\geq \zeta_{\alpha}^{u_{1,k}, u_{1,1}, \dots, u_{1,1}} * \zeta_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}^{u_{2,k}, u_{2,1}, \dots, u_{2,1}} * \zeta_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}^{u_{3,k}, u_{3,1}, \dots, u_{3,1}} \\ &\quad * \dots * \zeta_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}^{u_{n,k}, u_{n,1}, \dots, u_{n,1}} * \zeta_{\tau - \frac{n+1}{2}\alpha}^{u_{1,1}, u_{2,1}, u_{3,1}, u_{4,1}, \dots, u_{n,1}}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_{\tau}^{u_1, \dots, u_n} &\geq \zeta_{\alpha}^{u_1, u_{1,k}, \dots, u_{1,k}} * \zeta_{\tau-\alpha}^{u_{1,k}, u_{2,1}, \dots, u_{n,1}} \\ &= \zeta_{\alpha}^{u_1, u_{1,k}, \dots, u_{1,k}} * \zeta_{\frac{\alpha}{2} + \tau - \frac{3}{2}\alpha}^{u_{1,k}, u_{2,1}, \dots, u_{n,1}} \\ &\geq \zeta_{\alpha}^{u_1, u_{1,k}, \dots, u_{1,k}} * \zeta_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}^{u_{2,1}, u_{2,k}, \dots, u_{2,k}} * \zeta_{\tau - \frac{3}{2}\alpha}^{u_{1,k}, u_{2,k}, u_{3,1}, \dots, u_{n,1}} \\ &= \zeta_{\alpha}^{u_1, u_{1,k}, \dots, u_{1,k}} * \zeta_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}^{u_{2,1}, u_{2,k}, \dots, u_{2,k}} * \zeta_{\frac{\alpha}{2} + \tau - \frac{4}{2}\alpha}^{u_{1,k}, u_{2,k}, u_{3,1}, \dots, u_{n,1}} \\ &\geq \zeta_{\alpha}^{u_1, u_{1,k}, \dots, u_{1,k}} * \zeta_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}^{u_{2,1}, u_{2,k}, \dots, u_{2,k}} * \zeta_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}^{u_{3,1}, u_{3,k}, \dots, u_{3,k}} * \zeta_{\tau - \frac{4}{2}\alpha}^{u_{1,k}, u_{2,k}, u_{3,k}, u_{4,1}, \dots, u_{n,1}} \\ &\quad \cdot \\ &\quad \cdot \\ &\quad \cdot \\ &\geq \zeta_{\alpha}^{u_1, u_{1,k}, \dots, u_{1,k}} * \zeta_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}^{u_{2,1}, u_{2,k}, \dots, u_{2,k}} * \zeta_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}^{u_{3,1}, u_{3,k}, \dots, u_{3,k}} \\ &\quad * \dots * \zeta_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}^{u_{n,1}, u_{n,k}, \dots, u_{n,k}} * \zeta_{\tau - \frac{n+1}{2}\alpha}^{u_{1,k}, u_{2,k}, u_{3,k}, u_{4,k}, \dots, u_{n,k}}. \end{aligned}$$

We can do this for any  $n$ . Letting  $k \rightarrow \infty$  in the above, we imply by the continuity property of a CTN that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \zeta_{\tau}^{u_{1,k}, \dots, u_{n,k}} \geq \zeta_{\tau - \frac{n+1}{2}\alpha}^{u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, \dots, u_n}, \tag{2}$$

and

$$\zeta_{\tau}^{u_1, \dots, u_n} \geq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \zeta_{\tau - \frac{n+1}{2}\alpha}^{u_{1,k}, u_{2,k}, u_{3,k}, u_{4,k}, \dots, u_{n,k}}. \tag{3}$$

From (2) and (3), we get by letting  $\alpha$  tend to zero that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \zeta_{\tau}^{u_{1,k}, \dots, u_{n,k}} = \zeta_{\tau}^{u_1, \dots, u_n}, \tag{4}$$

for every  $\tau > 0$ , which shows the continuity of  $\zeta$ .  $\square$

**2. Fixed-Point Theorem**

**Lemma 2.** Consider the Gn-Menger space  $(U, \zeta, *)$  in which  $*$  is a CTND. Define  $\Xi_{\vartheta, \zeta} : U^n \rightarrow \mathbb{J}$  by

$$\Xi_{\vartheta, \zeta}(u_1, \dots, u_n) = \inf\{\tau \in \mathbb{J}^{\circ} : \zeta_{\tau}^{u_1, \dots, u_n} > 1 - \vartheta\},$$

for each  $\vartheta \in \mathbb{I}^{\circ}$  and  $u_1, \dots, u_n \in U$ . Then, we have the following:

(I) Let  $u_1, \dots, u_n, w_1, \dots, w_n \in U$ . For every  $\mathfrak{k} \in \mathbb{J}^{\circ}$ , there exists  $\vartheta \in \mathbb{J}^{\circ}$  such that

$$\Xi_{\mathfrak{k}, \zeta}(u_1, \dots, u_n) \leq \sum_{j=1}^n \Xi_{\vartheta, \zeta}(u_j, w_j, w_j, \dots, w_j) + \Xi_{\vartheta, \zeta}(w_1, \dots, w_n);$$

(II) The sequence  $\{u_k\}$  is convergent with respect to the Gn-Menger metric  $\zeta$ , if and only if  $\Xi_{\vartheta, \zeta}(u, u_k, \dots, u_k) \rightarrow 0$ . Moreover, the sequence  $\{u_k\}$  is a Cauchy sequence with respect to the Gn-Menger metric  $\zeta$ , if and only if it is a Cauchy sequence in  $\Xi_{\vartheta, \zeta}$ ;

(III) Let  $u_{k_1}, u_{k_2}, \dots, u_{k_n} \in U$ , where  $k_1, \dots, k_n \in \mathbb{N}$ . For every  $\mathfrak{k} \in \mathbb{J}^{\circ}$  there exists  $\vartheta \in \mathbb{J}^{\circ}$  such that for  $n \geq 3$ ,

$$\Xi_{\mathfrak{k}, \zeta}(u_{k_1}, u_{k_2}, \dots, u_{k_n}) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} j \Xi_{\vartheta, \zeta}(u_{k_j}, u_{k_{j+1}}, \dots, u_{k_{j+1}}) + \Xi_{\vartheta, \zeta}(u_{k_{n-1}}, u_{k_n}, \dots, u_{k_n});$$

(IV) A sequence  $\{u_k\}$  in the Gn-Menger space  $U$  is Cauchy, if and only if, for every  $\epsilon \in \mathbb{J}^{\circ}$ , there exists a positive integer  $N$  such that for every  $\epsilon > 0$ ,

$$\Xi_{\mathfrak{k}, \zeta}(u_{k_1}, u_{k_2}, \dots, u_{k_2}) \leq \epsilon, \tag{5}$$

for all  $k_1, k_2 \geq N$ .

**Proof.** (I). For every  $\mathfrak{k} \in \mathbb{I}^{\circ}$ , we can find a  $\vartheta \in \mathbb{I}^{\circ}$  such that

$$\overbrace{(1 - \vartheta) * \dots * (1 - \vartheta)}^{n+1} > 1 - \mathfrak{k},$$

due to the (D) property. Using (4), we infer

$$\begin{aligned} & \zeta_{\sum_{j=1}^n \Xi_{\vartheta, \zeta}(u_j, w_j, w_j, \dots, w_j) + \Xi_{\vartheta, \zeta}(w_1, \dots, w_n) + (n+1)\omega}^{u_1, \dots, u_n} \\ & \geq \zeta_{\Xi_{\vartheta, \zeta}(u_1, w_1, \dots, w_1) + \omega}^{u_1, w_1, \dots, w_1} * \zeta_{\Xi_{\vartheta, \zeta}(u_2, w_2, \dots, w_2) + \omega}^{u_2, w_2, \dots, w_2} \dots * \zeta_{\Xi_{\vartheta, \zeta}(u_n, w_n, \dots, w_n) + \omega}^{u_n, w_n, \dots, w_n} * \zeta_{\Xi_{\vartheta, \zeta}(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n) + \omega}^{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n} \\ & \geq \overbrace{(1 - \vartheta) * \dots * (1 - \vartheta)}^{n+1} \\ & > 1 - \mathfrak{k}. \end{aligned}$$

for each  $\omega \in \mathbb{J}^{\circ}$ . Hence,

$$\Xi_{\mathfrak{k}, \zeta}(u_1, \dots, u_n) \leq \sum_{j=1}^n \Xi_{\vartheta, \zeta}(u_j, w_j, w_j, \dots, w_j) + \Xi_{\vartheta, \zeta}(w_1, \dots, w_n) + (n + 1)\omega.$$

Letting  $\omega$  tend to 0, we get

$$\Xi_{\mathfrak{t}, \zeta}(u_1, \dots, u_n) \leq \sum_{j=1}^n \Xi_{\vartheta, \zeta}(u_j, w_j, w_j, \dots, w_j) + \Xi_{\vartheta, \zeta}(w_1, \dots, w_n).$$

- (II). We have  $\zeta_{\tau}^{u_1, \dots, u_n} > 1 - \mathfrak{t} \iff \Xi_{\vartheta, \zeta}(u_1, \dots, u_n) < \mathfrak{t}$  for every  $\mathfrak{t} \in \mathbb{J}^{\circ}$ .
- (III). For every  $\mathfrak{t} \in \mathbb{I}^{\circ}$ , we can find a  $\vartheta \in \mathbb{I}^{\circ}$  such that for  $n \geq 3$ ,

$$\overbrace{(1 - \vartheta) * \dots * (1 - \vartheta)}^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} > 1 - \mathfrak{t}.$$

Then, we use a similar method in (I) to complete the proof.

- (IV). It follows immediately from (II) and (III).  $\square$

We let  $\Theta$  be the family of all onto and strictly increasing mappings  $\theta : \mathbb{J}^{\circ} \rightarrow \mathbb{J}^{\circ}$  such that  $\theta(\rho) < \rho$  for all  $\rho \in \mathbb{J}^{\circ}$ , and let all distributional maps be in  $\partial_+^+$ . Since  $\zeta \in \partial^+$  and  $(\zeta 1)$ , we get in a Gn-Menger space  $(U, \zeta, *)$  that

$$\zeta_{\tau}^{u_1, \dots, u_n} = C, \text{ for all } \tau \in \mathbb{J}^{\circ} \text{ implies } C = \varphi_{\tau}^0.$$

**Lemma 3.** Consider the Gn-Menger space  $(U, \zeta, *)$  in which  $*$  is a CTND. Assume that  $\theta \in \Theta$ . Then, for  $\tau \in \mathbb{J}^{\circ}$

$$\inf\{\theta^k(\tau) \in \mathbb{J}^{\circ} : \zeta_{\tau}^{u_1, \dots, u_n} > 1 - \vartheta\} \leq \theta^k(\inf\{\tau \in \mathbb{J}^{\circ} : \zeta_{\tau}^{u_1, \dots, u_n} > 1 - \vartheta\}),$$

for each  $u_1, \dots, u_n \in U, \vartheta \in \mathbb{I}^{\circ}$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\tau \in \mathbb{J}^{\circ}$  be arbitrary and fixed with  $\zeta_{\tau}^{u_1, \dots, u_n} > 1 - \vartheta$ . Then,  $\theta^k(\tau) \in \mathbb{J}^{\circ}$ , and

$$\theta^k(\tau) \geq \inf\{\theta^k(\mathfrak{t}) \in \mathbb{J}^{\circ} : \zeta_{\mathfrak{t}}^{u_1, \dots, u_n} > 1 - \vartheta\}.$$

This implies that

$$\tau \geq (\theta^k)^{-1}(\inf\{\theta^k(\mathfrak{t}) \in \mathbb{J}^{\circ} : \zeta_{\mathfrak{t}}^{u_1, \dots, u_n} > 1 - \vartheta\}),$$

as  $\theta^k$  is onto and strictly increasing. Thus,

$$\inf\{\tau \in \mathbb{J}^{\circ} : \zeta_{\tau}^{u_1, \dots, u_n} > 1 - \vartheta\} \geq (\theta^k)^{-1}(\inf\{\theta^k(\mathfrak{t}) \in \mathbb{J}^{\circ} : \zeta_{\mathfrak{t}}^{u_1, \dots, u_n} > 1 - \vartheta\}),$$

which shows that

$$\inf\{\theta^k(\tau) \in \mathbb{J}^{\circ} : \zeta_{\tau}^{u_1, \dots, u_n} > 1 - \vartheta\} \leq \theta^k(\inf\{\tau \in \mathbb{J}^{\circ} : \zeta_{\tau}^{u_1, \dots, u_n} > 1 - \vartheta\}).$$

$\square$

**Lemma 4.** Consider the Gn-Menger space  $(U, \zeta, *)$  in which  $*$  is a CTND. Assume that  $\theta \in \Theta$  and  $\{u_k\} \subseteq U$  such that

$$\zeta_{\theta^k(\tau)}^{u_k, u_{k+1}, \dots, u_{k+1}} \geq \zeta_{\tau}^{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_2},$$

for all  $\tau \in \mathbb{J}^{\circ}$ . Then,  $\{u_k\}$  is a Cauchy sequence.

**Proof.** From Lemma 3 and our assumption, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi_{\mathfrak{t}, \zeta}(u_k, u_{k+1}, \dots, u_{k+1}) &= \inf\{\theta^k(\tau) \in \mathbb{J}^{\circ} : \zeta_{\theta^k(\tau)}^{u_k, u_{k+1}, \dots, u_{k+1}} > 1 - \mathfrak{t}\} \\ &\leq \inf\{\theta^k(\tau) \in \mathbb{J}^{\circ} : \zeta_{\tau}^{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_2} > 1 - \mathfrak{t}\} \\ &\leq \theta^k(\inf\{\tau \in \mathbb{J}^{\circ} : \zeta_{\tau}^{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_2} > 1 - \mathfrak{t}\}) \\ &= \theta^k(\Xi_{\mathfrak{t}, \zeta}(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_2)) \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

for every  $\mathfrak{l} \in \mathbb{I}^\circ$ . Applying Lemma 2 (II), (III) and (IV), we conclude that  $\{u_k\}$  is a Cauchy sequence.  $\square$

We are now ready to present a fixed-point (FP) theorem, with a controller  $\theta \in \Theta$ , in a complete  $Gn$ -Menger space  $(U, \zeta, *)$  in which  $*$  is a CTND. We say a mapping  $\Omega : U \rightarrow U$  is a  $Gn$ -Menger- $\theta$ -contraction if

$$\zeta_\rho^{\Omega(\alpha_1), \dots, \Omega(\alpha_n)} \geq \zeta_{\theta(\rho)}^{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n}, \tag{6}$$

for every  $\rho \in \mathbb{J}^\circ$ .

**Theorem 1.** Consider the complete  $Gn$ -Menger space  $(U, \zeta, *)$  in which  $*$  is a CTND. Let the  $Gn$ -Menger- $\theta$ -contraction  $\Omega$  satisfy (6) in which  $\theta \in \Theta$ . Then,  $\Omega$  has a unique fixed point in  $U$ .

**Proof.** From Lemma 4 and inequality (6), we have that, for each  $\alpha \in U$ , the sequence  $\{\Omega^n(\alpha)\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}$  is Cauchy and  $\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \Omega^k(\alpha) = \delta \in U$  since  $U$  is complete. Applying the following inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_\rho^{\Omega(\alpha_1), \dots, \Omega(\alpha_n)} &\geq \zeta_{\theta(\rho)}^{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n} \\ &\geq \zeta_\rho^{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n} \end{aligned}$$

for all  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in U$  and  $\rho \in \mathbb{J}^\circ$ , we conclude the continuity of  $\Omega$  and so we get

$$\delta = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \Omega^{n+1}(\alpha) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \Omega(\Omega^n(\alpha)) = \Omega(\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \Omega^n(\alpha)) = \Omega(\delta).$$

In addition, inequality (6) also infers the uniqueness.  $\square$

### 3. Application to the $Gn$ -Menger-Fractal Space

In [20], Hutchinson considered fractal theory, which was further investigated and generalized by Barnsley [21], Bisht [22], Imdad [23], and Ri [24]. The basic concept of fractal theory is that the iterated function system (IFS) serves as the main generator of fractals. This consists of a finite set of  $Gn$ -Menger- $\theta$ -contractions  $\{\Omega_1, \Omega_2, \dots, \Omega_m\}$  with  $(m \geq 2)$ , defined in a complete  $Gn$ -Menger space  $(U, \zeta, *)$ , satisfying inequality (6). For such an IFS, there is always a unique nonempty compact subset  $\Gamma$  of the complete  $Gn$ -Menger space  $(U, \zeta, *)$ , such that  $\Gamma = \bigcup_{i=1}^m \Omega_i(\Gamma)$ , wherein  $\Gamma$  is a fractal set called the attractor of the respective IFS.

Now, we denote  $\mathcal{H}(U)$  as the set of all nonempty compact subsets of the  $Gn$ -Menger space  $(U, \zeta, *)$ .

Let  $V_j \neq \emptyset$  ( $j = 1, \dots, n - 1$ ) be subsets of the  $Gn$ -Menger space  $(U, \zeta, *)$ ,  $u \in U$  and  $\tau \in \mathbb{J}^\circ$ . We define the  $Gn$ -Menger distance between  $u$  and  $\{V_1, \dots, V_{n-1}\}$  as

$$\zeta_\tau^{u, V_1, \dots, V_{n-1}} = \sup_{v_j \in V_j, j=1, 2, \dots, n-1} \zeta_\tau^{u, v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}}. \tag{7}$$

**Lemma 5.** Consider the  $Gn$ -Menger space  $(U, \zeta, *)$ . Then, for every  $u \in U$ ,  $V_j \subset \mathcal{H}(U)$  ( $j = 1, \dots, n - 1$ ) and  $\tau \in \mathbb{J}^\circ$ , we can find  $v_{j,0} \in V_j$  such that

$$\zeta_\tau^{u, V_1, \dots, V_{n-1}} = \zeta_\tau^{u, v_{1,0}, \dots, v_{n-1,0}}. \tag{8}$$

**Proof.** Suppose that  $u \in U$ ,  $V_j \subset \mathcal{H}(U)$  ( $j = 1, \dots, n - 1$ ) and  $\tau \in \mathbb{J}^\circ$ . Since  $\zeta$  is continuous from Lemma 1, the compactness of  $V_j$  ( $j = 1, \dots, n - 1$ ) implies that we can find  $v_{j,0} \in V_j$  such that

$$\sup_{v_j \in V_j, j=1, 2, \dots, n-1} \zeta_\tau^{u, v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}} = \zeta_\tau^{u, v_{1,0}, \dots, v_{n-1,0}}, \tag{9}$$

so

$$\zeta_{\tau}^{u, V_1, \dots, V_{n-1}} = \zeta_{\tau}^{u, v_1, 0, \dots, v_{n-1}, 0}.$$

□

**Lemma 6.** Consider the Gn-Menger space  $(U, \zeta, *)$ . Let  $u \in U, V_j \in \mathcal{H}(U) (j = 1, \dots, n - 1), \emptyset \neq W \subseteq U$  and  $\tau, \zeta \in \mathbb{J}^{\circ}$ . Then,

$$\zeta_{\tau+\zeta}^{u, V_1, \dots, V_{n-1}} \geq \zeta_{\tau}^{u, W, W, \dots, W} * \zeta_{\zeta}^{w_u, V_1, \dots, V_{n-1}}, \tag{10}$$

where  $w_u \in W$  satisfies  $\zeta_{\tau}^{u, W, V_2, \dots, V_{n-1}} = \zeta_{\tau}^{u, w_u, V_2, \dots, V_{n-1}}$ .

**Proof.** From Lemma 5, we can find a  $w_u \in W$  such that

$$\zeta_{\tau}^{u, W, \dots, W} = \zeta_{\tau}^{u, w_u, \dots, w_u},$$

for every  $\tau \in \mathbb{J}^{\circ}$ . From Lemma 5 again and (ζ4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_{\tau+\zeta}^{u, V_1, \dots, V_{n-1}} &= \zeta_{\tau+\zeta}^{u, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{n-1}} \\ &\geq \zeta_{\tau}^{u, w_u, \dots, w_u} * \zeta_{\zeta}^{w_u, v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}} \\ &= \zeta_{\tau}^{u, W, \dots, W} * \zeta_{\zeta}^{w_u, v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}}. \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Then, the result follows immediately from taking the supremum over  $v_j \in V_j, j = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$  and inequality (11). □

We now define the Gn-Menger Hausdorff–Pompeiu distance among  $E_j, j = 1, \dots, n$ , in  $\mathcal{H}(U)$  as:

$$\begin{aligned} &Y_{\zeta}^{E_1, \dots, E_n} \\ &= \inf_{\alpha_1 \in E_1} \sup_{\alpha_j \in E_j, j=2,3,\dots,n} \zeta_{\rho}^{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n} \\ &{}^*M \inf_{\alpha_2 \in E_2} \sup_{\alpha_j \in E_j, j=1,3,4,\dots,n} \zeta_{\rho}^{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n} \\ &{}^*M \dots \\ &{}^*M \inf_{\alpha_n \in E_n} \sup_{\alpha_j \in E_j, j=1,2,\dots,n-1} \zeta_{\rho}^{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n}, \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

for every  $\rho \in \mathbb{J}^{\circ}$ , which is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} &Y_{\zeta}^{E_1, \dots, E_n} \\ &= \inf_{\alpha_1 \in E_1} \zeta_{\rho}^{\alpha_1, E_2, E_3, \dots, E_n} \\ &{}^*M \inf_{\alpha_2 \in E_2} \zeta_{\rho}^{\alpha_2, E_1, E_3, \dots, E_n} \\ &{}^*M \dots \\ &{}^*M \inf_{\alpha_n \in E_n} \zeta_{\rho}^{E_1, E_2, \dots, E_{n-1}, \alpha_n}, \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

for every  $\rho \in \mathbb{J}^{\circ}$ .

**Example 3.** Consider Example 1 in which  $U = \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $* = {}^*M, E_1 = [e_1, f_1], E_2 = [e_2, f_2]$  and  $E_3 = [e_3, f_3]$ . Define the Gn-Menger Hausdorff distance as

$$Y_{\zeta}^{E_1, E_2, E_3} = \exp \left( - \frac{\max_{i,j \in \{1,2,3\}} \{|e_i - e_j|, |f_i - f_j|\}}{\rho} \right),$$

for all  $\rho \in \mathbb{J}^{\circ}$ . Then,  $(\mathcal{H}(U), Y_{\zeta}, *)$  is a Gn-Menger space.



Clearly, the classical Hausdorff–Pompeiu distance for compact sets  $E_1 = [e_1, f_1]$ ,  $E_2 = [e_2, f_2]$  and  $E_3 = [e_3, f_3]$  is

$$\max_{i,j \in \{1,2,3\}} \{|e_i - e_j|, |f_i - f_j|\}.$$

Now, using (12), (13), Example 1 and a similar method in ([25] Proposition 3), we have that the  $Gn$ -Menger Hausdorff distance  $Y_{\zeta}^{E_1, E_2, E_3}$  is a  $Gn$ -Menger distance.

**Lemma 7.** Consider the  $Gn$ -Menger space  $(U, \zeta, *)$ . Then,  $(\mathcal{H}(U), Y_{\zeta}, *)$  is a  $Gn$ -Menger space.

**Proof.** Clearly,  $(\zeta 1)$ ,  $(\zeta 2)$  and  $(\zeta 3)$  are straightforward. It only remains to prove  $(\zeta 4)$ .

Suppose that  $E_j \in \mathcal{H}(U)$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $u \in E_1$ , and  $\varsigma, \tau \in \mathbb{J}^\circ$ . Let  $\emptyset \neq W \subseteq U$ . From Lemma 6, we have

$$\zeta_{\tau+\varsigma}^{u, E_2, \dots, E_n} \geq \zeta_{\varsigma}^{u, W, W, \dots, W} * \zeta_{\tau}^{w_u, E_2, \dots, E_n}, \tag{14}$$

where  $w_u \in W$  satisfies  $\zeta_{\tau}^{u, W, E_2, \dots, E_n} = \zeta_{\tau}^{w_u, E_2, \dots, E_n}$ . Let  $\alpha_j \in E_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , and from  $(\zeta 4)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} & Y_{\zeta}^{E_1, \dots, E_n} \\ = & \inf_{\alpha_1 \in E_1} \zeta_{\varsigma+\tau}^{\alpha_1, E_2, E_3, \dots, E_n} \\ *M & \inf_{\alpha_2 \in E_2} \zeta_{\varsigma+\tau}^{\alpha_2, E_1, E_3, \dots, E_n} \\ *M & \dots \\ *M & \inf_{\alpha_n \in E_n} \zeta_{\varsigma+\tau}^{E_1, E_2, \dots, E_{n-1}, \alpha_n} \\ \geq & \inf_{\alpha_1 \in E_1} [\zeta_{\varsigma}^{\alpha_1, W, W, \dots, W} * \zeta_{\tau}^{w_{\alpha_1}, E_2, E_3, \dots, E_n}] \\ *M & \inf_{\alpha_2 \in E_2} [\zeta_{\varsigma}^{\alpha_2, W, W, \dots, W} * \zeta_{\tau}^{w_{\alpha_2}, E_1, E_3, \dots, E_n}] \\ *M & \dots \\ *M & \inf_{\alpha_n \in E_n} [\zeta_{\varsigma}^{W, W, \dots, W, \alpha_n} * \zeta_{\tau}^{E_1, E_2, \dots, E_{n-1}, w_{\alpha_n}}] \\ \geq & [\inf_{\alpha_1 \in E_1} \zeta_{\varsigma}^{\alpha_1, W, W, \dots, W} * \inf_{\alpha_2 \in E_2} \zeta_{\varsigma}^{\alpha_2, W, W, \dots, W} * \dots * \inf_{\alpha_n \in E_n} \zeta_{\varsigma}^{W, W, \dots, W, \alpha_n}] \\ *M & [\zeta_{\tau}^{w_{\alpha_1}, E_2, E_3, \dots, E_n} * \zeta_{\tau}^{w_{\alpha_2}, E_1, E_3, \dots, E_n} * \dots * \zeta_{\tau}^{w_{\alpha_n}, E_1, E_3, \dots, E_n}], \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

which gives

$$\begin{aligned} & Y_{\zeta}^{E_1, \dots, E_n} \\ \geq & [Y_{\zeta}^{E_1, W, \dots, W}] \\ *M & [\zeta_{\tau}^{w_{\alpha_1}, E_2, E_3, \dots, E_n} * \zeta_{\tau}^{w_{\alpha_2}, E_1, E_3, \dots, E_n} * \dots * \zeta_{\tau}^{w_{\alpha_n}, E_1, E_3, \dots, E_n}]. \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

Taking the supremum over (16) for all  $w \in W$ , we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} & Y_{\zeta}^{E_1, \dots, E_n} \\ \geq & Y_{\zeta}^{E_1, W, \dots, W} *M Y_{\zeta}^{W, E_2, \dots, E_n} \\ \geq & Y_{\zeta}^{E_1, W, \dots, W} * Y_{\zeta}^{W, E_2, \dots, E_n}. \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

□

**Lemma 8.** Assume that  $(U, \zeta, *)$  is a complete  $Gn$ -Menger space. Suppose that  $\theta \in \Theta$  and  $\Omega$  is a  $Gn$ -Menger- $\theta$ -contraction. Then,

$$Y_{\rho}^{\Gamma_{\Omega}(E_1), \dots, \Gamma_{\Omega}(E_n)} \geq Y_{\theta(\rho)}^{E_1, \dots, E_n},$$

for every  $E_1, \dots, E_n \in \mathcal{H}(U)$  and  $\rho \in \mathbb{J}^\circ$ , and  $\Gamma_\Omega : \mathcal{H}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(U)$  is also a  $Gn$ -Menger- $\theta$ -contraction, where  $\Gamma_\Omega(G) := \Omega(G)$  for every  $G \in \mathcal{H}(U)$ .

**Proof.** Consider  $E_1, \dots, E_n$  in  $\mathcal{H}(U)$ . Using inequality (6) and definition (12), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Upsilon_{\substack{\Gamma_\Omega(E_1), \dots, \Gamma_\Omega(E_n) \\ \zeta \\ \rho}} &= \Upsilon_{\substack{\Omega(E_1), \dots, \Omega(E_n) \\ \zeta \\ \rho}} \\
 &= \inf_{\Omega(\alpha_1) \in \Omega(E_1)} \sup_{\Omega(\alpha_j) \in \Omega(E_j), j=2,3,\dots,n} \zeta_{\rho}^{\Omega(E_1), \dots, \Omega(E_n)} \\
 &\stackrel{*M}{=} \inf_{\Omega(\alpha_2) \in \Omega(E_2)} \sup_{\Omega(\alpha_j) \in \Omega(E_j), j=1,3,4,\dots,n} \zeta_{\rho}^{\Omega(E_1), \dots, \Omega(E_n)} \\
 &\stackrel{*M}{=} \dots \\
 &\stackrel{*M}{=} \inf_{\Omega(\alpha_n) \in \Omega(E_n)} \sup_{\Omega(\alpha_j) \in \Omega(E_j), j=1,2,\dots,n-1} \zeta_{\rho}^{\Omega(E_1), \dots, \Omega(E_n)} \\
 &= \inf_{\alpha_1 \in E_1} \sup_{\alpha_j \in E_j, j=2,3,\dots,n} \zeta_{\rho}^{\Omega(E_1), \dots, \Omega(E_n)} \\
 &\stackrel{*M}{=} \inf_{\alpha_2 \in E_2} \sup_{\Omega(\alpha_j) \in \Omega(E_j), j=1,3,4,\dots,n} \zeta_{\rho}^{\Omega(E_1), \dots, \Omega(E_n)} \\
 &\stackrel{*M}{=} \dots \\
 &\stackrel{*M}{=} \inf_{\alpha_n \in E_n} \sup_{\Omega(\alpha_j) \in \Omega(E_j), j=1,2,\dots,n-1} \zeta_{\rho}^{\Omega(E_1), \dots, \Omega(E_n)} \\
 &\geq \inf_{\alpha_1 \in E_1} \sup_{\alpha_j \in E_j, j=2,3,\dots,n} \zeta_{\theta(\rho)}^{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n} \\
 &\stackrel{*M}{=} \inf_{\alpha_2 \in E_2} \sup_{\alpha_j \in E_j, j=1,3,4,\dots,n} \zeta_{\theta(\rho)}^{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n} \\
 &\stackrel{*M}{=} \dots \\
 &\stackrel{*M}{=} \inf_{\alpha_n \in E_n} \sup_{\alpha_j \in E_j, j=1,2,\dots,n-1} \zeta_{\theta(\rho)}^{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n} \\
 &= \Upsilon_{\substack{E_1, \dots, E_n \\ \zeta \\ \theta(\rho)}},
 \end{aligned}$$

for every  $\rho \in \mathbb{J}^\circ$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.** Assume that  $(U, \zeta, *)$  is a complete  $Gn$ -Menger space in which  $*$  is a CTND. Suppose that  $\theta \in \Theta$  and  $\Omega$  is  $Gn$ -Menger- $\theta$ -contractive. Then,  $\Gamma_\Omega : \mathcal{H}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(U)$  has a unique fixed point.

**Proof.** From Lemma 8,  $\Gamma_\Omega$  is  $Gn$ -Menger- $\theta$ -contractive on  $\mathcal{H}(U)$  and so by Theorem 1,  $\Gamma_\Omega$  has a unique fixed point.  $\square$

**Example 4.** Consider the complete  $Gn$ -Menger space defined in Example 1. Suppose that  $\theta(\tau) = \frac{\tau}{1+\tau}$ ,  $\Omega(u) = \frac{u}{3}$  and  $\Gamma_\Omega[-u, u] = [-\frac{u}{3}, \frac{u}{3}]$ . It is easy to show that  $\Omega$  is  $Gn$ -Menger- $\theta$ -contractive. Furthermore,  $\Gamma_\Omega$  has a unique fixed point  $\{0\}$ .

#### 4. Conclusions

We defined a new version of the probabilistic Hausdorff–Pompeiu distance using the concept of  $Gn$ -Menger space and we presented a new fixed-point theorem for  $Gn$ -Menger- $\theta$ -contractions in  $Gn$ -Menger fractal spaces. In the future, we hope to consider our results to get more common fixed-point theorems to investigate the existence and uniqueness of solutions for differential and integral equations.

**Author Contributions:** D.O., project administration, writing and editing; R.S., writing—original draft preparation and supervision and project administration; C.L., methodology and editing; F.J., editing. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** Chenkuan Li is supported by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (grant no. 2019-03907).

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** Not applicable.

**Informed Consent Statement:** Not applicable.

**Data Availability Statement:** No data were required for this manuscript.

**Acknowledgments:** The authors are thankful to anonymous referees for giving valuable comments and suggestions.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare that they have no competing interest.

## References

1. Schweizer, B.; Sklar, A.; Schweizer, B.; Sklar, A. *Probabilistic metric spaces*; North-Holland Publishing Co.: New York, NY, USA, 1983.
2. Šerstnev, A.N. Best-approximation problems in random normed spaces. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **1963**, *149*, 539–542.
3. Saadati, R. *Random Operator Theory*; Elsevier/Academic Press: London, UK, 2016.
4. Hadžić, O.; Pap, E. *Mathematics and Its Applications*, 536; Kluwer Academic Publishers: Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 2001.
5. Soleimani Rad, G.; Shukla, S.; Rahimi, H. Some relations between  $n$ -tuple fixed point and fixed point results. *Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fis. Nat. Ser. A Mat. RACSAM* **2015**, *109*, 471–481. [[CrossRef](#)]
6. Bakery, A.A.; Mohammed, M.M. On lacunary mean ideal convergence in generalized random  $n$ -normed spaces. *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* **2014**, *2014*, 101782. [[CrossRef](#)]
7. De la Sen, M.; Karapinar, E. Some results on best proximity points of cyclic contractions in probabilistic metric spaces. *J. Funct. Spaces* **2015**, *2015*, 470574. [[CrossRef](#)]
8. Jebiril, I.H.; Hatamleh, R.E. Random  $n$ -normed linear space. *Int. J. Open Probl. Comput. Sci. Math.* **2009**, *2*, 489–495.
9. Khan, K.A. Generalized  $n$ -metric spaces and fixed point theorems. *J. Nonlinear Convex Anal.* **2014**, *15*, 1221–1229.
10. Lotfali Ghasab, E.; Majani, H.; De la Sen, M.; Soleimani Rad, G.  $e$ -Distance in Menger PGM Spaces with an Application. *Axioms* **2021**, *10*, 3. [[CrossRef](#)]
11. Mustafa, Z.; Jaradat, M.M.M. Some remarks concerning  $D^*$ -metric spaces. *J. Math. Comput. Sci.-JMCS* **2021**, *22*, 128–130. [[CrossRef](#)]
12. Akram, M.; Mazhar, Y. Some fixed point theorems of self-generalized contractions in partially ordered  $G$ -metric spaces. *J. Math. Comput. Sci.-JMCS* **2017**, *17*, 317–324. [[CrossRef](#)]
13. Hashemi, E.; Ghaemi, M.B. Ekeland's variational principle in complete quasi- $G$ -metric spaces. *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.* **2019**, *12*, 184–191. [[CrossRef](#)]
14. Sadeghi, Z.; Vaezpour, S.M. Fixed point theorems for multivalued and single-valued contractive mappings on Menger PM spaces with applications. *J. Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2018**, *20*, 114. [[CrossRef](#)]
15. Gupta, V.; Saini, R.K.; Deep, R. Some fixed point results in  $G$ -metric space involving generalised altering distances. *Int. J. Appl. Nonlinear Sci.* **2018**, *3*, 66–76. [[CrossRef](#)]
16. Alihajimohammad, A.; Saadati, R. Generalized modular fractal spaces and fixed point theorems. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2021**, *383*, 10. [[CrossRef](#)]
17. Abdeljawad, T.; Kalla, K.S.; Panda, S.K.; Mukheimer, A. Solving the system of nonlinear integral equations via rational contractions. *AIMS Math.* **2021**, *6*, 3562–3582.
18. Alihajimohammad, A.; Saadati, R. Generalized fuzzy  $GV$ -Hausdorff distance in  $GFGV$ -fractal spaces with application in integral equation. *J. Inequal. Appl.* **2021**, *143*, 15. [[CrossRef](#)]
19. Tian, J.-F.; Ha, M.-H.; Tian, D.-Z. Tripled fuzzy metric spaces and fixed point theorem. *Inform. Sci.* **2020**, *518*, 113–126. [[CrossRef](#)]
20. Hutchinson, J.E. Fractals and self-similarity. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **1981**, *30*, 713–747. [[CrossRef](#)]
21. Barnsley, M. *Fractals Everywhere*; Academic Press, Inc.: Boston, MA, USA, 1988.
22. Bisht, R.K. Comment on: A new fixed point theorem in the fractal space. *Indag. Math.* **2018**, *29*, 819–823. [[CrossRef](#)]
23. Imdad, M.; Alfaqih, W.M.; Khan, I.A. Weak  $\theta$ -contractions and some fixed point results with applications to fractal theory. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2018**, *439*, 18. [[CrossRef](#)]
24. Ri, S.-i. A new fixed point theorem in the fractal space. *Indag. Math.* **2016**, *27*, 85–93. [[CrossRef](#)]
25. Rodriguez-Lopez, J.; Romaguera, S. The Hausdorff fuzzy metric on compact sets. *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* **2004**, *147*, 273–283. [[CrossRef](#)]